## Board of Commissioners <br> Regular Meeting

May 7, 2024 - 7:00 PM
502 Southtown Circle, Rolesville, NC 27571

## Agenda

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Invocation
4. Proclamations and Awards

National Small Business Week Proclamation - April 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ - May 4th
National Police Appreciation Week Proclamation - May $11^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$
National Public Works Week Proclamation - May 19th $-25^{\text {th }}$
5. Consider Approval of the Agenda.
6. Consider Approval of the Consent Agenda:
6.a. Consent: Minutes of April 2, 2024 and April 16, 2024.
6.b. Consent: Town Code Amendment(s) Section 130.04 - Unnecessary Noise
6.c. Consent: Wallbrook Multiuse Path Encroachment Agreement.
6.c. Consent: Waive Alcohol Restriction for Juneteenth event.
7. Public Invited to be Heard.

Individuals wishing to speak during the Public Invited to be Heard proceedings are encouraged to be prepared and individuals will be limited to three (3) minutes. Written comments are welcome and should be given to the Town Clerk prior to the start of the meeting.
8. Town Board Liaison Reports
8.a. Commissioner Alston - Veterans
8.b. Commissioner Long - Public Safety
8.c. Commissioner Paul - Senior Citizens
8.d. Commissioner Sneed - Planning Board
8.e. Commissioner Vilga - Parks \& Recreation Advisory Board
9. Communication from Town Staff
9.a. Parks \& Recreation

NONE
11. New Business

## Hearings

11.a. Legislative Hearing(s): ANX-24-01/REZ-24-02 Hills at Harris Creek.
11.b. Legislative Hearing(s): REZ-23-07 111/113/115 W. Young Street

## End of Hearings

11.c. Yard Waste - Consider Authorizing Town Manager to Execute and adopt Budget Ordinance Amendment.
12. Communications
12.a. Town Attorney
12.b. Interim Town Manager - Planning Space Lease Report
12.c. Town Board

## 13. Closed Session - Personnel

## 14.Adjourn

The Town of Rolesville will make reasonable accommodations for access to Town services, programs, and activities and will make special communication arrangements for persons with disabilities. Please call (919) 556-3506 by noon on the Thursday prior to the meeting to make arrangements.
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# Zaroclamation of the Tomm of Rolesville 

Recognizing National Small Business Week 2024

WHEREAS, from the storefront shops that anchor Main Street to the high-tech startups that keep America on the cutting edge, small businesses are the backbone of our economy and the cornerstones of our nation's promise; and

WHEREAS, when we support small business, jobs are created, and local communities preserve their unique culture; and

WHEREAS, because this country's 33 million small businesses create nearly two out of three net new jobs in our economy, we cannot resolve ourselves to create jobs and spur economic growth in America without discussing ways to support our entrepreneurs; and

WHEREAS, the President of the United States has proclaimed National Small Business Week every year since 1963 to highlight the programs and services available to entrepreneurs through the U.S. Small Business Administration and other government agencies; and

WHEREAS, Rolesville supports and joins in this national and regional effort, along with Wake County and other communities in the Triangle Region, to help America's small businesses do what they do best - grow their business, create jobs, and ensure that our communities remain as vibrant tomorrow as they are today.

NOW THEREFORE, I, Ron Currin, Mayor of the Town of Rolesville do hereby proclaim April 28 - May 4, 2024 as Small Business Week and encourage our citizens to Shop Small and support their local small businesses here in Rolesville.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereby set my hand and cause the seal of Rolesville to be affixed this 7th Day of May, 2024.

Ronnie I. Currin, Mayor

## ATTEST:

Robin E. Peyton, Town Clerk

PROCLAMATION NATIONAL POLICE APPRECIATION WEEK May 11 - May 17, 2024

WHEREAS, in 1963 the Congress and President of the United States have designated May 15 as Peace Officers' Memorial Day, and the week in which May 15 falls as National Police Week; and

WHEREAS, there are approximately 900,000 law enforcement officers serving across the United States, including the dedicated members of the Town of Rolesville Police Department; and

WHEREAS, the members of the Rolesville Police Department play an essential role in safeguarding the rights and freedoms of our community; and

WHEREAS, it is important that all citizens know and understand the duties, responsibilities, and sacrifices of their law enforcement agencies, and that officers of the Town of Rolesville recognize their duty to serve the people by safeguarding life and property, by protecting them against violence and disorder, and by protecting the innocent against deception and the weak against oppression; and

WHEREAS, the men and women of law enforcement of the Town of Rolesville unceasingly provide a vital public service by their faithful and loyal devotion to their responsibilities have rendered a dedicated service to this community and in so doing, have established for themselves an enviable and enduring reputation for preserving the rights and security of all citizens;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Town of Rolesville Board of Commissioners proclaims the week of May 11 - May 17, 2024 as Police Week and call upon all citizens of Rolesville and upon all patriotic, civic, and educational organizations to observe May 15, 2024, as Peace Officers' Memorial Day in which people may join in commemorating law enforcement officers, past and present, who have made the ultimate sacrifice in service to their community or have become disabled in the performance of their duty.

ADOPTED this the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2024.

Ronnie I. Currin - Mayor
SEAL

Robin E. Peyton, CMC - Town Clerk

# PROCLAMATION NATIONAL PUBLIC WORKS WEEK May 19 - May 25, 2024 

WHEREAS, Public Works professionals focus on infrastructure, facilities, and services that are of vital importance to sustainable and resilient communities and the public health, high quality of life, and well-being of the citizens of Rolesville; and

WHEREAS, those facilities and services could not be provided without the dedicated efforts of Public Works Professionals; and

WHEREAS, those individuals build, operate, maintain, and administer the streets, sidewalks, stormwater infrastructure, solid waste and recycling collections, public buildings, and other structures and facilities that are vital to the citizens of Rolesville; and

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the public for citizens, civic leaders and children to continue to gain information and to understand the role Public Works plays in the Town of Rolesville; and

WHEREAS, 2024 marks the $64^{\text {th }}$ annual National Public Works Week;
NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Commissioners of the Town of Rolesville joins the American Public Works Association in recognizing the contributions of Public Works professionals to the health, safety, and quality of life in Rolesville. The Board of Commissioners also encourages all residents of Rolesville to thank the Public Works Professionals for their continued dedication and hard work to this community. We the Board of Commissioners of the Town of Rolesville proclaim the week of May 19 through May 25, 2024 as "National Public Works Week."

ADOPTED this the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2024
Ronnie I. Currin - Mayor

Seal

Robin E. Peyton, CMC - Town Clerk

## Board of Commissioners

Regular Meeting
April 2, 2024-7:00 PM
502 Southtown Circle, Rolesville, NC 27571

## Minutes

Present: Mayor Ronnie Currin
Commissioner Dan Alston Commissioner Michael Paul Interim Town Manager Eric Marsh
Town Attorney Dave Neill
Planning Director Meredith Gruber
Comm \& Econ Dev. Mgr. Mical McFarland

1. Call to Order

Mayor Currin called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.
2. Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Currin led the Pledge of Allegiance
3. Invocation

Commissioner Long gave the invocation.
4. Proclamations and Awards
4.a. Proclamation Recognizing Rolesville High School Lady Rams Basketball Team.

The final moments of the State Championship game were played on the monitors in the C. Frank Eagles Board Room, after which Mayor Currin read aloud the Proclamation. The Proclamation was presented to Coach Warren and members of the team.
4.b. Proclamation Recognizing Arbor Day.

Mayor Currin read aloud the Proclamation. The Town's Arbor Day event will be held on April $27^{\text {th }}$ at Main Street Park. Saplings and pollinator plants will be given out.
5. Consider Approval of the Agenda.

Moved by Commissioner Long to approve the agenda; seconded by Commissioner Vilga. Motion to approve carried by unanimous vote.
6. Consider Approval of the Consent Agenda

Moved by Commissioner Vilga to approve the Consent Agenda as presented and consisting of the following:
6.a. Minutes of March 5, 2024 and March 19, 2024.
6.b. Budget Amendment - Comprehensive Land Use Plan/Economic Development.
6.c. Voluntary Annexation Petition ANX24-01, Hills at Harris Creek - Call for Clerk to Investigate Sufficiency / Call for Legislative Hearing on May 7, 2024.
6.d. Reclassification of Senior Planner to Assistant Planning Director.
6.e. Recommended High School Parks \& Recreation Advisory Board Applicant.

Motion to approve the Consent Agenda seconded by Commissioner Alston and carried by unanimous vote.
7. Public Invited to be Heard

Elfi Shaak, 521 Kenton Mill Court, Rolesville, NC
Ms. Shaak, a resident, came requesting that she be allowed to serve as a volunteer in the areas of oversight of laws, rules, management, communication, community involvement, land development, and on the tree committee. Ms. Shaak also asked that she be allowed to provide feedback about questionnaires sent in the weekly news blasts before they are sent out.

Commissioner Paul Vilga followed up with her asking that she complete the application to be considered as a tree committee member.

Brad Shaak, 521 Kenton Mill Court, Rolesville, NC
Mr. Shaak, President of the Barrington Townes HOA Board of Directors, came seeking guidance on transitioning the still developer-run board to a Barrington Subdivision Homeowners Association as the townhome parcels were incorrectly deeded to Barrington Townes HOA, Inc. in Zebulon, NC, and the Board of Directors was never provided specific responsibilities related to the stormwater control measure system located on one of the parcels. Mr. Shaak reported that the Townhome Board of Directors engaged an attorney following the developer's dissolution of its company.
8. Town Board Liaison Reports
8.a. Commissioner Alston - Veterans

- Coffee with a Veteran meets on the third Saturday of each month at 9:00 a.m. at Arise Coworking space. The next coffee is scheduled for April $20^{\text {th }}$.
- The Veterans Fellowship Breakfast meets the last Wednesday of every month at 9:00 a.m. at IHOP in Knightdale. Contact MSgt Retired Jerry Mangum jmangum07@gmail.com.
- The Veteran Resource Fair will be held on April $27^{\text {th }}$ from 8:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. in the Family Life Center of Greystone Church located at 2601 Hillsborough Road in Durham.
- Join the Garden Club of Rolesville and Town of Rolesville Parks \& Recreation on Veterans Day, May $27^{\text {th }}$ at 11:00 a.m. for the Memorial Mile. Meet at Town Hall. For more information visit https://www.rolesvillenc.gov/parks-recreation/special-events/memorial-day.
- The Navy National Defense Corps Area Assist Commander in Raleigh reported that Rolesville's approval is pending for something at Rolesville High School and discussion is ongoing as to it being a Navy National Defense Corps or a Jr. ROTC program. Funding for personnel would be split between Wake County and the Navy.
8.b. Commissioner Long - Public Safety
- The Town of Rolesville and the Rolesville Rural Fire Department are progressing towards unification.
8.c. Commissioner Paul - Senior Citizens

Commissioner Paul was not present to provide a report. Mayor Currin shared praise from the Rolesville Chamber of Commerce and himself to the Town of Rolesville Parks \& Recreation Department for their Senior Citizen programs.

## 8.d. Commissioner Sneed - Planning Board

- The Planning Board met on March $25^{\text {th }}$ and reviewed two planning cases:
- 11, 113, 115 - the Joel Fund which will come speak to the Town Board in May; and
- Hills at Harris Creek. More information will come under the Planning report.
- Next Planning board meeting is April 22nd.
8.e. Commissioner Vilga - Parks \& Recreation Advisory Board
- The meeting was held on March $27^{\text {th }}$.
- Discussed applying for grants.
- Reviewed the greenway for the Scarboro Apartments Development.
- Approved the mission statement for the Parks \& Recreation Department.
- The Arbor Day event will be held April $22^{\text {nd }}$ from 12:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.
- Picked the art for the Trail Art program to be held in June.

9. Communication from Town Staff
9.a. Planning

- A 2023 annual report was provided containing the number of development review applications received.
- New employee information was provided.
- The Comprehensive Plan update consultant selection is expected to occur in April or May 2024.
- The Affordable Housing Plan draft is expected to be completed in August 2024.
9.b. Economic Development/Communications
- The list of the top 50 taxpayers in Rolesville was provided.
- An economic outlook done by NC State was provided.
- An example of the brochure for new town business owners was shared.
- The list of the twelve known businesses coming to Cobblestone Village was provided.
- Mical McFarland attended the NC Main Street annual conference in Goldsboro along with members of the Rolesville Downtown Development Association.
- Part of the Comprehensive Plan update will bring the Comprehensive Plan, the Main Street Plan, and the Economic Development Plan together.
- A Top Attractions in and near Rolesville webpage has been created.
- Community Engagement Specialist Lindse Owens provided a communication update.

10. Old Business

NONE
11. New Business

## Hearings

## Mayor Currin opened the legislative hearing on MA22-08/ANX22-05 - Harris Creek Farms at 7:53 p.m.

Planning Director Meredith Gruber provided a case introduction and staff report.
Samuel Morris, Longleaf Law Partners, 4509 Creedmoor Rd Suite 302, Raleigh, NC Mr. Morris appeared and legal representation for the applicant/owner. Morris presented an overview of the petition and the existing conditions of the proposed project. Mr. Morris provided clarity regarding monies pledged to Homes for Heroes and the manner in which it will be evidenced to the town.

Steve George, President, CSC Group, 507 Rock Castle Drive, Cary, NC Mr. George provided additional details on the proposed site greenways.

Jeremy Keeny, Morris \& Ritchie Associates, 530 Hinton Pond Road, Suite 104, Knightdale, NC
Mr. Keeny provided details on the buffer separating the existing neighborhood and the proposed project neighborhood.

Lori Moss, 5005 C\&L Avenue, Wake Forest, NC Ms. Moss thanked the applicant for providing the existing neighborhood with public access to the greenway. Ms. Moss cited concern for traffic for her request that the town work with the developer and NC DOT to install a round-a-bout at the intersection on Jonesville Road, north of Harris Creek Bridge as well as a round-a-bout at the intersection of Jonesville Road and the proposed extension to Fowler Road.

Ms. Moss reported she heard that the streets in the proposed neighborhood would be named to reflect the Jonesville community and expressed her appreciation.

Richard Dowdy, 4627 Running Deer Drive, Wake Forest, NC
Mr. Dowdy expressed concern for the safety of the community wells and foundations when blasting occurs. Mr. Dowdy asked that the developer put something in writing to protect the wells and foundations to include information on how to file a claim in the event of damage. Also requested was that testing be conducted on each well and foundation before, during and after construction.

## Alicia Ruiz, 3857 Jonesville Road, Wake Forest, NC

Ms. Ruiz asked that the zoning of the property remain low-density.

## Marie Jarvis, 3704 Gideon Drive, Wake Forest, NC

Ms. Jarvis expressed her concern regarding not knowing what the ultimate width of Gideon Drive will be and concern about traffic congestion and ingress/egress with the emergency service vehicles and neighboring communities.

Elfie Shock, 521 Kenton Mill Court, Rolesville, NC
Ms. Shock expressed the importance of getting an agreement in place before the community is turned over to a Homeowners Association.

Margaret Watkins, 407 Melmellen Court, Wake Forest, NC

Ms. Watkins requested information on the distance between the riparian buffer and a nearby stream.

Kari Curtis, 5205 Kyle Drive, Raleigh, NC
Ms. Curtin spoke on behalf of the Universal Church of Healing and inquired regarding the church providing access and what would be given to the church in return for allowing access or acquiring land. Also requested is that the access be paved up to State or Rolesville standards and that a sidewalk be installed.

Steve George, President, CSC Group, 507 Rock Castle Drive, Cary, NC Mr. George returned to the podium to address the requests that arose from each public comment.

There being no one remaining to speak, Mayor Currin closed the Legislative Hearing on MA22-08/ANX22-05 - Harris Creek Farms at 9:14 p.m.

Move by Commissioner Vilga to continue the Legislative Hearing on MA22-08/ANX22-05 - Harris Creek Farms to the April $16^{\text {th }}$ Town Board Work Session; seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Sneed. Motion to continue carried by 4-0 vote.

## End of Hearings

## The board took a ten-minute recess.

11.b. Planning Department Rezoning Briefing.

Planning Director Meredith Gruber and Assistant Planning Director Michael Elabarger provided a report on the rezoning cases that will be coming before the board in the coming months.
11.c. Grant Administration Program Updates

Parks \& Recreation Director June Greene and Project and Facilities Coordinator Eddie Henderson reported on the following grant application.
11.c.1. Accessibility for Parks Grant - Mill Bridge Nature Park Amphitheater Renovations
Interim Town Manager Eric Marsh reported on the following discretionary funding awards.
11.c.2. Community Project Funding.
11.c.3. Department for Environmental Quality EV Charging Grant.
12. Communications
12.a. Town Attorney

No report
12.b. Interim Town Manager

No report
12.c. Town Board

Commissioner Alston reported that Pride Boxing and Fitness Center in Garner is having free boxing classes for Veterans on Wednesday at 2:00. The center is located at 1311 Fifth Avenue in Garner.

Mayor Currin stated that Representative Deborah Ross is scheduling a check presentation for the Community Project Funding award.

Mayor Currin was appointed to a representative on the state Council of Governments.
Tune in to the Mayor's Show on April $11^{\text {th }}$ with guests Tisha Baker-Lowe and Rolesville High School Coach Val.
13. Adjourn

There being no further business before the board, Mayor Currin adjourned the meeting at 10:13 p.m.

Ronnie I. Currin, Mayor

ATTEST:

Robin E. Peyton, CMC, Town Clerk

# Board of Commissioners 

Work Session

## April 16, 2024

## 6:00 p.m.

## MINUTES

Present: Mayor Ronnie Currin
Commissioner Dan Alston
Commissioner Michael Paul
Interim Town Manager Eric Marsh
Town Attorney Dave Neill
Planning Director Meredith Gruber
Comm \& Econ Dev. Mgr. Mical McFarland

Mayor Pro Tem April Sneed
Commissioner Lenwood Long - remote
Commissioner Paul Vilga
Town Clerk Robin Peyton
Police Chief David Simmons

Call to Order
Mayor Currin called the Work Session to order at 6:00 p.m.
Mayor Currin reported that Commissioner Long was present remotely and confirmed that the Town Board and Commissioner Long could hear and see one another.

Continued Legislative Hearing: MA22-08/ANX22-05 - Harris Creek Farms
Planning Director Meredith Gruber provided an updated staff report on the case(s) under continued hearing.

Revised conditions were presented to staff and the Town Attorney by the applicant and the applicant previously confirmed that they had received comments from the Town Attorney.

There being no further presentation or discussion, it was moved by Commissioner Alston to approve Rezoning Map Amendment request MA22-o8 Harris Creek Farms; seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Sneed. Motion to approve carried by the following roll call vote:

```
Alston: Aye
Long: Aye
Paul: Aye
Sneed: Aye
Vilga: Aye
```

Moved by Commissioner Alston to adopt a plan consistency statement and statement of reasonableness for MA-22-o8; seconded by Commissioner Vilga. Motion carried by the following roll call vote:

| Alston: | Aye |
| :--- | :--- |
| Long: | Aye |
| Paul: | Aye |
| Sneed: | Aye |
| Vilga: | Aye |

Moved by Commissioner Alston to approve the voluntary annexation petition received under NCGS 160A-31 for annexation ANX-22-05 - Harris Creek Farms; seconded by Commissioner Long. Motion to approve carried by the following roll call vote:

| Alston: | Aye |
| :--- | :--- |
| Long: | Aye |
| Paul: | Aye |
| Sneed: | Aye |
| Vilga: | Aye |

Chamber of Commerce - Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)/Budget Request
Phillip Carter, Rolesville Chamber Director, presented the Chamber's proposed Memorandum of Understanding and Budget Request for 2024. The Chamber is asking for less money this coming year than last.

## Capital Improvement Plan Model - Davenport

Finance Director Amy Stevens introduced the agenda item as well as Ted Cole of Davenport, contractor for the Capital Improvement Plan 5-Year Model.

Noise Ordinance Discussion - Town Attorney Dave Neill
Town Attorney Dave Neill led a discussion on proposed changes to the Noise Ordinance found under Town Code Section 130.04 Unnecessary Noise.

Closed Session
Moved by Mayor Pro Tem Sneed that the Board go into Closed Session to discuss a confidential personnel matter pursuant to Chapter 143, Sections 318.11(a)(3) and (a)(6) of the North Carolina General Statutes; seconded by Commissioner Paul. Motion that the board enter closed session carried by the following roll call vote:

| Alston: | Aye |
| :--- | :--- |
| Long: | Aye |
| Paul: | Aye |
| Sneed: | Aye |
| Vilga: | Aye |

Adjourn
No action was taken in Closed Session and there being no further business before the board, Mayor Currin adjourned the meeting at 9:06. p.m.

## Memorandum

To: Mayor \& Town Board
From: June Greene, Parks \& Recreation Director
Date: May 7, 2024
Re: Town Code 113.5 Alcoholic Beverages

## Background

Town Staff is requesting Town Board to allow alcoholic beverages at Freedom In The Park Juneteenth Celebration at Mill Bridge Nature Park (425 Nature Park Dr.).

## Board Options

1) Waive Town Code 113.5 Alcoholic Beverages provision for the events.
2) Do not allow alcoholic beverages at the 2024 Freedom In The Park Juneteenth Celebration.

Relationship to Current Budget/Goals
NONE

## Recommended Action

Move to temporarily waive Town Code 113.5 Alcoholic Beverages provision for the 2024 Freedom In The Park.

Attachments: NONE

## Memo

| To: | Town of Rolesville Mayor Currin and Board of Commissioners |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Meredith Gruber, Planning Director |
| Date: | May 7, 2024 |
| Re: | Hills at Harris Creek - Rezoning Case REZ-24-02 and Voluntary Annexation |
|  | Petition ANX-24-01 |

## Background

Rezoning - REZ-24-02
The Town of Rolesville Planning Department received this Rezoning application in February 2024, requesting to rezone approximately 116 acres consisting of four (4) tracts of land on the north side of Mitchell Mill Road, between Jonesville and Rolesville Roads, from Wake County's Residential 30 ()R-30 Zoning District to the Town's Land Development Ordinance (LDO) zoning district of Residential Medium as a Conditional Zoning District (RM-CZ). This submittal includes a Concept Site Plan of a proposed residential single family detached subdivision and a set of proposed Conditions of Approval.

Prior to this current Application:

- Map Amendment (Rezoning) application MA 22-01 was processed between January and October 2022. This application requested rezoning the entire property to a Neighborhood Center (NC) as a Conditional Zoning (CZ) District. The specifics of the project were for single family attached and detached housing as well as 150,000 square feet of commercial floorspace.
- In early 2023, the Applicant chose to significantly revise the scope of the application, and REZ-23-03 was submitted to replace MA 22-01, and this application requested a small NC District with the majority of the land area proposed for the Residential High Density (RH) District, both as Conditional Zoning (CZ) Districts. The specifics of the project included maximums of 270 total residential dwellings units (combined single-family detached and attached), with no more than 115 of them being detached units, and a non-residential component in the NC-CZ District meeting the minimum requirements for that District.
- REZ-23-03 was denied by the Town Board of Commissioners on November 8, 2023.


Hills at Harris Creek Concept Site Plan

## Voluntary Annexation Petition - ANX-24-01

A voluntary annexation for the same properties noted in the following paragraph was previously considered under case number ANX-22-04 and was associated with rezoning applications MA-22-01 and REZ-23-03. MA-22-01 was withdrawn; REZ-23-03 and ANX-22-04, Hills at Harris Creek, were denied by the Town Board of Commissioners on November 8, 2023.

The Town of Rolesville received a non-contiguous voluntary annexation petition for four parcels totalling 132.66 acres located at 3645 Rock Farm Road and 5333 Mitchell Mill Road, as well as two unaddressed properties on Mitchell Mill Road, with Wake County PINs 1757761273, 1757778982, 1758850520, and 175758529, to be annexed into the Town of Rolesville Town Limits. These same four parcels comprise the subject property of the Hills at Harris Creek rezoning case, REZ-24-02.

The petition was investigated by the Town Clerk as to its sufficiency of meeting G.S. 160A-31.

## Applicant Justification

The Applicant provided a brief statement regarding the submittal noting the desire to integrate seamlessly with the surrounding community (see attached application).

## Neighborhood Meetings

The Applicant conducted a neighborhood meeting for this current Rezoning request on March 18, 2024; a meeting report follows this staff memo as an attachment. The Applicant conducted several such meetings related to the prior two Rezoning applications during 2022 and 2023.

## Comprehensive Plan

## Land Use

The 2017 Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map designates the subject property, and the entire area south of Harris Creek to Mitchell Mill Road, as appropriate for Medium-Density Residential development. Per the Plan, this is defined as predominantly single-family residential uses with portions of duplex, townhomes, and/or multifamily residential. These are lots or tracts at a density range of three to five dwelling units per gross acre.

## Community Transportation Plan

The Town of Rolesville's Community Transportation Plan (CTP, adopted 2021) includes recommendations for Thoroughfares, Collectors, and intersections.

## Thoroughfare Recommendations

- Mitchell Mills Road is planned to be a 4-lane, Raised Median-divided section with curb \& gutter, bike lanes, and sidewalks.


## Collector Recommendations

- At the far northern tip of the subject property, an east/west Collector roadway is identified. As demonstrated in the Concept/Sketch Plan (Attachment 5), the Applicant is proposing a more southern route for this roadway, moving it away from the environmental features (Harris Creek) further to the north, and bringing it closer to Mitchell Mill, where it can serve as a more near-by parallel roadway to the Arterial that Mitchell Mill will eventually function as. This more southern route aligns more so with a similar alignment approved with MA 22-06, 5109 Mitchell Mill Road, which when built will connect to Jonesville Road west of this subject property/project.


## Intersection Recommendations

- There are no intersection recommendations associated with the subject property.
- The closest intersection recommendations are located at Mitchell Mill and Rolesville Roads, for an intersection realignment.


## Greenway and Bike Plans

As per the 2022 Greenway and Bike Plans, proposed pedestrian routes are shown in the following locations:

- A ten foot (10') greenway is shown on the northern end of the property, on the south side of Harris Creek.
- A ten foot (10') side path is illustrated on the north side of Mitchell Mill Road.
- Bicycle lane within Mitchell Mill Road.


## Consistency

The Applicant's rezoning request is consistent with the Town of Rolesville's Comprehensive Plan for the following reasons:

- The proposed single family detached residential housing type fits the Medium Density Residential land use description.
- The proposed vehicular circulation network will enhance or establish Thoroughfare and Collector connections, respectively, as recommended by the Town's Community Transportation Plan.
- The proposed greenways will establish pedestrian connections as recommended by Rolesville's Greenway Plan.


## Traffic

## Traffic Impact Analysis

The consulting firm, DRMP, performed the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for this project on behalf of the Town; the study analyzed the development of 220 single family detached lots. Site access is proposed via two full movement driveway connections to Mitchell Mill Road. The TIA for this proposed development was originally sealed on May 19, 2022 and approved by NCDOT. The Town of Rolesville requested the TIA be updated to match the rezoning Concept Site Plan changes that resulted in a lower trip generation. The updated TIA was sealed on February 29, 2024, and this TIA updates the analysis using the new trip generation.

| Site Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Land Use <br> (ITE Code) | Intensity | Daily <br> Traffic | AM Peak <br> Hour Trips <br> Enter | AM Peak <br> Hour Trips <br> Exit | PM Peak <br> Hour Trips <br> Enter | PM Peak <br> Hour Trips <br> Exit |
| Single <br> Family <br> Housing <br> $(210)$220 <br> Dwelling <br> Units | 2,084 | 38 |  |  |  |  |

Three (3) intersections were studied for capacity analysis and Level of Service (LOS) impact of this development - US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road; US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-turn location; Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road.

## TIA Summary - Intersection Improvements

| Recommendations |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Required Frontage Improvements per Rolesville Community Transportation Plan (CTP) | - Widen one-half section of Mitchell Mill Road along the site frontage to this roadway's ultimate section (4-lane Median [raised] divided. |
| US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road | - Conduct a full signal warrant analysis prior to Full Buildout of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by NCDOT and Town. |
| Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road | - Construct a south-bound (Jonesville Rd) left-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper. <br> - It should be noted that this improvement was also identified by the 5109 Mitchell Mill Rd TIA. |
|  | - Construct a westbound (Mitchell Mill Road) right-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper. |


|  | - Conduct a full signal warrant analysis prior to Full Buildout of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by NCDOT and Town. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 1 | - Construct the southbound approach (Site Access 1) as a right-in/right-out with one ingress lane and one egress lane. |
|  | - Provide stop control for the southbound approach (Site Access 1). |
|  | - Construct a concrete median on Mitchell Mill Road that restricts access to right-in/right-out. |
| Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 2 | - Construct the southbound approach (Site Access 2) with one ingress lane and one egress lane. |
|  | - Provide stop control for the southbound approach (Site Access 2). |
|  | - Construct the westbound (Mitchell Mill Road) right-lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper. |

## Development Review

The Technical Review Committee (TRC) reviewed one version of this current Rezoning application (but approximately five full reviews of the two other Applications prior to this), with all comments pertinent to the consideration of the proposed districts and the general development plan being resolved. Note that this does not mean that all LDO subdivision and/or site development regulations have been demonstrated, as the attached Concept Site Plan is only a conceptual plan, and not an engineered and dimensioned layout.

## Planning Board Recommendation

The Planning Board met on March 25, 2024 to review and provide a recommendation on the Rezoning application. Following presentations by Staff and the Applicant, Board members asked about ingress and egress into the subdivision, the greenway, and maintenance within the Duke Power Line Easement.

The Planning Board made a recommendation of Approval (to the Town Board of Commissioners) with a $3-2$ vote (3 ayes / 2 nays / 2 absent.)

## Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of Rezoning request REZ-24-02. The proposed housing type is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map, and the Applicant's efforts to revise the Concept Site Plan to better fit the Town of Rolesville's current policy direction of where or where not to locate townhomes is noted. (The proposed Concept Site Plan includes single family detached lots only; prior plans for MA-22-01 and REZ-23-03 included townhome lots as well.)

## Consistency and Reasonableness

As noted above under the Comprehensive Plan section of this report, the rezoning request for the subject parcel is consistent with Rolesville's vision. Rezoning application REZ-24-02 is thus consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and other applicable plans and is therefore reasonable.

## Proposed Motion

1. Motion to (approve or deny) rezoning REZ-24-02 - Hills at Harris Creek.
2. (Following Approval) Motion to adopt a Plan Consistency Statement and Statement of Reasonableness for REZ-24-02.
3. Motion to (approve or deny) the Voluntary Annexation Petition received under G.S. 160A-31 for ANX-24-01, Hills at Harris Creek.

Or
4. Motion to continue the Legislative Hearing and/or further consideration for REZ-24-02 and ANX-24-01 to a future Town Board of Commissioners' meeting.

## Attachments

| 1 | Vicinity Map |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | Zoning Map |
| 3 | Future Land Use Map |
| 4 | Map Amendment (Rezoning) Application |
| 5 | Concept Site Plan and Recreational Amenities Plan |
| 6 | Proposed Conditions of Approval |
| 7 | Neighborhood Meeting Package |
| 8 | Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) sealed dated February 29, 2024 |
| 9 | Voluntary Annexation Petition and Attachments |
| 10 | Applicant Presentation |

Case: ANX 22-04, REZ 23-03

## p.aprx <br> Rolesville

Address: 0,0,3645 Rock Farm, 5333 Mitchell Mill Road
PIN 1757750520, 1757758529, 1757761273, 1757778982
Date: 2023.07.18

Est, 1837

## Vicinity Map




## Future Land Use Map

## Legend

RolesFULU03-13-20 ROLU_CLASS

Low Density Residential

Medium Density Residential
High Density Residential
Town Center
Mixed Use
Neighborhood
Commercial
Business Park
Industrial
School
Preserved Open Space
Water Sewer Services


## Contact Information

Property Owner Alan \& Randy Watkins // Laura and Randall Watkins // Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC
Address 305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110 City/State/Zip Raleigh NC 27609

Phone 919-824-6088 Emailjason@ellisdevgroup.com

Developer Ellis Developments NC, LLC
Contact Name Jason Pfister
Address 305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110 City/State/Zip Raleigh, NC 27609
Phone 919-824-6088 Email jason@ellisdevgroup.com

## Property Information

Address 5326 Mitchell Mill Rd
Wake County PIN(s) 1757758529, 1757750520, 1757761273, 1757778982
Current Zoning DistrictWake R30
Requested Zoning District RM-CZ
Total Acreage 115.44

## Owner Signature

I hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and completed. I understand that if any item is found to be otherwise after evidentiary hearing before the Town Board of Commissioners, that the action of the


STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF WAKE
I, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that Stephen Ellis, Manager of Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument. This the 12th
My commission expires 1/7/2028

Town of Rolesvillép, Tainning
PO Box 250 / Rolesville, North Carolina 27571 / RdlledswifléNC.gov / 919.554.6517
$\qquad$
Date $\qquad$

## Map Amendment Application

## Contact Information

Property Owner Alan \& Randy Watkins // Laura and Randall Watkins // Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC
Address 305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110 City/State/Zip Raleigh NC 27609

Phone 919-824-6088 Emailjason@ellisdevgroup.com

| Developer Ellis Developments NC, LLC |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact Name Jason Pfister |  |
| Address 305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110 | City/State/Zip Raleigh, NC 27609 |
| Phone $919-824-6088$ | Email jason@ellisdevgroup.com |

## Property Information

Address 5326 Mitchell Mill Rd
Wake County PINs) 1757758529, 1757750520, 1757761273, 1757778982
Current Zoning District Wake R30 Requested Zoning District RM-CZ
Total Acreage 115.44

## Owner Signature

I hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and completed. I understand that if any item is found to be otherwise after evidentiary hearing before the Town Board of Commissioners, that the action of the Board may be invalidated.

Signature



Date $13 F \mathrm{Feb} 2024$

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF $\qquad$
I, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that Laves watkins $t$ Ronefril Wofkis personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument. This the 13 th day of
 $20 \quad 24$ ("!и!!!!!!!!и! .


Case No. $\qquad$
Date $\qquad$

## Map Amendment Application

Genuine Community - Capital Connection
Est. 1837

## Contact Information

Property Owner Alan \& Randy Watkins // Laura and Randall Watkins // Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC
Address 305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110 City/State/Zip Raleigh NC 27609
Phone 919-824-6088 Email jason@ellisdevgroup.com

| Developer Ellis Developments NC, LLC |
| :--- |
| Contact Name Jason Pfister |
| Address 305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110 |
| Phone 9 City/State/Zip Raleigh, NC 27609 |

## Property Information

Address 5326 Mitchell Mill Rd
Wake County PINs) 1757758529, 1757750520, 1757761273, 1757778982
Current Zoning District Wake R30 Requested Zoning District RM-Cz
Total Acreage 115.44

## Owner Signature

I hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and completed. I understand that if any item is found to be otherwise after evidentiary hearing before the Town Board of Commissioners, that the action of the Board may be invalidated.
Signature $\qquad$
Ry $\qquad$ Date

## STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAICE
1, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that
Alan Wattling sad Randy Watkins personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument. This


## Map Amendment Application

 Est. 1837
## Metes and Bounds Description of Property

see-attached

## Map Amendment Application

Est. 1837

## Rezoning Justification

The proposed rezoning, of the parcels described above, is made in concert with careful consideration of the Rolesville Comprehensive Plan 2017. The Future Land Use Map designates these parcels as Medium Density Residential, and we believe the Residential Medium Density Conditional Zoning (RM-CZ) zone would allow the best variety of housing types to meet this objective while integrating seamlessly with the surrounding community. The varied housing types appeal to a various income levels while still maintaining the appeal and quality that is inconsistent with the planned development of the surrounding areas. In total, we feel this proposal provides the correct balance to meet the Future Land Use map and goats set forth in the Rolesville Comprehensive Plan 2017. The proposed rezoning is in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan and reasonable and in the public interest. We request your support for the proposed zoning.

## Map Amendment Application

Est. 1837

## Property Owner Information

| Wake County PIN | Property Owner | Mailing Address | Zip Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1757758529 | Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC |  | 27587 |
| 1757750520 | Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy | 3609 Rock Farm Rd, Wake Forest, NC 27587 | 27587 |
| 1757761273 | Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura | 3544 Donlin Rd, Wake Forest, NC 27587 | 27587 |
| 1757778982 | Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy | 3609 Rock Farm Rd, Wake Forest, NC 27587 | 27587 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Metes and Bounds report for Hills at Harris Creek Parcel

Beginning at an iron pipe, with North Carolina Grid Coordinates using NAD83 (2011) of Northing 775,443.03' and an Easting 2,156,848.70', the true point of beginning, thence, N1937'29"E for a distance of 190.11' to a point, thence

N18 $40^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ E for a distance of $227.11^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
N1931'15"E for a distance of 230.79' to a point, thence
N83 ${ }^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ W for a distance of $376.14^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
N43 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ E for a distance of $1,191.02$ ' to a point, thence
N03 ${ }^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ W for a distance of $2,728.43^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
N03 ${ }^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ W for a distance of 235.15 ' to a point, thence
N75 ${ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 40$ " E for a distance of 340.47 ' to a point, thence
N65 ${ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ 07" E for a distance of $350.10^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S40 ${ }^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56$ " E for a distance of $133.25^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S80 ${ }^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 11$ " E for a distance of $62.70^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S04 46 ' 37 " E for a distance of $4,426.22^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S24 ${ }^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 12$ " E for a distance of $83.90^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S00 $25^{\prime} 56$ " E for a distance of $530.78^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
N71³4'19"W for a distance of 6.89' to a point, thence
Around a curve to the left with a radius of 730.00 ', a length of 54.41 ', a chord bearing of $\mathrm{N} 73^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ and chord distance of $54.39^{\prime}$ to a point, thence

Around a curve to the right with a radius of 12,981.80', a length of 667.56', a chord bearing of $N 75^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 09$ "W, and a chord distance of $667.49^{\prime}$ to a point, thence

Around a curve to the left with a radius of $11,030.00$ ', a length of 753.92 ', a chord bearing of N75 ${ }^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44$ "W, and a chord distance of 753.77 ' to a point, thence
$\mathrm{N} 77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13$ "W for a distance of 103.54 ' to a point, thence
Around a curve to the left with a radius of 1,229.93', a length of 86.32 ', a chord bearing of N79²3'51"W, and a chord distance of 86.30' to a point, thence

N78 ${ }^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of $60.60^{\prime}$ to the point and place of beginning. For a total of $5,029,708.11$ square feet or 115.466 acres.

## EXHIBIT ONE

## CONCEPT SKETCH PLAN
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# EXHIBIT TWO <br> recreational amenities plan 



Hills at Harris Creek
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## Attachment 6

## Exhibit Three

## REZ-24-02/Hills at Harris Creek

Conditions of Approval
Date: April 30, 2024

1. The subject property shall be developed generally in accordance with the Concept Sketch Plan attached hereto as Exhibit One and incorporated herein as if fully set out. Locations shown for committed elements including, but not limited to Greenways, streets, and open space areas shown on the Concept Sketch Plan, are conceptual and provided for illustration and context only. Final locations of elements shall be determined at subsequent stages through the Town's development review approval processes.
2. Density: The property may be developed with up to a maximum of 225 single family detached dwelling units.
3. Affordable Housing: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, Twenty Thousand Dollars and No Cents ( $\$ 20,000.00\}$ shall be donated to Homes for Heroes (or another non-profit organization with a substantially similar mission statement). A signed and notarized affidavit from the benefitted charity shall be provided as evidence of performance of this commitment.
4. Pollinator Plantings: At least four acres of the landscaping planted within the Duke Energy power line easement on the subject property shall utilize plant materials that are listed as Native Pollinator Plants on North Carolina Wildlife Federation ("NCWF") or other resources for native plants recommended by the NCWF. This landscape element shall be identified as a "feature" in the appropriate proposed Lot within the Preliminary Subdivision Plat drawings, and then again identified and fully detailed on landscape plan drawings included in the Construction Infrastructure Drawings, and this shall be considered infrastructure that is inspected for (installation) compliance by/at the time of subdivision close-out. Applicant may provide this feature earlier in the development process by evidence of photo documentation and inspection report by the Town infrastructure inspector or other staff.
5. Recreational Amenities: The following recreational amenities shall be provided generally as shown on the Recreational Amenities Plan attached hereto as Exhibit Two as a part of the development of the subject property and shall be dedicated to the subdivision's homeowner's association (HOA). These amenities shall be identified as a "feature" in the appropriate proposed Lot within the Preliminary Subdivision Plat drawings, and then again identified and detailed in the Construction Infrastructure Drawings, and this shall be considered infrastructure that is inspected for (installation) compliance by/at the time of subdivision close-out. Applicant may provide this feature earlier in the development process by evidence of photo documentation and inspection report by the Town infrastructure inspector or other staff.
i. A swimming pool and cabana, including changing rooms and restrooms shall be constructed prior to the issuance of the $150^{\text {th }}$ residential dwelling unit building permit;
ii. At least one fenced playground shall be constructed prior to the issuance of the $150^{\text {th }}$ residential dwelling unit building permit;
iii. At least one fenced dog park shall be constructed prior to the issuance of
the $150^{\text {th }}$ residential dwelling unit building permit;
iv. At least one (1) garden park shall be provided prior to the issuance of the $200^{\text {th }}$ residential dwelling unit building permit.
6. Foundations: All homes shall include either crawl space foundations or stem wall foundation (as they are generally defined in the home building industry). Any stem wall foundations shall have an average of at least eighteen inches (18") in height of reveal above the finished ground surface across the front facade of the home. There shall be no exposed concrete on any portion of the stem wall foundation on any side of the home facing and directly parallel to a public street. Compliance with this condition shall be demonstrated by noting the following on the plans submitted with the residential building permit application: i) the average stem wall height for the front façade of the stem wall foundation, and ii) the building materials to be used (stone veneer or brick) on the stem wall foundation façade on any side of the home facing a public street.
7. Minimum Dwelling Size: Each single family detached dwelling unit shall contain a minimum gross building square footage of 2,000 square feet.
8. Driveway Access to Neighboring Properties: Two private driveways (shown as Driveway A and Driveway B on the attached Exhibit One) shall be constructed to connect the property to the two adjacent properties (identified as Wake County PIN's 1757657746 \& 1757658917) that currently access Mitchell Mill Road via the private road known as Rock Farm Road (the "Rock Farm Road Properties"). Rock Farm Road will be abandoned and removed in connection with the development of the property. Access easements shall be provided to the Rock Farm Road Properties to provide ingress/egress to the Rock Farm Road Properties through Driveway A and Driveway $B$ prior to the abandonment and removal of Rock Farm Road.
9. Greenway and Shared Use Path: A 10' wide public shared use path (labeled as "Shared Use Path" on the attached Exhibit One) shall be constructed and dedicated to the Town to connect to the 10 wide public greenway (labeled as the Public Greenway on the attached Exhibit One).
10. Future Greenway Expansion: The 50' wide "Greenway Easement" as shown on Exhibit One, shall be dedicated to the Town as a future public greenway.

## Attachment 7

DEVELOPMENTS GROUP

## Notification of Neighborhood Meeting for Pending Rezoning



You have received this notice because you own property near where an application to rezone or subdivide property has been filed. This notice is to inform you of an upcoming public meeting on this proposed rezoning.

## Dear Property Owner:

Please be advised that a formal application has been submitted to amend the zoning classification for four properties (Wake County Property Identification Numbers 1757750520, 1757758529, 1757761273, and 1757778982) located at 5326 Mitchell Mill Road in Rolesville. The project consists of approximately 115.94 acres which is currently zoned R-30: Residential. The parcels are currently zoned under the development jurisdiction of Wake County. However, Ellis Developments Group has also filed a pending annexation application (ANX 2401) to annex the parcels into the town limits of Rolesville.

Ellis Developments Group has applied to rezone the parcels to RM-CZ (Residential Medium Density Conditional Zoning) to allow for the construction of up to 225 single family detached homes. We believe the proposed rezoning at this location is consistent with the Town of Rolesville Future Land Use Map which calls for medium density residential in this area.

In compliance with the requirements of the Town of Rolesville's Land Development Ordinance Code, a Neighborhood Meeting will be held to provide you with an opportunity to review a conceptual plan for the project and to give you an opportunity to ask any questions you may have about the project.

The Neighborhood Meeting will be held on Monday, March 18, 2024 from 6:30-7:30 p.m. at the Rolesville Community Center located at 514 Southtown Circle, Rolesville, NC 27571. Should you have questions prior to the meeting, please feel free to contact me via telephone at 919-824-6088 or email at jason@ellisdevgroup.com.

Sincerely,

Community Meeting Sign-In Sheet

| Project: | Hills at Harris Creek REZ-24-02 | Meeting Date: | March 18, 2024 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applicant: | Ellis Developments Group | Location: | Rolesville Community Center |



## About Us



- Who are We?
- Ellis Developments Group - Land Acquisition and Development Company
- Where are We?
- Headquarters in Raleigh with an office in Charlotte
- Projects throughout the Carolinas


## - What is our Role?

- Develop and deliver high-quality residential development projects that meet demand for growth
- Foster relationships with landowners, municipalities, and community members to develop projects that enhance communities Develop and deliver high quality residential development projects that enhance communities and meet demand for growth


## Proposed Rezoning

## Current Status

- Rural residential land
- Acreage: 115.94 acres
- Current Zoning: Wake County R-30 (allows approximately 75 lots)


## Proposed Changes

- Zoning Change to Residential Medium Density Conditional Zoning
- Proposed construction of 225 single family homes with minimum 8000 sf lots(Under 2 units/acre)


## Site Plan



## Updates Since Prior Meeting

- Reduced units from 267 total homes to 225 homes
- Removed townhomes and commercial parcel
- Maintains all prior traffic improvements to minimize impacts and improve traffic flows
- Greenway trail expanded and integrated into amenity center
- Reduced density and higher price point for tax base


## Comprehensive Plan 2017

## ELLIS



## Greenway Plan



Greenway Trails


## ELLIS

## Recreational Amenities Plan



## ELLIS

## Amenities

Community Pool and Cabana


Amenities

Dog Park


Amenities

Pollinator/Wildflower Garden


Amenities

Landscaped Boulevard Streetscapes


## Benefits of Proposed Rezoning

- Preserves and maximizes open space (40\%)
- Multiple parks; pollinator garden
- Variety of housing types and price points
- Improved connectivity and traffic flow
- Increased tax base for town


## Site Plan



## Hills at Harris Creek Neighborhood Meeting Report

Ellis Developments Group (EDG) hosted an informational meeting for the proposed project on March 18, 2024 at the Rolesville Community Center. Three neighboring residents attended the meeting, all of which had attended the neighborhood meetings for the prior rezoning (attendance sheet attached). During the meeting, EDG presented an overview of the updates to the proposed project compared to the prior rezoning request and provided the attendees with an opportunity to ask questions and express any concerns about the project. More specifically, the following topics were discussed in detail:

- Process and timeline
- Overview of the rezoning process and upcoming hearings, as well as overall anticipated construction timeline.
- Future Land Use Map
- Explained goals and rationale behind Future Land Use Map and specifically what is allowed in Medium Density Residential
- Explained how this project is consistent with FLUM designation for this area of Town
- Overview of project
- Unit mix, overall goal for neighborhood look and feel
- 225 SF homes
- Density: less than units/acre
- Buffers/preservation of existing trees or natural areas
- 25' landscaped buffer
- Described amenities green space design/walkability of community
- Well integrity/blasting
- Residents raised concerns about rock blasting near their homes and potential damage to wells servicing their homes
- EDG committed to ensuring that blasting contractor is sufficiently insured/bonded and steps will be taken to ensure that wells will be protected
- Any damage caused by blasting would be liability of EDG or its subcontractors
- Traffic
- EDG responsible for all traffic improvements called for in the TIA
- Measures to control traffic including traffic calming boulevard entrance
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## 1. Development Overview

A Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) was conducted for the proposed Hills at Harris Creek development in accordance with the Town of Rolesville (Town) Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) and North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) capacity analysis guidelines. The proposed development is to be located north of Mitchell Mill Road, west of Manly Farm Road, and east of Gro Peg Lane in Rolesville, North Carolina. The proposed development, anticipated to be completed in 2027, is assumed to consist of 220 single-family homes. Site access is proposed via two (2) full-movement driveway connections to Mitchell Mill Road. A TIA for this development was sealed on May 19, 2022 and approved by NCDOT. The Town requested the TIA be updated to match site plan changes that resulted in lower trip generation. This TIA updates the analysis using the new trip generation. No other scope changes were made from the previous TIA.

## 2. Existing Traffic Conditions

The study area for the TIA was determined through coordination with the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) and the Town of Rolesville (Town) and consists of the following existing intersections:

- US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road
- US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location
- Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road

Existing peak hour traffic volumes were determined based on traffic counts conducted at the study intersection listed above, in November of 2021 during typical weekday AM (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 PM - 6:00 PM) peak periods, while schools were in session for in-person learning.

Previously collected counts from the year 2021 were projected to the 2022 existing analysis year using a compounded annual growth rate of $2 \%$. Weekday AM and PM traffic volumes were balanced between study intersections, where appropriate.

## 3. Future Traffic Conditions

Through coordination with the NCDOT and the Town, it was determined that an annual growth rate of $2 \%$ would be used to generate 2027 projected weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes. the following adjacent developments were identified to be included as an approved adjacent development in this study:

- Cobblestone Crossing Mixed-Use
- Young Street PUD
- Wheeler Tract
- Louisbury Road Assemblage
- Kalas / Watkins Family Property


## 4. Site Trip Generation

Average weekday daily, AM peak hour, and PM peak hour trips for the proposed development were estimated using methodology contained within the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $11.1^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Table E-1 provides a summary of the trip generation potential for the site.

Table E-1: Site Trip Generation

| Land Use (ITE Code) | Intensity | Daily Traffic (vpd) | Weekday AM Peak Hour Trips (vph) |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour Trips (vph) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
| Single-Family Housing (210) | 220 DU | 2,084 | 38 | 115 | 131 | 78 |

To estimate traffic conditions with the site fully built-out, the total site trips were added to the 2027 no-build traffic volumes to determine the 2027 build traffic volumes. The study analyzes traffic conditions during the weekday AM and PM peak hours for the following scenarios:

- 2023 Existing Traffic Conditions
- 2027 No-Build Traffic Conditions
- 2027 Build Traffic Conditions


## 5. Capacity Analysis Summary

The analysis considered weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic for 2022 existing, 2027 nobuild, and 2027 build conditions. Refer to Section 7 of the TIA for the capacity analysis summary performed at each study intersection.

## 6. Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, specific geometric and traffic control improvements have been identified at study intersections. The improvements are summarized below and are illustrated in Figure E-1.

## Recommended Improvements by Developer

## Required Frontage Improvements per Rolesville Community

## Transportation Plan

- Widen one-half section of Mitchell Mill Road along the site frontage to this roadway's ultimate section (4-lane median divided).

US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road

- Conduct a full signal warrant analysis prior to full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by the NCDOT and the Town.


## US 401 Bypass and Easten U-Turn Location

- Conduct a full signal warrant analysis prior to full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by the NCDOT and the Town.


## Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road

- Construct a southbound (Jonesville Road) left-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.
- It should be noted that this improvement was also identified by the 5109 Mitchell Mill Road TIA.
- Construct a westbound (Mitchell Mill Road) right-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.
- Conduct a full signal warrant analysis prior to full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by NCDOT and the Town.


## Mitchell Mills Road and Site Access 1

- Construct the southbound approach (Site Access 1) as a right-in/right-out with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Provide stop-control for the southbound approach (Site Access 1).
- Construct a concrete median on Mitchell Mill Road that restricts access to right-in/right-out.


## Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 2

- Construct the southbound approach (Site Access 2) with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Provide stop-control for the southbound approach (Site Access 2).
- Construct an eastbound (Mitchell Mill Road) left-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.
- Construct a westbound (Mitchell Mill Road) right-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.


## LEGEND

O Unsignalized Intersection

- Left-Over Intersection

Right-In/Right-Out Intersection
$\rightarrow \quad$ Existing Lane
X' Storage (In Feet)

* Developer Monitor for Signalization
$\rightarrow$ Improvements by Developer
- Frontage Widening Requirement**

**Refer to Section 9 of the report for more information

| (8) D | Hills at Harris Creek <br> Rolesville, NC | Recommended Lane <br> Configurations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Scale: Not to Scale Figure E-1 |  |
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## TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

## HILLS AT HARRIS CREEK

Rolesville, North Carolina

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The contents of this report present the findings of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) conducted for the proposed Hills at Harris Creek development in Rolesville, North Carolina. The proposed development, anticipated to be completed in 2027, is located north of Mitchell Mill Road, west of Manly Farm Road, and east of Gro Peg Lane in Rolesville, North Carolina. The purpose of this study is to determine the potential impacts to the surrounding transportation system created by traffic generated by the proposed development, as well as recommend improvements to mitigate the impacts.

The proposed development, anticipated to be completed in 2027, is assumed to consist of 220 single-family housing units.

The study analyzes traffic conditions during the weekday AM and PM peak hours for the following scenarios:

- 2022 Existing Traffic Conditions
- 2027 No-Build Traffic Conditions
- 2027 Build Traffic Conditions


### 1.1. Site Location and Study Area

The development is proposed to be located north of Mitchell Mill Road, west of Manly Farm Road, and east of Gro Peg Lane in Rolesville, North Carolina. Refer to Figure 1 for the site location map.

The study area for the TIA was determined through coordination with the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) and the Town of Rolesville (Town) and consists of the following existing intersections:

- US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road
- US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location
- Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road


### 1.2. Proposed Land Use and Site Access

The proposed development, anticipated to be completed in 2027, is assumed to consist of 220 single-family homes.

Site access is proposed via one (1) full-movement and one (1) right-in/right-out (RIRO) driveway connection along Mitchell Mill Road. Refer to Figure 2 for a copy of the preliminary site plan.

### 1.3. Adjacent Land Uses

The proposed development is located in an area consisting primarily of undeveloped land and residential development.

### 1.4. Existing Roadways

Existing lane configurations (number of traffic lanes on each intersection approach), speed limits, storage capacities, and other intersection and roadway information within the study area are shown in Figure 3. Table 1 provides a summary of this information, as well.

## Table 1: Existing Roadway Inventory

| Road Name | Route <br> Number | Typical Cross <br> Section | Speed Limit | 2021 AADT <br> (vpd) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 401 Bypass |  | 4-lane <br> divided | 55 mph | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 0 0}$ |
| Jonesville Road | SR 2226 | 2-lane <br> undivided | $35 \mathrm{mph} /$ <br> 45 mph | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 0 *}$ |
| Mitchell Mill Road | SR 2224 | 2-lane <br> undivided | 45 mph | 4,100 |
| Peebles Road | SR 2929 | 2-lane <br> undivided | 45 mph | $\mathbf{1 , 7 0 0 *}$ |

*ADT based on the traffic counts from 2022 and assuming the weekday PM peak hour volume is $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ of the average daily traffic.
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| (8) $D$ | Hills at Harris Creek Rolesville, NC | 2022 Existing <br> Lane Configurations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scale: Not to Scale | Figure 3 |

## 2. 2022 EXISTING PEAK HOUR CONDITIONS

### 2.1. 2022 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Existing peak hour traffic volumes were determined based on previously collected traffic counts conducted at the study intersections listed below, in November of 2021 during typical weekday AM (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 PM - 6:00 PM) peak periods, while schools were in session for in-person learning:

- US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road
- US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location
- Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road

Previously collected counts from the year 2021 were projected to the 2022 existing analysis year using a compounded annual growth rate of $2 \%$. Weekday AM and PM traffic volumes were balanced between study intersections, where appropriate. Refer to Figure 4 for 2022 existing weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes. A copy of the count data is located in Appendix $B$ of this report.

### 2.2. Analysis of 2022 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Conditions

The 2022 existing weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes were analyzed to determine the current levels of service at the study intersections under existing roadway conditions. The results of the analysis are presented in Section 7 of this report.


## 3. 2027 NO-BUILD PEAK HOUR CONDITIONS

In order to account for the growth of traffic and subsequent traffic conditions at a future year, no-build traffic projections are needed. No-build traffic is the component of traffic due to the growth of the community and surrounding area that is anticipated to occur regardless of whether the proposed development is constructed. No-build traffic is comprised of existing traffic growth within the study area and additional traffic created as a result of adjacent approved developments.

### 3.1. Ambient Traffic Growth

Through coordination with NCDOT and the Town, it was determined that an annual growth rate of $2 \%$ would be used to generate 2027 projected weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes. Refer to Figure 5 for 2027 projected peak hour traffic.

### 3.2. Adjacent Development Traffic

Through coordination with the NCDOT and the Town, the following adjacent developments were identified to be included as an approved adjacent development in this study:

- Cobblestone Crossing Mixed-Use
- Young Street PUD
- Wheeler Tract
- Louisbury Road Assemblage
- Kalas / Watkins Family Property

Table 2, on the following page, provides a summary of the adjacent developments.

Table 2: Adjacent Development Information

| Development Name | Location | Build-Out Year | Land Use / Intensity | TIA <br> Performed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cobblestone Crossing Mixed-Use | Northwest quadrant of the intersection of Main Street and Young Street | 2023 | 180 multi-family homes 18,200 sq. ft. municipal flex space 50,000 sq. ft. general retail | March 2021 by RKA |
| Young Street PUD | Along both sides of US 401 Bypass west of Young Street | 2025 | 96 single-family homes <br> 525 single-family homes <br> 320 multi-family homes 122,800 sq. ft. general retail | June 2019 by Kimley Horn |
| Wheeler Tract | Northeast quadrant of the intersection of Rolesville Road and Mitchell Mill Road | 2026 | 233 single-family homes <br> 125 multi-family homes | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 2019 \\ \text { by RKA } \end{gathered}$ |
| Louisbury Road Assemblage | West of Louisbury Road and south of Stells Road | 2025 | 152 single-family homes | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 2020 \\ \text { by RKA } \end{gathered}$ |
| Kalas / Watkins Family Property | Along the west side of Rolesville Road, north of Mitchell Mill Road | 2025 | 439 single-family homes 96 multi-family homes | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 2019 \\ \text { by Stantec } \end{gathered}$ |

Adjacent development trips are shown in Figure 6. Adjacent development information can be found in Appendix C.

### 3.3. Future Roadway Improvements

Based on coordination with the NCDOT and the Town, it was determined there were no future roadway improvements to consider under future conditions with this study. It should be noted that per the Rolesville Community Transportation Plan (dated May 2022), the ultimate cross-section of Jonesville Road is identified as a 2-lane roadway with a center
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two-way-left-turn-lane (TWLTL) and Mitchell Mill Road is identified as a 4-lane mediandivided roadway.

### 3.4. 2027 No-Build Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

The 2027 no-build traffic volumes were determined by projecting the 2022 existing peak hour traffic to the year 2027 and adding the adjacent development trips. Refer to Figure 7 for an illustration of the 2027 no-build peak hour traffic volumes at the study intersections.

### 3.5. Analysis of 2027 No-Build Peak Hour Traffic Conditions

The 2027 no-build AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the study intersections were analyzed with existing geometric roadway conditions and traffic control. The analysis results are presented in Section 7 of this report.




## 4. SITE TRIP GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION

### 4.1. Trip Generation

The proposed development is assumed to consist of 220 single-family homes. Average weekday daily, AM peak hour, and PM peak hour trips for the proposed development were estimated using methodology contained within the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition. Table 3 provides a summary of the trip generation potential for the site.

Table 3: Trip Generation Summary

| Land Use (ITE Code) | Intensity | Daily Traffic (vpd) | Weekday AM Peak Hour Trips (vph) |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour Trips (vph) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
| Single-Family Home (210) | 220 DU | 2,084 | 38 | 115 | 131 | 78 |

It is estimated that the proposed development will generate approximately 2,084 total site trips on the roadway network during a typical 24 -hour weekday period. Of the daily traffic volume, it is anticipated that 153 trips ( 38 entering and 115 exiting) will occur during the weekday AM peak hour and 209 trips (131 entering and 78 exiting) will occur during the weekday PM peak hour.

### 4.2. Site Trip Distribution and Assignment

Trip distribution percentages used in assigning site traffic for this development were estimated based on a combination of existing traffic patterns, population centers adjacent to the study area, and engineering judgment.

It is estimated that the site trips will be regionally distributed as follows:

- $35 \%$ to/from the west via Mitchell Mill Road
- $30 \%$ to/from the west via US 401 Bypass
- $15 \%$ to/from the east via US 401 Bypass
- $10 \%$ to/from the south via Peebles Road
- $10 \%$ to/from the east via Mitchell Mill Road

The site trip distribution is shown in Figure 8. Refer to Figure 9 for the site trip assignment.


## 5. 2027 BUILD TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

### 5.1. 2027 Build Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

To estimate traffic conditions with the site fully built-out, the site trips were added to the 2027 no-build traffic volumes to determine the 2027 build traffic volumes. Refer to Figure 10 for an illustration of the 2027 build peak hour traffic volumes with the proposed site fully developed.

### 5.2. Analysis of 2027 Build Peak Hour Traffic Conditions

Study intersections were analyzed with the 2027 build traffic volumes using the same methodology previously discussed for existing and no-build traffic conditions. Intersections were analyzed with improvements necessary to accommodate future traffic volumes. The results of the capacity analysis for each intersection are presented in Section 7 of this report.


## 6. TRAFFIC ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Study intersections were analyzed using the methodology outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition published by the Transportation Research Board. Capacity and level of service are the design criteria for this traffic study. A computer software package, Synchro (Version 11.1), was used to complete the analyses for the study area intersections. Please note that the unsignalized capacity analysis does not provide an overall level of service for an intersection; only delay for an approach with a conflicting movement.

The HCM defines capacity as "the maximum hourly rate at which persons or vehicles can reasonably be expected to traverse a point or uniform section of a lane or roadway during a given time period under prevailing roadway, traffic, and control conditions." Level of service (LOS) is a term used to represent different driving conditions and is defined as a "qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, and their perception by motorists and/or passengers." Level of service varies from Level "A" representing free flow, to Level "F" where breakdown conditions are evident. Refer to Table 4 for HCM levels of service and related average control delay per vehicle for both signalized and unsignalized intersections. Control delay as defined by the HCM includes "initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay". An average control delay of 50 seconds at a signalized intersection results in LOS "D" operation at the intersection.

Table 4: Highway Capacity Manual - Levels-of-Service and Delay

| UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION |  | SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEVEL | AVERAGE |  | AVERAGE |
| OF | CONTROL DELAY | LEVEL OF | CONTROL DELAY |
| SERVICE | PER VEHICLE | SERVICE | PER VEHICLE |
| A | (SECONDS) |  | (SECONDS) |
| B | $0-10$ | A | $0-10$ |
| C | $10-15$ | B | $10-20$ |
| D | $15-25$ | C | $20-35$ |
| E | $25-35$ | E | $35-55$ |
| F | $35-50$ | F | $55-80$ |

### 6.1. Adjustments to Analysis Guidelines

Capacity analysis at all study intersections was completed according to the NCDOT Congestion Management Guidelines.

## 7. CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The following study intersections were analyzed under 2022 existing, 2027 no-build, and 2027 build traffic conditions:

- US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road
- US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location
- Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road

All proposed site driveways were analyzed under 2027 build traffic conditions. Refer to Tables 5-9 for a summary of capacity analysis results. Refer to Appendices D-H for the Synchro capacity analysis reports and SimTraffic queueing reports.

### 7.1. US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road

The existing unsignalized intersection of US 401 Bypass Road and Jonesville Road was analyzed under 2022 existing, 2027 no-build, and 2027 build traffic conditions with the lane configurations and traffic control shown in Table 5. Refer to Table 5 for a summary of the analysis results. Refer to Appendix D for the Synchro capacity analysis reports.

Table 5: Analysis Summary of US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road

| ANALYSIS SCENARIO | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{R} \\ & \mathbf{O} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{H} \end{aligned}$ | LANE CONFIGURATIONS | WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  | WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Approach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | Approach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{\text { Existing }}{2022}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { WB* } \\ & \text { NB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { TH, } 1 \text { RT } \\ 1 \text { LT } \\ 1 \text { RT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathbf{C}^{1}} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathbf{E}^{1}} \\ & \mathbf{C}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB** } \\ \text { WB } \\ \text { SB } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{LT} \\ 2 \text { TH, } 1 \text { RT } \\ 1 \text { RT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & - \\ & \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{2}} \end{aligned}$ | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & -- \\ & \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{2}} \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} 2027 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ \text { WB* } \\ \text { NB } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{TH}, 1 \mathrm{RT} \\ 1 \mathrm{LT} \\ 1 \mathrm{RT} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathbf{D}^{1}} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & -\overline{F^{1}} \\ & \mathbf{E}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB** } \\ & \text { WB } \\ & \text { SB } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{LT} \\ 2 \mathrm{TH}, 1 \mathrm{RT} \\ 1 \mathrm{RT} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}^{1} \\ & -- \\ & F^{2} \end{aligned}$ | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & -- \\ & \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{2}} \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2027 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { WB* } \\ & \text { NB } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{TH}, 1 \mathrm{RT} \\ 1 \mathrm{LT} \\ 1 \mathrm{RT} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & --\mathbf{D}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{C}^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{--} \\ & \mathbf{F}^{1} \\ & F^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB** } \\ & \text { WB } \\ & \text { SB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { LT } \\ 2 \text { TH, } 1 \text { RT } \\ 1 \text { RT } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{\mathbf{1}}$ -- $\mathrm{F}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & -- \\ & \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{2}} \end{aligned}$ | N/A |

*Synchro analyzed the WB left-turns as SB through movements due to the nature of the superstreet and synchro limitations.
**Synchro analyzed the EB left-turns as NB through movements due to the nature of the superstreet and synchro limitations.

1. Level of service for major-street left-turn movement.
2. Level of service for minor-street approach.

Capacity analysis of 2022 existing traffic conditions indicates that the major-street leftturn movements and minor-street approaches are expected to operate at LOS C or better with the exception of the eastbound left-turn movement during the weekday AM peak hour (LOS F), the westbound left-turn movement during the weekday PM peak hour (LOS E), and the southbound minor-street approach during the weekday AM peak hour (LOS E).

Under 2027 no-build and 2027 build traffic conditions, the major-street left-turn movements are expected to operate at LOS E/F during the weekday AM and PM peak hours with the exception of the westbound left-turn movement during the weekday AM peak hour (LOS D) under 2027 no-build and 2027 build traffic conditions. The minor-street approaches are expected to operate at LOS E/F during the weekday AM and PM peak hours with the exception of the northbound approach during the weekday AM peak hour (LOS B/C) and the southbound approach during the weekday PM peak hour (LOS B) under 2027 no-build and 2027 build traffic conditions. It should be noted that the proposed development is expected to account for approximately $3 \%$ of the total traffic at this intersection during both the weekday AM and PM peak hours. The proposed development is expected to account for approximately $26 \%$ and $20 \%$ of the overall northbound approach traffic at this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

Due to the poor levels-of-service expected at this intersection, a traffic signal was considered under 2027 build traffic conditions to achieve acceptable levels of service. Weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes were utilized in evaluating the potential need for signalization based on the guidelines contained within the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and within the Guidelines for Signalization of Intersections with Two or Three Approaches Final Report, published by ITRE. Based on a review of signal warrants at this intersection, the peak hour warrant (warrant 3) from the MUTCD is expected to be met for both the weekday AM and PM peak hours under 2027 no-build and build traffic conditions. It is not expected that this intersection would satisfy the MUTCD 8-hour (warrant 1) or 4-hour (warrant 2) warrants, which NCDOT favors for installation of a traffic signal. These longer period warrants are not typically met for residential areas due to the distinct peak traffic periods for these types of development. Based on a review of ITRE 95th percentile queue length calculations, the northbound rightturn movement demand is expected to exceed capacity during the weekday PM peak hour
under 2027 no-build and 2027 build traffic conditions. Refer to Appendix J for a copy of the MUTCD warrants and the ITRE 95th percentile queue length calculations.

Based on the Town's LDO, improvements must be identified to maintain no-build levels-of-service under build traffic conditions or to limit the degradation to less than a five percent increase in total delay on any approach for those operating at failing levels-ofservice under no-build traffic conditions. Therefore, additional turn-lanes were considered for the northbound right-turn and westbound left-turn movements at this intersection to achieve acceptable operation per the Town's LDO. However, additional turn-lanes are not a realistic or practical improvement at an unsignalized intersection operating with superstreet configurations.

Based on the Town's LDO, it is recommended that this intersection be monitored for signalization and a full signal warrant analysis be conducted prior to the full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by the Town and NCDOT. With signalization, it is expected that this intersection will operate at acceptable levels-of-service during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

### 7.2. US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location

The existing unsignalized intersection of US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location was analyzed under 2022 existing, 2027 no-build, and 2027 build traffic conditions with the lane configurations and traffic control shown in Table 6. Refer to Table 6 for a summary of the analysis results. Refer to Appendix E for the Synchro capacity analysis reports.

Table 6: US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location

| ANALYSIS SCENARIO | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{R} \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{H} \end{aligned}$ | LANE CONFIGURATIONS | WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  | WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Approach | Overall (seconds) | Approach | Overall (seconds) |
| $\underset{\text { Existing }}{2023}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB* } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { UT } \\ & 2 \text { TH } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{C}^{1}$ | N/A | B -- | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} 2028 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB* } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { UT } \\ & 2 \text { TH } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{E}^{1}$ | N/A | B -- | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2028 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB* } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { UT } \\ & 2 \text { TH } \end{aligned}$ | F - | N/A | B -- | N/A |

*Synchro analyzed the EB u-turn as a NB left-turn movement due to the nature of the superstreet and synchro limitations.

1. Level of service for major-street U-turn movement.

Capacity analysis of 2022 existing and 2027 no-build traffic conditions indicates that the major-street U-turn movement is expected to operate at LOS C or better during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, with the exception of the weekday AM peak hour under 2027 no-build conditions (LOS E).

Under 2027 build traffic conditions, the major-street u-turn movement is expected to operate at LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour and at LOS B during the weekday PM peak hour. It should be noted that the proposed development is expected to account for approximately $2 \%$ of the total traffic at this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours. The proposed development is expected to account for approximately $26 \%$ and $24 \%$ of the overall northbound approach traffic at this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

Due to the poor levels-of-service expected at this intersection, a traffic signal was considered under 2027 build traffic conditions to achieve acceptable levels of service. Weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes were utilized in evaluating the potential need for signalization based on the guidelines contained within the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and within the Guidelines for Signalization of Intersections with Two or Three Approaches Final Report, published by ITRE. Based on a review of signal warrants at this intersection, the peak hour warrant (warrant 3) from the MUTCD is expected to be met for the weekday AM peak hour under 2027 no-build and 2027 build traffic conditions. It is not expected that this intersection would satisfy the MUTCD 8-hour (warrant 1) or 4-hour (warrant 2) warrants, which NCDOT favors for installation of a traffic signal. These longer period warrants are not typically met for residential areas due to the distinct peak traffic periods for these types of development. Based on a review of ITRE 95th percentile queue length calculations, the eastbound uturn movement demand is expected to exceed capacity during the weekday AM peak hour under 2027 no-build and 2027 build traffic conditions. Refer to Appendix J for a copy of the MUTCD warrants and the ITRE 95th percentile queue length calculations.

Based on the Town's LDO, improvements must be identified to maintain no-build levels-of-service under build traffic conditions or to limit the degradation to less than a five percent increase in total delay on any approach for those operating at failing levels-ofservice under no-build traffic conditions. Therefore, additional turn-lanes were considered for the eastbound u-turn movement at this intersection to achieve acceptable operation per the Town's LDO. However, additional turn-lanes are not a realistic or practical improvement at an unsignalized intersection operating with superstreet configurations.

Based on the Town's LDO, it is recommended that this intersection be monitored for signalization and a full signal warrant analysis be conducted prior to the full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by the Town and NCDOT. With signalization, it is expected that this intersection will operate at acceptable levels-of-service during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

### 7.3. Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles

Road
The existing unsignalized intersection of Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road was analyzed under 2022 existing, 2027 no-build, and 2027 build traffic conditions with the lane configurations and traffic control shown in Table 7. Refer to Table 7 for a summary of the analysis results. Refer to Appendix F for the Synchro capacity analysis reports.

Table 7: Analysis Summary of Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road

| ANALYSIS SCENARIO | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{R} \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{H} \end{aligned}$ | LANECONFIGURATIONS | WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  | WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Approach | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | Approach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{\text { Existing }}{2022}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { WB } \\ & \text { NB } \\ & \text { SB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{A}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ (\mathbf{1 1}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2027 \text { No- } \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { WB } \\ & \text { NB } \\ & \text { SB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{F}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(51)}{F}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ (19) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2027 Build | EB <br> WB <br> NB <br> SB | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{F}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { F } \\ (97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ (39) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2027 Build Improved | EB <br> WB <br> NB <br> SB | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH, } 1 \text { RT } \\ & 1 \text { LT-TH-RT } \\ & 1 \mathrm{LT}, 1 \text { TH-RT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{F}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ (82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \mathbf{B}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ (50) \end{gathered}$ |

Improvements to lane configurations by adjacent development are shown underlined.

1. Level of service for all-way stop controlled approach.

Capacity analysis of 2022 existing and 2027 no-build traffic conditions indicates that the intersection is expected to operate at an overall LOS C or better during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, with the exception of the weekday AM peak hour under 2027 no-build
traffic conditions (LOS F). Under 2027 build traffic conditions, this intersection is expected to operate at an overall LOS F during the weekday AM and PM peak hours. It should be noted that the proposed development is expected to account for approximately $10 \%$ and $15 \%$ of the total traffic at this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The proposed development is expected to account for approximately $5 \%$ and $9 \%$ of the overall eastbound approach traffic and $14 \%$ and $16 \%$ of the overall westbound approach at this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

Turn lanes were considered at this intersection in order to mitigate the proportional impact that the proposed site traffic is expected to have at this intersection and to improve overall operations. An exclusive left-turn lane on the southbound approach (Jonesville Road) and right-turn lane on the westbound approach (Mitchell Mill Road) are recommended by the developer. Both turn lanes are recommended to have 100 feet of storage. It should be noted that an exclusive southbound left-turn lane was also identified in the 5109 Mitchell Mill Road TIA. With these improvements, the intersection is expected to continue operating at an overall LOS F during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

It should be noted that the overall intersection delay is expected to increase during the weekday PM peak hour as a result of the recommended improvements to the southbound and westbound approaches. This increase in delay is attributable to minor increases in delays for all approaches caused by adding additional lanes to an all-way stop-controlled intersection. No feasible improvements other than signalization would be expected to decrease delays further at this intersection.

Due to the poor levels-of-service expected at this intersection, a traffic signal was considered under 2027 build traffic conditions to achieve acceptable levels-of-service. The peak hour warrant (warrant 3) from the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) was considered. Based on a review of the peak hour signal warrant at this intersection, the intersection is expected to meet the peak hour warrant for the weekday AM peak hour under 2027 no-build traffic conditions and both the weekday AM and PM peak hours under 2027 build traffic conditions. It is not expected that this intersection would satisfy the MUTCD 8-hour (warrant 1) or 4-hour (warrant 2) warrants, which NCDOT favors for installation of a traffic signal. These longer period warrants are not typically met for residential areas due to the distinct peak traffic periods for these types of development. Refer to Appendix J for a copy of the MUTCD warrants.

Based on the Town's LDO, it is recommended that this intersection be monitored for signalization and a full signal warrant analysis be conducted prior to the full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by the Town and NCDOT. With signalization, it is expected that this intersection will operate at acceptable levels-of-service during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

### 7.4. Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 1

The proposed intersection of Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 1 was analyzed under 2027 build traffic conditions with the lane configurations and traffic control shown in Table 8. Refer to Table 8 for a summary of the analysis results. Refer to Appendix $G$ for the synchro capacity analysis reports.

Table 8: Analysis Summary of Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 1

| ANALYSIS SCENARIO | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{R} \\ & \mathbf{O} \\ & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{H} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | LANE CONFIGURATIONS | WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  | WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Approach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | Approach | Overall (seconds) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2027 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { WB } \\ & \underline{S B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{TH} \\ 1 \mathrm{TH}-\mathrm{RT} \\ \underline{1} \mathrm{RT} \end{gathered}$ | -- <br> -1 <br> 1 | N/A | -- $-B^{1}$ | N/A |

Improvements to lane configurations are shown underlined.

1. Level of service for minor-street approach.

Capacity analysis of 2027 build traffic conditions indicates that the minor-street approach is expected to operate at LOS B during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

Based on the estimated low volume of right-turn movements into the proposed development at this intersection, an exclusive right-turn lane is not recommended. See Appendix I for the turn lane warrants.

### 7.5. Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 2

The proposed intersection of Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 1 was analyzed under 2027 build traffic conditions with the lane configurations and traffic control shown in Table 8. Refer to Table 8 for a summary of the analysis results. Refer to Appendix $G$ for the synchro capacity analysis reports.

Table 8: Analysis Summary of Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 1

| ANALYSIS SCENARIO | A <br> P <br> P <br> R <br> $\mathbf{O}$ <br> A <br> C <br> H | LANE CONFIGURATIONS | WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  | WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Approach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { (seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | Approach | Overall (seconds) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2027 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ \text { WB } \\ \underline{S B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { LT, } 1 \mathrm{TH} \\ \hline 1 \mathrm{TH}, 1 \mathrm{RT} \\ 1 \mathrm{LT}-\mathrm{RT} \end{gathered}$ | A <br> - <br> $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ | N/A | $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}}$ $-\mathbf{B}$ $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | N/A |

Improvements to lane configurations are shown underlined.

1. Level of service for major-street left-turn movement.
2. Level of service for minor-street approach.

Capacity analysis of 2027 build traffic conditions indicates that the minor-street approach is expected to operate at LOS B during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

The NCDOT driveway manual states that turn lanes should be considered when the major street carries 4,000 vehicles per day or more. Mitchell Mill Road carries 4,100 vehicles per day. Based on this and previous comments from NCDOT, left and right turn lanes on Mitchell Mill Road are recommended. Both turn lanes are recommended to have 100 feet of storage. See Appendix I for the turn lane warrants.

## 8. CONCLUSIONS

This Traffic Impact Analysis was conducted to determine the potential traffic impacts of the proposed Hills at Harris Creek development located north of Mitchell Mill Road, west of Manly Farm Road, and east of Gro Peg Lane in Rolesville, North Carolina. The development is expected to consist of 220 single-family homes and to be built-out in 2027. Site access is proposed via one (1) full-movement and one (1) right-in/right-out driveway connection along Mitchell Mill Road.

The study analyzes traffic conditions during the weekday AM and PM peak hours for the following scenarios:

- 2022 Existing Traffic Conditions
- 2027 No-Build Traffic Conditions
- 2027 Build Traffic Conditions


## Trip Generation

It is estimated that the proposed development will generate approximately 153 primary trips ( 38 entering and 115 exiting) during the weekday AM peak hour and 209 primary trips (131 entering and 78 exiting) during the weekday PM peak hour.

## Rolesville Community Transportation Plan

Per the Rolesville Community Transportation Plan (CTP), the ultimate cross-section of Mitchell Mill Road is identified as a 4-lane median-divided roadway. It is recommended that the proposed development widen one-half section of Mitchell Mill Road along the site frontage in accordance with the Town's CTP.

## Adjustments to Analysis Guidelines

Capacity analysis at all study intersections was completed according to NCDOT Congestion Management Guidelines. Refer to section 6.1 of this report for a detailed description of any adjustments to these guidelines made throughout the analysis.

## Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary

All the study area intersections (including the proposed site driveways) are expected to operate at acceptable levels-of-service under existing and future year conditions with the exception of those identified in Section 7 of this report.

## 9. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, specific geometric improvements have been identified and are recommended to accommodate future traffic conditions. See a more detailed description of the recommended improvements below. Refer to Figure 14 for an illustration of the recommended lane configuration for the proposed development.

## Recommended Improvements by Developer

## Required Frontage Improvements per Rolesville Community

## Transportation Plan

- Widen one-half section of Mitchell Mill Road along the site frontage to this roadway's ultimate section (4-lane median divided).

US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road

- Conduct a full signal warrant analysis prior to full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by NCDOT and the Town.


## US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location

- Conduct a full signal warrant analysis prior to full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by NCDOT and the Town.


## Mitchell Mill Road and Jonesville Road / Peebles Road

- Construct a southbound (Jonesville Road) left-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.
- It should be noted that this improvement was also identified by the 5109 Mitchell Mill Road TIA.
- Construct a westbound (Mitchell Mill Road) right-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.
- Conduct a full signal warrant analysis prior to full build-out of the proposed development and install a traffic signal if warranted and approved by NCDOT and the Town.


## Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 1

- Construct the southbound approach (Site Access 1) as a right-in/right-out with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Provide stop-control for the southbound approach (Site Access 1).
- Construct a concrete median on Mitchell Mill Road that restricts access to right-in/right-out.


## Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 2

- Construct the southbound approach (Site Access 2) with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Provide stop-control for the southbound approach (Site Access 2).
- Construct an eastbound (Mitchell Mill Road) left-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.
- Construct a westbound (Mitchell Mill Road) right-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.

**Refer to Section 9 of the report for more information

|  | Hills at Harris Creek Rolesville, NC | Recommended Lane Configurations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scale: Not to Scale | Figure 11 |

## APPENDIX A

## SCOPING DOCUMENTATION

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:
Attachments:

Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com)
Tuesday, February 20, 2024 11:18 AM
Andrew Eagle; Elabarger, Michael S; Gruber, Meredith; Stephen Ellis
Keith Spalding-Robbins; Matthew West; Jason Pfister
RE: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)
Hills at Harris Creek 1-31.pdf


Thanks Andrew. That is still the plan and we would prefer to keep it as currently planned (reflected in attached site plan) rather than adding another full access entrance.

## Jason Pfister

Vice President of Development
305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110
Raleigh NC 27609
jason@ellisdevgroup.com
m 919.824.6088

From: Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com)
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2024 10:34 AM
To: Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov); Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov); Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com); Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com)
Cc: Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com); Matthew West [mwest@drmp.com](mailto:mwest@drmp.com)
Subject: RE: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)
Jason,
We should have a draft TIA ready by March 12, earlier if possible. Is the plan still to have the western driveway be right-in/right-out and the eastern driveway full access? The right-in/right-out restriction came from NCDOT's preference. Let me know if you want me to ask NCDOT about allowing full access instead of right-in/right-out since we have removed the commercial use.

Thanks,

Andrew Eagle, PE, PTOE
Senior Traffic Analysis Project Manager
Main: 704.549.4260 | Direct: 704.467.0325 | Cell: 704.467.0325
aeagle@drmp.com


8210 University Executive Park Drive
Suite 220, Charlotte, NC 28262


From: Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov)
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 9:15 AM
To: Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov); Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com); Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com); Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com)
Cc: Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com); Taylor Geneser [tgeneser@drmp.com](mailto:tgeneser@drmp.com)
Subject: RE: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)

Good morning,

Attached is Receipt of Payment of the TIA Pre-Pay - Andrew, please proceed with the TIA revision.

Thanks everyone for quick attention on this!
Mike Elabarger
Senior Planner

From: Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov)
Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2024 2:57 PM
To: Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com); Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com); Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com)
Cc: Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov); Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com);
Taylor Geneser [tgeneser@drmp.com](mailto:tgeneser@drmp.com)
Subject: RE: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)
Hello Everyone,

I can sign the contract as soon as we receive a check for the prepayment of the TIA (invoice attached). Jason and/or Stephen—please have the check made out to the Town of Rolesville.

Best regards,
Meredith

Meredith A. Gruber, PLA, AICP
Planning Director
Town of Rolesville
P.O. Box 250

502 Southtown Circle
Rolesville, NC 27571
www.rolesvillenc.gov
919.554.6517

From: Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com)
Sent: Wednesday, February 7, 2024 2:31 PM
To: Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com); Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com)
Cc: Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov); Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov);

Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com); Taylor Geneser [tgeneser@drmp.com](mailto:tgeneser@drmp.com)
Subject: RE: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Good afternoon,

We need to contract with the Town. Meredith, please send a signed contract as soon as you are able. We will determine if any of the mitigation can be reduced due to the lower trip generation. We will try to turn the TIA around quicker than the 4 -week schedule I gave.

Andrew Eagle, PE, PTOE
Senior Traffic Analysis Project Manager
Main: 704.549.4260 | Direct: 704.467.0325 | Cell: 704.467.0325
aeagle@drmp.com


8210 University Executive Park Drive
Suite 220, Charlotte, NC 28262


From: Jason Pfister < iason@ellisdevgroup.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 11:07 AM
To: Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com); Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com)
Cc: Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov); Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov); Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com); Taylor Geneser [tgeneser@drmp.com](mailto:tgeneser@drmp.com); Jason Pfister [iason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:iason@ellisdevgroup.com)
Subject: RE: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)
Here is the signed proposal.

## Jason Pfister

Vice President of Development
305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110
Raleigh NC 27609
jason@ellisdevgroup.com
m 919.824.6088

From: Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com)
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 10:01 AM
To: Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com)
Cc: Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com); Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov); Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov); Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com); Taylor Geneser [tgeneser@drmp.com](mailto:tgeneser@drmp.com)
Subject: Re: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)

This is good to go, signed doc will be over to you later from jason.

1/ can u please push to reduce our off site impacts, I was expecting a reduction due to commercial and townhomes being both dropped.

2/ can u push this closer to front of $Q$ so we can keep ahead of our schedule?
Many thanks

S

Sent from my iPhone

On 6 Feb 2024, at 9:54 am, Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com) wrote:

Attached is our proposal for revising the TIA and attending up to 3 public meetings. We will only bill a portion of the public meetings fee, based on the hours needed for attendance.

Andrew Eagle, PE, PTOE<br>Senior Traffic Analysis Project Manager<br>Main: 704.549.4260 | Direct: 704.467.0325 | Cell: 704.467.0325<br>aeagle@drmp.com



8210 University Executive Park Drive
Suite 220, Charlotte, NC 28262


From: Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com)
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 8:48 AM
To: Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com)
Cc: Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov); Gruber, Meredith
[meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov); Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com); Keith Spalding-
Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com)
Subject: Re: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)
Thanks Andrew. I think we would want you to attend both the planning board and the commissioners meeting to be safe.

Jason C. Pfister
Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2024, at 8:43 AM, Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com) wrote:

I'll get a proposal to the Town today. Should I include any time for attending public meetings? I expect to have a draft TIA ready 4 weeks after NTP.

Andrew Eagle, PE, PTOE<br>Senior Traffic Analysis Project Manager<br>Main: 704.549.4260 | Direct: 704.467.0325 | Cell: 704.467.0325<br>aeagle@drmp.com



8210 University Executive Park Drive
Suite 220, Charlotte, NC 28262


From: Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com)
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 12:50 PM
To: Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov); Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov); Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com)
Cc: Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com); Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com); Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com)
Subject: RE: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)
OK, I must have misinterpreted what you said yesterday. Thanks for clarifying Mike. Andrew, do you have any ballpark estimate of how long this TIA update would take to complete?

```
Jason Pfister
Vice President of Development
305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110
Raleigh NC 27609
jason@ellisdevgroup.com
m 919.824.6088
```

From: Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov)
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 12:21 PM
To: Jason Pfister < jason@ellisdevgroup.com>; Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov); Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com)
Cc: Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com); Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com)
Subject: RE: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek (TIA revision)

Hello, all,
Thanks for jumping on this asap.
No, the TIA cannot continue to express the scope of the project as (clip below). The TIA should match the existing proposed project. It's great that the OUTCOME of the TIA will be the same, but corners should NOT be cut to get there. The analysis graphs, trip
generation, movements at intersections, dropping any of that "internal capture" for the Day Care center which will be no longer, all that should be changed to reflect the new project of $\sim 220$ SFD's.

Andrew, please work up a Cost Estimate for the changed Scope and proceed like we normally would (on a TIA revision) -- (and if I recall, didn't this TIA go through a Revision one time originally? Or I'm confusing it with the 5109 Mitchell Mill ( a Hopper project) a little east of this the year before...

Thank you,
Mike E.
<image001.png>

From: Jason Pfister [jason@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:jason@ellisdevgroup.com)
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 10:57 AM
To: Gruber, Meredith [meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov); Elabarger, Michael S [michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov](mailto:michael.elabarger@rolesville.nc.gov)
Cc: Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com); Keith Spalding-Robbins [keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com); Jason Pfister < jason@ellisdevgroup.com>
Subject: [External] FW: Hills at Harris Creek

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Meredith/Mike,

See the below response from Andrew Eagle. I agree with his recommendation to reuse the existing underlying data from the prior TIA and was thus going to ask him for an official letter confirming that the roadway improvements will be the same. Is that sufficient for your purposes?

## Jason Pfister

Vice President of Development
305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite 1110
Raleigh NC 27609
jason@ellisdevgroup.com
m 919.824.6088

From: Andrew Eagle [AEagle@drmp.com](mailto:AEagle@drmp.com)
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 10:36 AM
To: Jason Pfister < jason@ellisdevgroup.com>
Cc: Stephen Ellis [stephen@ellisdevgroup.com](mailto:stephen@ellisdevgroup.com); Keith Spalding-Robbins
[keith@strongrockgroup.com](mailto:keith@strongrockgroup.com)
Subject: RE: Hills at Harris Creek

Hey Jason,

I ran some quick analysis for you. The new site plan will not result in less roadway improvements. This area is experiencing high delays which leaves little room for
additional development trips．I recommend sticking with the existing TIA to save on time．

Please be aware that the attached TIA is the latest．Followed by NCDOT＇s final review （requirements are slightly different at one driveway）．I also attached an email chain regarding the signal warrant analysis that is needed．

```
Andrew Eagle, PE, PTOE
Senior Traffic Analysis Project Manager
Main: 704.549.4260 | Direct: 704.467.0325 | Cell: 704.467.0325
aeagle@drmp.com
\square
8210 University Executive Park Drive
Suite 220, Charlotte, NC }2826
صロロロロ
```

From：Jason Pfister＜jason＠ellisdevgroup．com＞
Sent：Wednesday，January 31， 2024 4：23 PM
To：Andrew Eagle＜AEagle＠rameykemp．com＞；Daniel Reisfeld ＜dreisfeld＠rameykemp．com＞
Cc：Stephen Ellis＜stephen＠ellisdevgroup．com＞；Keith Spalding－Robbins
＜keith＠strongrockgroup．com＞；Jason Pfister＜jason＠ellisdevgroup．com＞
Subject：Hills at Harris Creek

Andrew／Daniel，

We are working on a new configuration for our Hills at Harris Creek after getting denied on the initial rezoning．The updated site plan（dropping the townhomes and commercial；about 220 single family lots only）is attached．Quick question：based on the reduced lot count and loss of the commercial，do you think the overall amount of required improvements would be reduced in a new TIA？If not，we are going to ask the planning director if we can reuse the attached TIA in order to save time on the second rezoning submission．Please give me a call if you think it makes sense to talk through this．Thanks．

```
<image003.png>
Jason Pfister
Vice President of Development
305 Church at North Hills Street, Suite }111
Raleigh NC 27609
jason@ellisdevgroup.com
m 919.824.6088
``` disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.
<Hills at Harris Creek - TIA - 02-06-2024.pdf>

Meredith Gruber, PLA, AICP
Town of Rolesville - Planning Director
PO Box 250
502 Southtown Circle
Rolesville, NC 27571
meredith.gruber@rolesville.nc.gov
[Sent via Email]
Reference: Hills at Harris Creek
Rolesville, North Carolina

Subject: Memorandum of Understanding for TIA Report

Dear Ms. Gruber:

The following is a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) outlining the proposed scope of work and assumptions related to the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the proposed Hills at Harris Creek development in Rolesville, North Carolina. The proposed development is to be located north of Mitchell Mill Road, west of Manly Farm Road, and east of Gro Peg Lane in Rolesville, NC. The development is expected to consist of 211 single-family homes, 109 townhomes, and 3.626 acres of commercial development. This MOU reflects the assumptions outlined during the initial coordination between Ramey Kemp Associates (RKA), the Town of Rolesville (Town), and the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT). Refer to the attached site location map. Site access to the proposed development is expected to be provided via two (2) full-movement driveway connections along Mitchell Mill Road. Refer to the attachments for a copy of the preliminary site plan.

The proposed development, anticipated to be completed in 2027, is expected to consist of 211 singlefamily homes, 109 townhomes, and 3.626 acres of commercial development. It should be noted that the commercial development land use(s) and intensity are not known at this time. Therefore, 7,000 square feet (sq. ft.) of general retail space per acre of land [approximately \(25,400 \mathrm{sq}\). ft.] was assumed for the commercial development in this study. The proposed development is assumed to consist of the following land uses:
- 211 single-family homes
- 109 townhomes
- 25,400 sq. ft. of general retail

\section*{Study Area}

Based on a coordination with NCDOT and Town staff, the study area is proposed to consist of the following intersections:
- Mitchell Mill Road \& Jonesville Road / Peebles Road (unsignalized)
- US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road (unsignalized)
- US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location (unsignalized)
- Mitchell Mill Road and Site Driveways (2)

\section*{Existing Traffic Volumes}

Existing peak hour traffic volumes will be determined based on previously collected traffic counts at the study intersections below, in November 2021 during typical weekday AM (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 PM - 6:00 PM) peak periods, while schools were in session for in-person learning:
- Mitchell Mill Road \& Jonesville Road / Peebles Road
- US 401 Bypass and Jonesville Road
- US 401 Bypass and Eastern U-Turn Location

These previously collected counts will be projected to the year 2022 using a compounded annual growth rate of \(2 \%\). Refer to the attachments for an illustration of 2022 existing peak hour traffic volumes.

\section*{Background Traffic Volumes}

Based on coordination with NCDOT and the Town, background traffic volumes will be determined by projecting 2022 existing traffic volumes to the year 2027 using a \(2 \%\) annual growth rate. Additionally, it was determined that the following adjacent developments are to be included in this study:
- Cobblestone Crossing Mixed-Use
- Young Street PUD
- Wheeler Tract
- Louisbury Road Assemblage
- Kalas / Watkins Family Property

\section*{Future Roadway Improvements}

Based on coordination with the Town and NCDOT, it was determined that there are no future roadway improvements within the study area to consider under future traffic conditions.

\section*{Trip Generation}

Average weekday daily, AM peak hour, and PM peak hour trips for the proposed development were estimated using methodology contained within the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition. Refer to

Table 1, on the following page, for a summary of the proposed site trip generation for full buildout of the proposed development.

Table 1: Trip Generation Summary
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Land Use (ITE Code)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Intensity} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Daily \\
Traffic (vpd)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weekday AM Peak Hour Trips (vph)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weekday PM Peak Hour Trips (vph)} \\
\hline & & & Enter & Exit & Total & Enter & Exit & Total \\
\hline Single-Family Home
(210) & 211 DU & 2,010 & 38 & 109 & 147 & 126 & 74 & 200 \\
\hline Multi-Family Home (Low-Rise) (220) & 109 DU & 770 & 14 & 43 & 57 & 42 & 25 & 67 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Retail (<40 KSF) } \\
(822) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & 25.4* KSF & 1,300 & 32 & 21 & 53 & 75 & 76 & 151 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total Trips} & 4,080 & 84 & 173 & 257 & 243 & 175 & 418 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Internal Capture
\((2 \%\) AM, \(1 \%\) PM)**} & -2 & -3 & -5 & -5 & -3 & -8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Total External Trips} & 82 & 170 & 252 & 238 & 172 & 410 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pass-By Trips: Shopping Center ( \(34 \%\) PM)} & - & - & - & -25 & -25 & -50 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Total Primary Trips} & 82 & 170 & 252 & 213 & 147 & 360 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Since the commercial development is unknown at this time, \(7,000 \mathrm{SF}\) of general retail space per acre of land [3.626 acres in total] was assumed for this land use.
**Utilizing methodology contained in the NCHRP Report 684.
It is estimated that the proposed development will generate approximately 4,080 site trips on the roadway network during a typical 24 -hour weekday period. Of the daily traffic volume, it is anticipated that 257 trips (84 entering and 173 exiting) will occur during the weekday AM peak hour and 418 trips ( 243 entering and 175 exiting) will occur during the weekday PM peak hour.

Internal capture of trips between the retail and residential land uses was considered in this study. Internal capture is the consideration for trips that will be made within the site between different land uses, so the vehicle technically never leaves the internal site but can still be considered as a trip to that specific land use. Based on NCHRP Report 684 methodology, weekday AM and PM peak hour internal capture rates of \(2 \%\) and \(1 \%\), respectively, were applied to the trips generated from the development. The internal capture reductions are expected to account for approximately 5 trips ( 2 entering and 3 exiting) during the weekday AM peak hour and 8 trips ( 5 entering and 3 exiting) during the weekday PM peak hour. Refer to the attached NCHRP internal capture reports for reference.

Pass-by trips will also be taken into consideration in this study. Pass-by trips are made by the traffic already using the adjacent roadway, entering the site as an intermediate stop on their way to another destination. Pass-by percentages are applied to site trips after adjustments for internal capture. Passby trips are expected to account for approximately 50 trips ( 25 entering and 25 exiting) during the
weekday PM peak hour. It should be noted that the pass-by trips were balanced, as it is likely that these trips would enter and exit in the same hour.
The total primary trips are the calculated site trips after the reduction for internal capture and pass-by trips. Primary site traffic is expected to generate approximately 252 trips ( 82 entering and 170 exiting) during the weekday AM peak hour, and 360 trips ( 213 entering and 147 exiting) during the weekday PM peak hour.

\section*{Trip Distribution and Assignment}

Site trips are distributed based on the locations of existing traffic patterns, population centers adjacent to the study area, and engineering judgment. A summary of the overall distributions is below.

\section*{Residential}
- \(30 \%\) to/from the west via US 401 Bypass
- \(15 \%\) to/from the east via US 401 Bypass
- \(10 \%\) to/from the south via Peebles Road
- \(35 \%\) to/from the west via Mitchell Mill Road
- \(10 \%\) to/from the east via Mitchell Mill Road

\section*{Commercial}
- \(25 \%\) to/from the west via US 401 Bypass
- \(15 \%\) to/from the east via US 401 Bypass
- \(10 \%\) to/from the south via Peebles Road
- \(40 \%\) to/from the west via Mitchell Mill Road
- \(10 \%\) to/from the east via Mitchell Mill Road

Refer to the attached site trip distribution figures.

\section*{Analysis Scenarios}

All capacity analyses will be performed utilizing Synchro (Version 10.3). All study intersections will be analyzed during the weekday AM and PM peak hours under the following proposed traffic scenarios:
- 2022 Existing Traffic Conditions
- 2027 No-Build Traffic Conditions
- 2027 Build Traffic Conditions

\section*{Report}

The TIA report will be prepared based on the Town and NCDOT requirements.
If you find this memorandum of understanding acceptable, please let me know so that we may include it in the TIA report. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,
Ramey Kemp Associates,


Michael Karpkinski, P.E.
Traffic Engineering Project Manager
Attachments: Site Location Map
Site Plan
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes Figure NCHRP 684 Internal Capture Reports
Proposed Site Trip Distribution Figures
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\section*{APPENDIX B}

\section*{TRAFFIC COUNTS}


\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(US 401 and Jonesville)AM Peak Site Code :
Start Date : 11/9/2021
Page No : 1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Jonesville Road Southbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{US 401 Westbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Jonesville Road Northbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{US 401 Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline 07:00 AM & 63 & 0 & 0 & 63 & 24 & 380 & 21 & 425 & 23 & 0 & 0 & 23 & 18 & 182 & 3 & 203 & 714 \\
\hline 07:15 AM & 42 & 0 & 0 & 42 & 39 & 362 & 24 & 425 & 37 & 0 & 0 & 37 & 11 & 125 & 7 & 143 & 647 \\
\hline 07:30 AM & 51 & 0 & 0 & 51 & 80 & 318 & 23 & 421 & 48 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 24 & 136 & 15 & 175 & 695 \\
\hline 07:45 AM & 65 & 0 & 0 & 65 & 38 & 249 & 16 & 303 & 25 & 0 & 0 & 25 & 25 & 135 & 10 & 170 & 563 \\
\hline Total & 221 & 0 & 0 & 221 & 181 & 1309 & 84 & 1574 & 133 & 0 & 0 & 133 & 78 & 578 & 35 & 691 & 2619 \\
\hline 08:00 AM & 61 & 0 & 0 & 61 & 26 & 236 & 13 & 275 & 23 & 0 & 0 & 23 & 30 & 120 & 10 & 160 & 519 \\
\hline 08:15 AM & 36 & 0 & 0 & 36 & 12 & 233 & 9 & 254 & 16 & 0 & 0 & 16 & 13 & 94 & 9 & 116 & 422 \\
\hline 08:30 AM & 24 & 0 & 0 & 24 & 10 & 213 & 5 & 228 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 6 & 91 & 3 & 100 & 361 \\
\hline 08:45 AM & 28 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 9 & 145 & 5 & 159 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 11 & 85 & 2 & 98 & 295 \\
\hline Total & 149 & 0 & 0 & 149 & 57 & 827 & 32 & 916 & 58 & 0 & 0 & 58 & 60 & 390 & 24 & 474 & 1597 \\
\hline Grand Total & 370 & 0 & 0 & 370 & 238 & 2136 & 116 & 2490 & 191 & 0 & 0 & 191 & 138 & 968 & 59 & 1165 & 4216 \\
\hline Apprch \% & 100 & 0 & 0 & & 9.6 & 85.8 & 4.7 & & 100 & 0 & 0 & & 11.8 & 83.1 & 5.1 & & \\
\hline Total \% & 8.8 & 0 & 0 & 8.8 & 5.6 & 50.7 & 2.8 & 59.1 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 4.5 & 3.3 & 23 & 1.4 & 27.6 & \\
\hline Cars + & 366 & 0 & 0 & 366 & 233 & 2094 & 114 & 2441 & 188 & 0 & 0 & 188 & 135 & 916 & 57 & 1108 & 4103 \\
\hline \% Cars + & 98.9 & 0 & 0 & 98.9 & 97.9 & 98 & 98.3 & 98 & 98.4 & 0 & 0 & 98.4 & 97.8 & 94.6 & 96.6 & 95.1 & 97.3 \\
\hline Trucks & 4 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 5 & 42 & 2 & 49 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 52 & 2 & 57 & 113 \\
\hline \% Trucks & 1.1 & 0 & 0 & 1.1 & 2.1 & 2 & 1.7 & 2 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 1.6 & 2.2 & 5.4 & 3.4 & 4.9 & 2.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name: Rolesville(US 401 and Jonesville)AM Peak Site Code :
Start Date :11/9/2021
Page No : 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Jonesville Road Southbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{US 401 Westbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Jonesville Road Northbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{US 401 Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM} \\
\hline 07:00 AM & 63 & 0 & 0 & 63 & 24 & 380 & 21 & 425 & 23 & 0 & 0 & 23 & 18 & 182 & 3 & 203 & 714 \\
\hline 07:15 AM & 42 & 0 & 0 & 42 & 39 & 362 & 24 & 425 & 37 & 0 & 0 & 37 & 11 & 125 & 7 & 143 & 647 \\
\hline 07:30 AM & 51 & 0 & 0 & 51 & 80 & 318 & 23 & 421 & 48 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 24 & 136 & 15 & 175 & 695 \\
\hline 07:45 AM & 65 & 0 & 0 & 65 & 38 & 249 & 16 & 303 & 25 & 0 & 0 & 25 & 25 & 135 & 10 & 170 & 563 \\
\hline Total Volume & 221 & 0 & 0 & 221 & 181 & 1309 & 84 & 1574 & 133 & 0 & 0 & 133 & 78 & 578 & 35 & 691 & 2619 \\
\hline \% App. Total & 100 & 0 & 0 & & 11.5 & 83.2 & 5.3 & & 100 & 0 & 0 & & 11.3 & 83.6 & 5.1 & & \\
\hline PHF & . 850 & . 000 & . 000 & . 850 & 566 & . 861 & . 875 & 926 & 693 & 000 & . 000 & 693 & 780 & . 794 & . 583 & 851 & . 917 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(US 401 and Jonesville)PM Peak Site Code :
Start Date : 11/9/2021
Page No : 1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Jonesville Road Southbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
US 401 \\
Westbound
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Jonesville Road Northbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{US 401 Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline 04:00 PM & 47 & 0 & 0 & 47 & 13 & 124 & 6 & 143 & 21 & 0 & 0 & 21 & 37 & 217 & 22 & 276 & 487 \\
\hline 04:15 PM & 34 & 0 & 0 & 34 & 13 & 119 & 6 & 138 & 26 & 0 & 0 & 26 & 15 & 231 & 20 & 266 & 464 \\
\hline 04:30 PM & 30 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 19 & 118 & 12 & 149 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 12 & 291 & 28 & 331 & 542 \\
\hline 04:45 PM & 15 & 0 & 0 & 15 & 22 & 137 & 6 & 165 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 8 & 303 & 30 & 341 & 553 \\
\hline Total & 126 & 0 & 0 & 126 & 67 & 498 & 30 & 595 & 111 & 0 & 0 & 111 & 72 & 1042 & 100 & 1214 & 2046 \\
\hline 05:00 PM & 37 & 0 & 0 & 37 & 10 & 143 & 7 & 160 & 23 & 0 & 0 & 23 & 23 & 322 & 30 & 375 & 595 \\
\hline 05:15 PM & 30 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 22 & 146 & 11 & 179 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 36 & 15 & 257 & 26 & 298 & 543 \\
\hline 05:30 PM & 39 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 20 & 145 & 3 & 168 & 34 & 0 & 0 & 34 & 23 & 262 & 14 & 299 & 540 \\
\hline 05:45 PM & 24 & 0 & 0 & 24 & 10 & 112 & 9 & 131 & 22 & 0 & 0 & 22 & 11 & 227 & 21 & 259 & 436 \\
\hline Total & 130 & 0 & 0 & 130 & 62 & 546 & 30 & 638 & 115 & 0 & 0 & 115 & 72 & 1068 & 91 & 1231 & 2114 \\
\hline Grand Total & 256 & 0 & 0 & 256 & 129 & 1044 & 60 & 1233 & 226 & 0 & 0 & 226 & 144 & 2110 & 191 & 2445 & 4160 \\
\hline Apprch \% & 100 & 0 & 0 & & 10.5 & 84.7 & 4.9 & & 100 & 0 & 0 & & 5.9 & 86.3 & 7.8 & & \\
\hline Total \% & 6.2 & 0 & 0 & 6.2 & 3.1 & 25.1 & 1.4 & 29.6 & 5.4 & 0 & 0 & 5.4 & 3.5 & 50.7 & 4.6 & 58.8 & \\
\hline Cars + & 252 & 0 & 0 & 252 & 127 & 1020 & 60 & 1207 & 223 & 0 & 0 & 223 & 142 & 2051 & 191 & 2384 & 4066 \\
\hline \% Cars + & 98.4 & 0 & 0 & 98.4 & 98.4 & 97.7 & 100 & 97.9 & 98.7 & 0 & 0 & 98.7 & 98.6 & 97.2 & 100 & 97.5 & 97.7 \\
\hline Trucks & 4 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 24 & 0 & 26 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 59 & 0 & 61 & 94 \\
\hline \% Trucks & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 1.6 & 1.6 & 2.3 & 0 & 2.1 & 1.3 & 0 & 0 & 1.3 & 1.4 & 2.8 & 0 & 2.5 & 2.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name: Rolesville(US 401 and Jonesville)PM Peak Site Code :
Start Date :11/9/2021
Page No : 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Jonesville Road Southbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{US 401 Westbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Jonesville Road Northbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{US 401 Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1} \\
\hline Peak Hour for & Entire In & ersection & n Beg & ins at 04 & 30 PM & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 04:30 PM & 30 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 19 & 118 & 12 & 149 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 12 & 291 & 28 & 331 & 542 \\
\hline 04:45 PM & 15 & 0 & 0 & 15 & 22 & 137 & 6 & 165 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 8 & 303 & 30 & 341 & 553 \\
\hline 05:00 PM & 37 & 0 & 0 & 37 & 10 & 143 & 7 & 160 & 23 & 0 & 0 & 23 & 23 & 322 & 30 & 375 & 595 \\
\hline 05:15 PM & 30 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 22 & 146 & 11 & 179 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 36 & 15 & 257 & 26 & 298 & 543 \\
\hline Total Volume & 112 & 0 & 0 & 112 & 73 & 544 & 36 & 653 & 123 & 0 & 0 & 123 & 58 & 1173 & 114 & 1345 & 2233 \\
\hline \% App. Total & 100 & 0 & 0 & & 11.2 & 83.3 & 5.5 & & 100 & 0 & 0 & & 4.3 & 87.2 & 8.5 & & \\
\hline PHF & 757 & . 000 & . 000 & . 757 & 830 & . 932 & . 750 & 912 & 854 & 000 & . 000 & . 854 & 630 & . 911 & . 950 & 897 & 938 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(US 401 and Eastern U Turn)AM Peak Site Code :
Start Date : 11/9/2021
Page No :1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Int. Total} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{US 401 Westbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{US 401 Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Thru & UTrn & App. Total & Thru & UTrn & App. Total & \\
\hline 07:00 AM & 421 & 0 & 421 & 198 & 12 & 210 & 631 \\
\hline 07:15 AM & 410 & 0 & 410 & 136 & 24 & 160 & 570 \\
\hline 07:30 AM & 392 & 0 & 392 & 149 & 36 & 185 & 577 \\
\hline 07:45 AM & 279 & 0 & 279 & 137 & 17 & 154 & 433 \\
\hline Total & 1502 & 0 & 1502 & 620 & 89 & 709 & 2211 \\
\hline 08:00 AM & 253 & 0 & 253 & 130 & 20 & 150 & 403 \\
\hline 08:15 AM & 243 & 0 & 243 & 98 & 13 & 111 & 354 \\
\hline 08:30 AM & 223 & 0 & 223 & 94 & 7 & 101 & 324 \\
\hline 08:45 AM & 147 & 0 & 147 & 85 & 9 & 94 & 241 \\
\hline Total & 866 & 0 & 866 & 407 & 49 & 456 & 1322 \\
\hline Grand Total & 2368 & 0 & 2368 & 1027 & 138 & 1165 & 3533 \\
\hline Apprch \% & 100 & 0 & & 88.2 & 11.8 & & \\
\hline Total \% & 67 & 0 & 67 & 29.1 & 3.9 & 33 & \\
\hline Cars + & 2318 & 0 & 2318 & 973 & 136 & 1109 & 3427 \\
\hline \% Cars + & 97.9 & 0 & 97.9 & 94.7 & 98.6 & 95.2 & 97 \\
\hline Trucks & 50 & 0 & 50 & 54 & 2 & 56 & 106 \\
\hline \% Trucks & 2.1 & 0 & 2.1 & 5.3 & 1.4 & 4.8 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(US 401 and Eastern U Turn)AM Peak
Site Code :
Start Date : 11/9/2021
Page No : 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{US 401 Westbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{US 401 Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Thru & UTrn & App. Total & Thru & UTrn & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline 07:00 AM & 421 & 0 & 421 & 198 & 12 & 210 & 631 \\
\hline 07:15 AM & 410 & 0 & 410 & 136 & 24 & 160 & 570 \\
\hline 07:30 AM & 392 & 0 & 392 & 149 & 36 & 185 & 577 \\
\hline 07:45 AM & 279 & 0 & 279 & 137 & 17 & 154 & 433 \\
\hline Total Volume & 1502 & 0 & 1502 & 620 & 89 & 709 & 2211 \\
\hline \% App. Total & 100 & 0 & & 87.4 & 12.6 & & \\
\hline PHF & . 892 & . 000 & . 892 & . 783 & . 618 & . 844 & . 876 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(US 401 and Eastern U Turn)PM Peak Site Code :
Start Date : 11/9/2021
Page No :1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Groups Printed- Cars +- Trucks} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Int. Total} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{US 401 Westbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
US 401 \\
Eastbound
\end{tabular}} & \\
\hline Start Time & Thru & UTrn & App. Total & Thru & UTrn & App. Total & \\
\hline 04:00 PM & 130 & 0 & 130 & 240 & 12 & 252 & 382 \\
\hline 04:15 PM & 128 & 0 & 128 & 237 & 15 & 252 & 380 \\
\hline 04:30 PM & 129 & 0 & 129 & 311 & 19 & 330 & 459 \\
\hline 04:45 PM & 149 & 0 & 149 & 317 & 19 & 336 & 485 \\
\hline Total & 536 & 0 & 536 & 1105 & 65 & 1170 & 1706 \\
\hline 05:00 PM & 149 & 0 & 149 & 342 & 8 & 350 & 499 \\
\hline 05:15 PM & 160 & 0 & 160 & 284 & 19 & 303 & 463 \\
\hline 05:30 PM & 161 & 0 & 161 & 273 & 22 & 295 & 456 \\
\hline 05:45 PM & 120 & 0 & 120 & 235 & 12 & 247 & 367 \\
\hline Total & 590 & 0 & 590 & 1134 & 61 & 1195 & 1785 \\
\hline Grand Total & 1126 & 0 & 1126 & 2239 & 126 & 2365 & 3491 \\
\hline Apprch \% & 100 & 0 & & 94.7 & 5.3 & & \\
\hline Total \% & 32.3 & 0 & 32.3 & 64.1 & 3.6 & 67.7 & \\
\hline Cars + & 1101 & 0 & 1101 & 2175 & 125 & 2300 & 3401 \\
\hline \% Cars + & 97.8 & 0 & 97.8 & 97.1 & 99.2 & 97.3 & 97.4 \\
\hline Trucks & 25 & 0 & 25 & 64 & 1 & 65 & 90 \\
\hline \% Trucks & 2.2 & 0 & 2.2 & 2.9 & 0.8 & 2.7 & 2.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(US 401 and Eastern U Turn)PM Peak
Site Code :
Start Date : 11/9/2021
Page No : 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{US 401 Westbound} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
US 401 \\
Eastbound
\end{tabular}} & \\
\hline Start Time & Thru & UTrn & App. Total & Thru & UTrn & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline 04:30 PM & 129 & 0 & 129 & 311 & 19 & 330 & 459 \\
\hline 04:45 PM & 149 & 0 & 149 & 317 & 19 & 336 & 485 \\
\hline 05:00 PM & 149 & 0 & 149 & 342 & 8 & 350 & 499 \\
\hline 05:15 PM & 160 & 0 & 160 & 284 & 19 & 303 & 463 \\
\hline Total Volume & 587 & 0 & 587 & 1254 & 65 & 1319 & 1906 \\
\hline \% App. Total & 100 & 0 & & 95.1 & 4.9 & & \\
\hline PHF & . 917 & . 000 & . 917 & . 917 & . 855 & . 942 & . 955 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(Jonesville and Mitchell Mill)AM Peak Site Code :
Start Date : 11/30/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Peebles Road Southbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mitchell Mill Westbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Peebles Road Northbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mitchell Mill Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline 07:00 AM & 4 & 17 & 13 & 34 & 8 & 73 & 5 & 86 & 6 & 11 & 3 & 20 & 0 & 74 & 1 & 75 & 215 \\
\hline 07:15 AM & 4 & 36 & 7 & 47 & 8 & 101 & 2 & 111 & 3 & 26 & 1 & 30 & 0 & 32 & 1 & 33 & 221 \\
\hline 07:30 AM & 6 & 34 & 5 & 45 & 16 & 87 & 3 & 106 & 0 & 24 & 0 & 24 & 1 & 33 & 1 & 35 & 210 \\
\hline 07:45 AM & 2 & 43 & 6 & 51 & 8 & 49 & 1 & 58 & 2 & 15 & 0 & 17 & 1 & 24 & 4 & 29 & 155 \\
\hline Total & 16 & 130 & 31 & 177 & 40 & 310 & 11 & 361 & 11 & 76 & 4 & 91 & 2 & 163 & 7 & 172 & 801 \\
\hline 08:00 AM & 7 & 31 & 12 & 50 & 4 & 53 & 1 & 58 & 1 & 8 & 2 & 11 & 0 & 28 & 3 & 31 & 150 \\
\hline 08:15 AM & 12 & 17 & 3 & 32 & 1 & 37 & 1 & 39 & 1 & 7 & 0 & 8 & 1 & 24 & 1 & 26 & 105 \\
\hline 08:30 AM & 6 & 4 & 2 & 12 & 3 & 49 & 2 & 54 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 7 & 0 & 19 & 0 & 19 & 92 \\
\hline 08:45 AM & 1 & 13 & 3 & 17 & 4 & 32 & 1 & 37 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 18 & 2 & 21 & 80 \\
\hline Total & 26 & 65 & 20 & 111 & 12 & 171 & 5 & 188 & 4 & 22 & 5 & 31 & 2 & 89 & 6 & 97 & 427 \\
\hline Grand Total & 42 & 195 & 51 & 288 & 52 & 481 & 16 & 549 & 15 & 98 & 9 & 122 & 4 & 252 & 13 & 269 & 1228 \\
\hline Apprch \% & 14.6 & 67.7 & 17.7 & & 9.5 & 87.6 & 2.9 & & 12.3 & 80.3 & 7.4 & & 1.5 & 93.7 & 4.8 & & \\
\hline Total \% & 3.4 & 15.9 & 4.2 & 23.5 & 4.2 & 39.2 & 1.3 & 44.7 & 1.2 & 8 & 0.7 & 9.9 & 0.3 & 20.5 & 1.1 & 21.9 & \\
\hline Cars + & 42 & 195 & 50 & 287 & 52 & 479 & 16 & 547 & 15 & 98 & 9 & 122 & 4 & 249 & 13 & 266 & 1222 \\
\hline \% Cars + & 100 & 100 & 98 & 99.7 & 100 & 99.6 & 100 & 99.6 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 98.8 & 100 & 98.9 & 99.5 \\
\hline Trucks & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 6 \\
\hline \% Trucks & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.2 & 0 & 1.1 & 0.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(Jonesville and Mitchell Mill)AM Peak Site Code :
Start Date : 11/30/2021
Page No : 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Peebles Road Southbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mitchell Mill Westbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Peebles Road Northbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mitchell Mill Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 07:00 AM & 4 & 17 & 13 & 34 & 8 & 73 & 5 & 86 & 6 & 11 & 3 & 20 & 0 & 74 & 1 & 75 & 215 \\
\hline 07:15 AM & 4 & 36 & 7 & 47 & 8 & 101 & 2 & 111 & 3 & 26 & 1 & 30 & 0 & 32 & 1 & 33 & 221 \\
\hline 07:30 AM & 6 & 34 & 5 & 45 & 16 & 87 & 3 & 106 & 0 & 24 & 0 & 24 & 1 & 33 & 1 & 35 & 210 \\
\hline 07:45 AM & 2 & 43 & 6 & 51 & 8 & 49 & 1 & 58 & 2 & 15 & 0 & 17 & 1 & 24 & 4 & 29 & 155 \\
\hline Total Volume & 16 & 130 & 31 & 177 & 40 & 310 & 11 & 361 & 11 & 76 & 4 & 91 & 2 & 163 & 7 & 172 & 801 \\
\hline \% App. Total & 9 & 73.4 & 17.5 & & 11.1 & 85.9 & 3 & & 12.1 & 83.5 & 4.4 & & 1.2 & 94.8 & 4.1 & & \\
\hline PHF & . 667 & . 756 & . 596 & . 868 & . 625 & . 767 & . 550 & . 813 & 458 & . 731 & . 333 & . 758 & . 500 & . 551 & . 438 & . 573 & . 906 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(Jonesville and Mitchell Mill)PM Peak Site Code :
Start Date : 11/30/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Peebles Road Southbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mitchell Mill Westbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Peebles Road Northbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mitchell Mill Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline 04:00 PM & 7 & 11 & 13 & 31 & 6 & 25 & 1 & 32 & 1 & 14 & 1 & 16 & 2 & 44 & 6 & 52 & 131 \\
\hline 04:15 PM & 6 & 11 & 4 & 21 & 2 & 27 & 2 & 31 & 1 & 17 & 3 & 21 & 1 & 62 & 4 & 67 & 140 \\
\hline 04:30 PM & 3 & 13 & 3 & 19 & 4 & 30 & 2 & 36 & 0 & 27 & 1 & 28 & 3 & 64 & 3 & 70 & 153 \\
\hline 04:45 PM & 2 & 8 & 5 & 15 & 4 & 37 & 0 & 41 & 3 & 18 & 0 & 21 & 3 & 71 & 3 & 77 & 154 \\
\hline Total & 18 & 43 & 25 & 86 & 16 & 119 & 5 & 140 & 5 & 76 & 5 & 86 & 9 & 241 & 16 & 266 & 578 \\
\hline 05:00 PM & 1 & 15 & 6 & 22 & 5 & 31 & 0 & 36 & 3 & 19 & 2 & 24 & 1 & 78 & 5 & 84 & 166 \\
\hline 05:15 PM & 3 & 15 & 6 & 24 & 4 & 23 & 0 & 27 & 3 & 26 & 1 & 30 & 4 & 89 & 7 & 100 & 181 \\
\hline 05:30 PM & 5 & 11 & 9 & 25 & 8 & 36 & 0 & 44 & 1 & 27 & 2 & 30 & 5 & 62 & 3 & 70 & 169 \\
\hline 05:45 PM & 1 & 7 & 4 & 12 & 2 & 21 & 1 & 24 & 2 & 13 & 2 & 17 & 4 & 55 & 6 & 65 & 118 \\
\hline Total & 10 & 48 & 25 & 83 & 19 & 111 & 1 & 131 & 9 & 85 & 7 & 101 & 14 & 284 & 21 & 319 & 634 \\
\hline Grand Total & 28 & 91 & 50 & 169 & 35 & 230 & 6 & 271 & 14 & 161 & 12 & 187 & 23 & 525 & 37 & 585 & 1212 \\
\hline Apprch \% & 16.6 & 53.8 & 29.6 & & 12.9 & 84.9 & 2.2 & & 7.5 & 86.1 & 6.4 & & 3.9 & 89.7 & 6.3 & & \\
\hline Total \% & 2.3 & 7.5 & 4.1 & 13.9 & 2.9 & 19 & 0.5 & 22.4 & 1.2 & 13.3 & 1 & 15.4 & 1.9 & 43.3 & 3.1 & 48.3 & \\
\hline Cars + & 28 & 91 & 50 & 169 & 35 & 229 & 6 & 270 & 14 & 161 & 12 & 187 & 23 & 524 & 37 & 584 & 1210 \\
\hline \% Cars + & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 99.6 & 100 & 99.6 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 99.8 & 100 & 99.8 & 99.8 \\
\hline Trucks & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline \% Trucks & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION}

File Name : Rolesville(Jonesville and Mitchell Mill)PM Peak Site Code :
Start Date : 11/30/2021
Page No : 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Peebles Road Southbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mitchell Mill Westbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Peebles Road Northbound} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mitchell Mill Eastbound} & \\
\hline Start Time & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Right & Thru & Left & App. Total & Int. Total \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1} \\
\hline Peak Hour for & ntire & ersect & on Begi & ns at 04 & \[
45 \text { PM }
\] &  & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 04:45 PM & 2 & 8 & 5 & 15 & 4 & 37 & 0 & 41 & 3 & 18 & 0 & 21 & 3 & 71 & 3 & 77 & 154 \\
\hline 05:00 PM & 1 & 15 & 6 & 22 & 5 & 31 & 0 & 36 & 3 & 19 & 2 & 24 & 1 & 78 & 5 & 84 & 166 \\
\hline 05:15 PM & 3 & 15 & 6 & 24 & 4 & 23 & 0 & 27 & 3 & 26 & 1 & 30 & 4 & 89 & 7 & 100 & 181 \\
\hline 05:30 PM & 5 & 11 & 9 & 25 & 8 & 36 & 0 & 44 & 1 & 27 & 2 & 30 & 5 & 62 & 3 & 70 & 169 \\
\hline Total Volume & 11 & 49 & 26 & 86 & 21 & 127 & 0 & 148 & 10 & 90 & 5 & 105 & 13 & 300 & 18 & 331 & 670 \\
\hline \% App. Total & 12.8 & 57 & 30.2 & & 14.2 & 85.8 & 0 & & 9.5 & 85.7 & 4.8 & & 3.9 & 90.6 & 5.4 & & \\
\hline PHF & . 550 & . 817 & . 722 & . 860 & . 656 & . 858 & . 000 & . 841 & . 833 & . 833 & . 625 & 875 & 650 & . 843 & . 643 & . 828 & . 925 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{9. RECOMMENDATIONS}

Based on the findings of this study, specific geometric improvements have been identified and are recommended to accommodate future traffic conditions. See a more detailed description of the recommended improvements below. Refer to Figure 14 for an illustration of the recommended lane configuration for the proposed development.

\section*{Improvements by STIP U-6241}

STIP U-6241 is expected to realign Burlington Mills Road and install a traffic signal at the relocated intersection on Main Street. STIP U-6241 is also expected to provide improvements to the pedestrian and bike facilities along Main Street and add a concrete median island along Main Street west of Rogers Road. These improvements associated with STIP U-6241 will alter the existing lane configurations at the study intersections along Main Street.

\section*{Recommended Improvements by Developer}

\section*{Main Street and Site Drive 1}
- Construct the southbound approach with one ingress and two egress lanes.
- Provide stop control for the southbound approach.
- Install an eastbound left-turn lane with at least 125 feet of storage and appropriate decel and taper.

\section*{Young Street and Site Drive 2}
- Construct the eastbound approach with one ingress and egress lane.
- Provide stop control for the eastbound approach.
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\section*{Kimley») Horn}



\section*{Kimley»Horn}

\subsection*{7.0 Recommendations}

\section*{Residential Build-out}

The following improvements are recommended to be performed to accommodate projected site traffic volumes at build-out of the residential portion of the development:

\section*{US 401 Bypass:}
- Coordinate the traffic signals at the intersections of US 401 at Young Street and the Superstreet U-turns

\section*{Young Street at Quarry Road/North Site Driveway:}
- Construct a northbound left-turn lane on Young Street with 100 feet of storage and appropriate tapers
- Construct a southbound right-turn lane on Young Street with 100 feet of storage and appropriate tapers
- Restripe the existing westbound left-turn lane on Quarry Road to a shared left/through lane
- Provide an exclusive left-turn lane with 275 feet of storage and appropriate tapers and a shared through/right lane on the North Site Driveway
- Install a traffic signal when warranted

\section*{Young Street at Central Site Driveway:}
- Construct a northbound left-turn lane on Young Street with 100 feet of storage and appropriate tapers
- Construct a southbound right-turn lane on Young Street with 100 feet of storage and appropriate tapers
- Provide exclusive left and right-turn lanes on the Central Site Driveway with 125 feet of storage and appropriate tapers for the left-turn lane

\section*{Young Street at Rolesville High School Driveway/South Site Driveway:}
- Construct a northbound left-turn lane on Young Street with 50 feet of storage and appropriate tapers
- Provide one egress lane on the South Site Driveway

\section*{Rolesville Road at Mitchell Mill Road:}
- Install a traffic signal when warranted

Analyses indicate that with the recommended improvements in place, all of the study intersections except for Young Street at Century Farm Road and Young Street at Rolesville High School Driveway/South Site Driveway are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS at build-out of the residential-only phase of the development.

Analyses indicate that the intersection of Young Street at Century Farm Road is expected to operate with long delays on the minor street approach (Century Farm Road) in the AM peak hour at project build-out. However, it is typical for stop sign controlled side streets and driveways intersecting major streets to experience long delays during peak hours while the majority of the traffic moving through the intersection on the major street experiences little or no delay. SimTraffic traffic simulations indicate that no queuing issues are expected at this intersection.

Analyses indicate that the intersection of Young Street at the Rolesville High School Driveway/South Site Driveway is expected to operate with long delays on the minor street approach (Rolesville High School Driveway) in the AM peak hour and school PM peak hour with or without the proposed project in place in the study year 2025. SimTraffic traffic simulations also indicate the possibility of long queues on the westbound left-turn movement at this intersection in the AM peak hour and school PM peak hour. However, it is typical for stop sign controlled side streets and driveways intersecting major streets to experience long delays during peak hours, while the majority of the traffic moving through the intersection on the major street experiences little or no delay. This intersection is not expected to meet 4-hour or 8-hour MUTCD traffic signal warrants.

\section*{Commercial Build-out}

The following additional improvements are recommended to be performed in addition to those recommended above for the residential phase to accommodate projected site traffic volumes when the retail portion of the site is developed:

\section*{US 401 Bypass Eastbound at Young Street:}
- Extend the storage of the existing eastbound right-turn lane on US 401 Bypass by approximately 175 feet to provide 400 feet of storage and appropriate tapers

\section*{Young Street at Quarry Road/North Site Driveway:}
- Construct a northbound right-turn lane on Young Street with 100 feet of storage and appropriate tapers
- Modify the traffic signal to accommodate the additional laneage

Analyses indicate that with the recommended improvements in place, all of the study intersections except for Young Street at Century Farm Road, Young Street at the Central Site Driveway, and Young Street at Rolesville High School Driveway/South Site Driveway are expected to operate at acceptable LOS at commercial build-out of the development.

Analyses indicate that the intersection of Young Street at Century Farm Road is expected to operate with long delays on the minor street approach (Century Farm Road) in the AM peak hour at project build-out. It is typical for stop sign controlled side streets and driveways intersecting major streets to experience long delays during peak hours, while the majority of the traffic moving through the intersection on the major street experiences little or no delay. SimTraffic

\section*{Kimley»Horn}
traffic simulations indicate that short queues are likely on the minor street approach in the AM peak hour at commercial build-out.

Analyses indicate that the intersection of Young Street at the Central Site Driveway is expected to operate with long delays on the minor street approach (Central Site Driveway) in the AM peak hour in the commercial build-out traffic condition. It is typical for stop sign controlled side streets and driveways intersecting major streets to experience long delays during peak hours, while the majority of the traffic moving through the intersection on the major street experiences little or no delay. SimTraffic traffic simulations indicate the possibility of long queues on the eastbound leftturn movement at this intersection in the AM peak hour in the commercial build-out condition.

Analyses indicate that the intersection of Young Street at the Rolesville High School Driveway/South Site Driveway is expected to operate with long delays on the minor street approach (Rolesville High School Driveway) in the AM peak hour and school PM peak hour with or without the proposed project in place in the study year 2025. SimTraffic traffic simulations also indicate the possibility of long queues on the westbound left-turn movement at this intersection in the AM peak hour and school PM peak hour. However, it is typical for stop sign controlled side streets and driveways intersecting major streets to experience long delays during peak hours, while the majority of the traffic moving through the intersection on the major street experiences little or no delay. This intersection is not expected to meet 4-hour or 8-hour MUTCD traffic signal warrants.

As shown in the analysis, the impact of site traffic associated with the commercial build-out of this proposed PUD is generally consistent with the currently-approved PUD for the site. The proposed PUD is expected to generate no more than 50 additional peak hour trips in each of the studied peak hours compared to the approved PUD, and delays at commercial build-out of both plans are generally consistent at each of the study intersections.

The recommended laneage for the development is shown on Figure 17.
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\section*{9. RECOMMENDATIONS}

Based on the findings of this study, specific geometric improvements have been identified and are recommended to accommodate future traffic conditions. See a more detailed description of the recommended improvements below. Refer to Figure 11 for an illustration of the recommended lane configuration for the proposed development.

\section*{Recommended Improvements by Developer}

Rolesville Road and Mitchell Mill Road
- Monitor intersection for signalization.

\section*{Rolesville Road and Site Drive 1}
- Provide site access via a full movement intersection with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Provide stop control for westbound Site Drive 1 approach.
- Provide a designated southbound left-turn lane with at least 100 feet of storage and appropriate deceleration and taper.

Mitchell Mill Road and Site Drive 2
- Provide site access via a full movement intersection with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Provide stop control for southbound Site Drive 2 approach.
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\section*{LEGEND}

O Unsignalized Intersection
Signalized Intersection
\(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow\) Weekday AM / PM Peak Hour Site Trips

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Moving forward. & \begin{tabular}{c} 
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Raleigh, NC
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ Site Trip Assignment } \\
\cline { 3 - 4 } & & Scale: Not to Scale & Figure 7 \\
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\end{tabular}

\section*{12. RECOMMENDATIONS}

Based on the findings of this study, specific geometric improvements have been identified and are recommended to accommodate future traffic conditions. See a more detailed description of the recommended improvements below. Refer to Figure 9 for an illustration of the recommended lane configuration for the proposed development.

\section*{Recommended Improvements by Developer}

Mitchell Mill Road and Louisbury Road
- Monitor for signalization after site is constructed.

\section*{US 401 and Louisbury Road}
- Per NCDOT, extend northbound left turn lane to \(\mathbf{1 7 5}^{\prime}\) of storage.
- Monitor for signalization after site is constructed.

\section*{Louisbury Road and Site Drive 1}
- Provide site access via full movement intersection with one (1) ingress lane and one (1) egress lane.
- Per NCDOT, provide northbound left turn lane with \(100^{\prime}\) of storage.
- Provide stop control for eastbound approach.

\section*{Louisbury Road and Site Drive 2}
- Provide site access via full movement intersection with one (1) ingress lane and one (1) egress lane.
- Provide stop control for eastbound approach.


*Based on NCDOT Review

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
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\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{KALAS / WATKINS FAMILY PROPERTY TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS}

Introduction
August 24, 2019

\subsection*{1.0 INTRODUCTION}

The purpose of this report is to evaluate the transportation impacts of the proposed Kalas / Watkins Family Property development located on the west side of Rolesville Road just north of Mitchell Mill Road in Rolesville, NC. The project location is shown below in Figure 1

Figure 1: Site Location


Trip Generation and Distribution
August 24, 2019
Figure 6: Site Trip Assignment


Traffic Analysis
August 24, 2019

\subsection*{5.42025 BUILD WITH IMPROVEMENTS}

Geometric improvements such as the installation of turn-lanes are recommended and therefore analyzed in this scenario. These items are listed below as well as in the recommendations section.

\section*{Rolesville Road at Site Driveway A}
- Construct Driveway A as a full-movement access point onto Rolesville Road with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Construct an exclusive eastbound right-turn lane with 100 feet of full-width storage and appropriate taper on Driveway A.
- Construct an exclusive northbound left-turn lane with 100 feet of full-width storage and appropriate taper on Rolesville Road.
- Construct an exclusive southbound right-turn lane with 100 feet of full-width storage and appropriate taper on Rolesville Road.

\section*{Rolesville Road at Site Driveway B / Wheeler Tract Driveway}
- Construct Driveway B as a full-movement access point onto Rolesville Road with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Construct an exclusive northbound left-turn lane with 100 feet of full-width storage and appropriate taper on Rolesville Road.
- Construct an exclusive southbound right-turn lane with 50 feet of full-width storage and appropriate taper on Rolesville Road.

\section*{Rolesville Road at Site Driveway C}
- Construct Driveway \(C\) as a full-movement access point onto Rolesville Road with one ingress lane and one egress lane.
- Construct an exclusive eastbound right-turn lane with 100 feet of full-width storage and appropriate taper on Driveway C.
- Construct an exclusive northbound left-turn lane with 100 feet of full-width storage and appropriate taper on Rolesville Road.
- Construct an exclusive southbound right-turn lane with 100 feet of full-width storage and appropriate taper on Rolesville Road.

Accordingly, all study area intersections and approaches operate at acceptable levels of service with the following exceptions:
- The east and westbound approaches to the intersection of Rolesville Road at Rolesville High School Driveway / Young Street PUD Southern Driveway operates at LOS F in the AM peak hour. This causes high overall delays at the intersection. Furthermore, the eastbound approach operates at LOS F and westbound approach operates at LOS E in the PM peak hour.
- The east and westbound approaches at the intersection of Rolesville Road at Site Driveway B / Wheeler Tract Driveway operate at LOS E in the AM peak hour.

The east and westbound approaches to the intersection of Rolesville Road at Rolesville High School Driveway / Young Street PUD Southern Driveway performs unacceptably across analysis scenarios. These delays can be

\section*{KALAS / WATKINS FAMILY PROPERTY TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS}

Traffic Analysis
August 24, 2019
attributed to both the Young Street PUD and High School traffic on the side street approaches. The Kalas / Watkins development is projected to only add through volumes to the intersection and are anticipated to have a minimal impact on overall delays at this intersection.

Delays on the eastbound approach of Site Driveway B at Rolesville Road can be attributed to high thru volumes on Rolesville Road during the AM peak hour. Traffic volumes using this approach are anticipated to be minor (i.e. 15 vehicles in the AM peak hour and 10 vehicles in the PM peak hour) and side street delays should dissipate after High School Traffic passes through the network. Table 8 lists the results of the capacity analysis under the 2025 buildimproved traffic conditions. The recommended improvements are illustrated in figure 14.

Figure 14: Recommended Improvements


\section*{APPENDIX D}

\section*{CAPACITY ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS US 401 BYPASS \\ \& \\ JONESVILLE ROAD}

\begin{tabular}{lclllllll} 
Major/Minor & Major1 & \multicolumn{7}{c}{ Minor1 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & - & 0 & 0 & - & - & 328 & - & 656 \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Platoon blocked, \%
\begin{tabular}{llllllllll} 
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & 668 & - & 384 & - \\
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 384 & - \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Stage 2 - \(\quad\) - \(\quad\) - \(\quad-\quad\) - 460
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Approach & EB & NB & SB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 12 & 17.5 \\
HCM LOS & B & C
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR SBLn1 \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 668 & - & -384 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.226 & - & -0.249 \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 12 & - & -17.5 \\
HCM Lane LOS & B & - & - \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & 0.9 & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lclllllll} 
Major/Minor & Major1 & \multicolumn{8}{c}{ Minor1 } & \multicolumn{6}{c}{ Minor2 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & - & 0 & 0 & - & - & 485 & - & 970 \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Platoon blocked, \%
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc} 
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & 528 & - & 252 \\
\hline Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 252 \\
\hline & - \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Stage 2 - \(\quad\) - \(\quad\) -
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Approach & EB & NB & SB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 14.9 & 29.2 \\
HCM LOS & & B & D
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr}
\hline Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR SBLn1 \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 528 & - & -252 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.316 & - & -0.419 \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 14.9 & - & -29.2 \\
HCM Lane LOS & B & - & - & D \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & 1.3 & - & - & 2
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Int Delay, s/veh 5.1} \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & 14 & F & & & & & & F & & \(\uparrow\) & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 873 & 99 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 202 & 0 & 101 & 0 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 873 & 99 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 202 & 0 & 101 & 0 \\
\hline Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Sign Control F & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
\hline RT Channelized & - & - & Yield & - & - & None & - & - & None & - & - & None \\
\hline Storage Length & - & - & 125 & - & - & - & - & - & 0 & - & - & - \\
\hline Veh in Median Storage, \# & \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline Grade, \% & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 0 & 970 & 110 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 224 & 0 & 112 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcllllllll} 
Major/Minor & Major1 & \multicolumn{8}{c}{ Minor1 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & - & 0 & 0 & - & - & 485 & - & 970 & - \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 970 & - \\
Critical Hdwy & - & - & - & - & - & 6.94 & - & 6.54 & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 5.54 & - \\
Follow-up Hdwy & - & - & - & - & - & 3.32 & - & 4.02 & - \\
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & 528 & 0 & 252 & 0 \\
Stage 1 & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 \\
Stage 2 & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & 330 & 0 \\
Platoon blocked, \% & & - & - & & & & & & \\
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & 528 & - & 252 & - \\
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 252 & - \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 330 & -
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Approach & EB & NB & SB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 16.8 & 30.3 \\
HCM LOS & C & D
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr}
\hline Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR SBLn1 \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 528 & - & -252 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.425 & - & -0.445 \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 16.8 & - & -30.3 \\
HCM Lane LOS & C & - & - & D \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & 2.1 & - & - & 2.1
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lcllllllll} 
Major/Minor & Major1 & \multicolumn{7}{c}{ Minor1 } & \multicolumn{7}{c}{ Minor2 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & - & 0 & 0 & - & - & 678 & - & 1356 & - \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1356 & - \\
Critical Hdwy & - & - & - & - & - & 6.94 & - & 6.54 & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 5.54 & - \\
Follow-up Hdwy & - & - & - & - & - & 3.32 & - & 4.02 & - \\
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & 395 & 0 & 148 & 0 \\
Stage 1 & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 \\
Stage 2 & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & 216 & 0
\end{tabular}

Platoon blocked, \%
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc} 
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & 395 & - & 148 \\
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 148 \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
Approach & EB & NB & SB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 19 & 38.4 \\
HCM LOS & & C & E
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR SBLn1 \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 395 & - & -148 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.352 & - & -0.278 \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 19 & - & -38.4 \\
HCM Lane LOS & C & - & - \\
HCM 95 th \%tile Q(veh) & 1.6 & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 6.7 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & ¢1 & 7 & & & & & & F & & \(\uparrow\) & & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 1835 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 138 & 0 & 41 & 0 & \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 1835 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 138 & 0 & 41 & 0 & \\
\hline Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Sign Control F & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & \\
\hline RT Channelized & - & - & Yield & - & - & None & - & - & None & - & - & None & \\
\hline Storage Length & - & - & 125 & - & - & - & - & - & 0 & - & - & - & \\
\hline Veh in Median Storage, \# & \# & 0 & - & & 16983 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & \\
\hline Grade, \% & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 0 & 2039 & 72 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 153 & 0 & 46 & 0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrlllllll} 
Major/Minor & Major1 & \multicolumn{7}{c}{ Minor1 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & - & 0 & 0 & - & -1020 & -2039 & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0 \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 2039 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Platoon blocked, \%
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc} 
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & 234 & - & 56 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Stage 2 - - -
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Approach & EB & NB & SB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 45.5 & 186.4 \\
HCM LOS & & E & F
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR SBLn1 \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 234 & - & -56 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.655 & - & -0.813 \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 45.5 & - & -186.4 \\
HCM Lane LOS & E & - & - \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & 4.1 & - & -3.5
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 13.9 & & & & & & & & & & & & Intersection \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \(\uparrow \uparrow\) & \({ }^{7}\) & & & & & & F & & \(\uparrow\) & & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 1835 & 104 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 173 & 0 & 61 & 0 & \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 1835 & 104 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 173 & 0 & 61 & 0 & \\
\hline Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Sign Control F & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & \\
\hline RT Channelized & - & - & Yield & - & - & None & - & - & None & - & - & None & \\
\hline Storage Length & - & - & 125 & - & - & - & - & - & 0 & - & - & - & \\
\hline Veh in Median Storage, \# & \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & \\
\hline Grade, \% & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \\
\hline Mumt Flow & 0 & 2039 & 116 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 192 & 0 & 68 & 0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrlllllll} 
Major/Minor & Major1 & \multicolumn{7}{c}{ Minor1 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & - & 0 & 0 & - & -1020 & -2039 & - \\
\multicolumn{1}{l}{ Stage 1 } & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0 \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 2039 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Platoon blocked, \%
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll} 
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & 234 & - & \(\sim 56\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Approach & EB & NB & SB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 65.7 & \(\$ 309.6\) \\
HCM LOS & & \(F\) & \(F\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR SBLn1 \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 234 & - & -56 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.821 & - & -1.21 \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 65.7 & - & \(\$ 309.6\) \\
HCM Lane LOS & F & - & - \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & 6.3 & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Notes}
~: Volume exceeds capacity \(\$\) : Delay exceeds 300s \(\quad+\) : Computation Not Defined \(\quad\) : All major volume in platoon
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection} \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 6 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & & & & 14 & 7 & & \(\uparrow\) & & & & \(\overline{7}\) \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1352 & 185 & 0 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 225 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1352 & 185 & 0 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 225 \\
\hline Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Sign Control Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
\hline RT Channelized & - & - & None & - & - & None & - & - & None & - & , & None \\
\hline Storage Length & - & - & - & - & - & 150 & - & - & - & - & - & 0 \\
\hline Veh in Median Storage, \# & \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline Grade, \% & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1502 & 206 & 0 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 250 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection} \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 20.7 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & & & & 14 & F & & \(\uparrow\) & & & & 7 \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1796 & 204 & 0 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 248 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1796 & 204 & 0 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 248 \\
\hline Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
\hline RT Channelized & - & - & None & - & - & None & - & - & None & - & - & None \\
\hline Storage Length & - & - & - & - & - & 150 & - & - & - & - & - & 0 \\
\hline Veh in Median Storage, \# & \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline Grade, \% & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1996 & 227 & 0 & 44 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 276 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\footnotetext{
Hills at Harris Creek - Rolesville, NC
DRMP
}
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\section*{APPENDIX E}

\section*{CAPACITY ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS US 401 BYPASS \\ \&}

EASTERN U-TURN LOCATION
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 1.3 & & & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & & & T个 & T & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1532 & 91 & 0 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1532 & 91 & 0 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1702 & 101 & 0
\end{tabular}



\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 3.8 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & & & T个 & T \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2000 & 135 & 0 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2000 & 135 & 0 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 2 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2222 & 150 & 0
\end{tabular}



\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 1 & & & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & & & T个 & 1 & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 892 & 73 & 0 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 892 & 73 & 0 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 0 & 0 & 0 & 991 & 81 & 0
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcccl}
\hline Major/Minor & Major2 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Minor1 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & - & - & 496 & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 2 & - & - & 496 & - \\
Critical Hdwy & - & - & 6.84 & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & - & - & - & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & - & - & 5.84 & - \\
Follow-up Hdwy & - & - & 3.52 & - \\
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & 0 & - & 503 & 0 \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & 0 & - & - & 0 \\
\(\quad\) Stage 2 & 0 & - & 577 & 0 \\
Platoon blocked, \% & & - & & \\
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & 503 & - \\
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & 503 & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & - & - & - & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & 577 & - \\
& & \\
Approach & 0 & & NB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 13.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
HCM LOS B
\begin{tabular}{lrl}
\hline Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & WBT \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 503 & - \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.161 & - \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 13.5 & - \\
HCM Lane LOS & B & - \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & 0.6 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 1.4 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & & & 个个 & T & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 912 & 96 & 0 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 0 & 0 & 912 & 96 & 0 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 2 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1013 & 107 & 0
\end{tabular}


\section*{APPENDIX F}

\section*{CAPACITY ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS MITCHELL MILL ROAD \&}

JONESVILLE ROAD / PEEBLES ROAD
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
Intersection \\
\hline Intersection Delay, s/veh & 12.7 \\
Intersection LOS & B
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & 4 & & & 4 & & & 4 & & & 4 & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 7 & 166 & 4 & 11 & 316 & 41 & 4 & 78 & 11 & 32 & 133 & 16 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 7 & 166 & 4 & 11 & 316 & 41 & 4 & 78 & 11 & 32 & 133 & 16 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 8 & 184 & 4 & 12 & 351 & 46 & 4 & 87 & 12 & 36 & 148 & 18 \\
\hline Number of Lanes & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Approach & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & & \\
\hline Opposing Approach & WB & & & EB & & & SB & & & NB & & \\
\hline Opposing Lanes & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Left & SB & & & NB & & & EB & & & WB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Left & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Right & NB & & & SB & & & WB & & & EB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Right & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay & 10.8 & & & 14.9 & & & 10.1 & & & 11.4 & & \\
\hline HCM LOS & B & & & B & & & B & & & B & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Lane & NBLn1 & EBLn1 & WBLn1 & SBLn1 \\
\hline Vol Left, \% & \(4 \%\) & \(4 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(18 \%\) \\
Vol Thru, \% & \(84 \%\) & \(94 \%\) & \(86 \%\) & \(73 \%\) \\
Vol Right, \% & \(12 \%\) & \(2 \%\) & \(11 \%\) & \(9 \%\) \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
Traffic Vol by Lane & 93 & 177 & 368 & 181 \\
LT Vol & 4 & 7 & 11 & 32 \\
Through Vol & 78 & 166 & 316 & 133 \\
RT Vol & 11 & 4 & 41 & 16 \\
Lane Flow Rate & 103 & 197 & 409 & 201 \\
Geometry Grp & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
Degree of Util (X) & 0.168 & 0.297 & 0.577 & 0.318 \\
Departure Headway (Hd) & 5.85 & 5.433 & 5.079 & 5.696 \\
Convergence, Y/N & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes \\
Cap & 612 & 661 & 711 & 629 \\
Service Time & 3.904 & 3.477 & 3.114 & 3.743 \\
HCM Lane VIC Ratio & 0.168 & 0.298 & 0.575 & 0.32 \\
HCM Control Delay & 10.1 & 10.8 & 14.9 & 11.4 \\
HCM Lane LOS & B & B & B & B \\
HCM 95th-tile Q & 0.6 & 1.2 & 3.7 & 1.4
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Intersection \\
\hline Intersection Delay, s/veh & 50.6 \\
Intersection LOS & F
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & 4 & & & \$ & & & 4 & & & 4 & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 8 & 240 & 4 & 12 & 569 & 45 & 4 & 86 & 12 & 35 & 147 & 18 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 8 & 240 & 4 & 12 & 569 & 45 & 4 & 86 & 12 & 35 & 147 & 18 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 9 & 267 & 4 & 13 & 632 & 50 & 4 & 96 & 13 & 39 & 163 & 20 \\
\hline Number of Lanes & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Approach & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & & \\
\hline Opposing Approach & WB & & & EB & & & SB & & & NB & & \\
\hline Opposing Lanes & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Left & SB & & & NB & & & EB & & & WB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Left & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Right & NB & & & SB & & & WB & & & EB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Right & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay & 15.2 & & & 82.4 & & & 12.5 & & & 15 & & \\
\hline HCM LOS & C & & & F & & & B & & & B & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Lane & NBLn1 & EBLn1 & WBLn1 & SBLn1 \\
\hline Vol Left, \% & \(4 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(2 \%\) & \(17 \%\) \\
Vol Thru, \% & \(84 \%\) & \(95 \%\) & \(91 \%\) & \(73 \%\) \\
Vol Right, \% & \(12 \%\) & \(2 \%\) & \(7 \%\) & \(9 \%\) \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
Traffic Vol by Lane & 102 & 252 & 626 & 200 \\
LT Vol & 4 & 8 & 12 & 35 \\
Through Vol & 86 & 240 & 569 & 147 \\
RT Vol & 12 & 4 & 45 & 18 \\
Lane Flow Rate & 113 & 280 & 696 & 222 \\
Geometry Grp & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
Degree of Util (X) & 0.223 & 0.481 & 1.081 & 0.417 \\
Departure Headway (Hd) & 7.412 & 6.42 & 5.595 & 7.067 \\
Convergence, Y/N & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes \\
Cap & 487 & 566 & 647 & 513 \\
Service Time & 5.412 & 4.42 & 3.663 & 5.067 \\
HCM Lane VIC Ratio & 0.232 & 0.495 & 1.076 & 0.433 \\
HCM Control Delay & 12.5 & 15.2 & 82.4 & 15 \\
HCM Lane LOS & B & C & F & B \\
HCM 95th-tile Q & 0.8 & 2.6 & 19.6 & 2
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
Intersection \\
\hline Intersection Delay, s/veh & 96.6 \\
Intersection LOS & F
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & * & & & * & & & * & & & * & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 8 & 253 & 4 & 24 & 609 & 97 & 4 & 86 & 16 & 52 & 147 & 18 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 8 & 253 & 4 & 24 & 609 & 97 & 4 & 86 & 16 & 52 & 147 & 18 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 9 & 281 & 4 & 27 & 677 & 108 & 4 & 96 & 18 & 58 & 163 & 20 \\
\hline Number of Lanes & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Approach & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & & \\
\hline Opposing Approach & WB & & & EB & & & SB & & & NB & & \\
\hline Opposing Lanes & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Left & SB & & & NB & & & EB & & & WB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Left & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Right & NB & & & SB & & & WB & & & EB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Right & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay & 16.9 & & & 161.3 & & & 13.4 & & & 16.8 & & \\
\hline HCM LOS & C & & & F & & & B & & & C & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Lane & NBLn1 & EBLn1 & WBLn1 & SBLn1 \\
\hline Vol Left, \% & \(4 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(24 \%\) \\
Vol Thru, \% & \(81 \%\) & \(95 \%\) & \(83 \%\) & \(68 \%\) \\
Vol Right, \% & \(15 \%\) & \(2 \%\) & \(13 \%\) & \(8 \%\) \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
Traffic Vol by Lane & 106 & 265 & 730 & 217 \\
LT Vol & 4 & 8 & 24 & 52 \\
Through Vol & 86 & 253 & 609 & 147 \\
RT Vol & 16 & 4 & 97 & 18 \\
Lane Flow Rate & 118 & 294 & 811 & 241 \\
Geometry Grp & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
Degree of Util (X) & 0.236 & 0.517 & 1.29 & 0.459 \\
Departure Headway (Hd) & 7.968 & 6.819 & 5.727 & 7.548 \\
Convergence, Y/N & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes \\
Cap & 453 & 533 & 633 & 480 \\
Service Time & 5.968 & 4.819 & 3.789 & 5.548 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.26 & 0.552 & 1.281 & 0.502 \\
HCM Control Delay & 13.4 & 16.9 & 161.3 & 16.8 \\
HCM Lane LOS & B & C & F & C \\
HCM 95th-tile Q & 0.9 & 2.9 & 32 & 2.4
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr}
\hline Intersection \\
\hline Intersection Delay, s/veh & 81.9 \\
Intersection LOS & F
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \& & & & 4 & F & & \& & & F & \(\dagger\) & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 8 & 253 & 4 & 24 & 609 & 97 & 4 & 86 & 16 & 52 & 147 & 18 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 8 & 253 & 4 & 24 & 609 & 97 & 4 & 86 & 16 & 52 & 147 & 18 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 9 & 281 & 4 & 27 & 677 & 108 & 4 & 96 & 18 & 58 & 163 & 20 \\
\hline Number of Lanes & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Approach & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & & \\
\hline Opposing Approach & WB & & & EB & & & SB & & & NB & & \\
\hline Opposing Lanes & 2 & & & 1 & & & 2 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Left & SB & & & NB & & & EB & & & WB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Left & 2 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 2 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Right & NB & & & SB & & & WB & & & EB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Right & 1 & & & 2 & & & 2 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay & 19.8 & & & 134.2 & & & 14.5 & & & 15 & & \\
\hline HCM LOS & C & & & F & & & B & & & B & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
Lane & NBLn1 & EBLn1 & WBLn1 & WBLn2 & SBLn1 & SBLn2 \\
\hline Vol Left, \% & \(4 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(4 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(100 \%\) & \(0 \%\) \\
Vol Thru, \% & \(81 \%\) & \(95 \%\) & \(96 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(89 \%\) \\
Vol Right, \% & \(15 \%\) & \(2 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(100 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(11 \%\) \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
\hline Traffic Vol by Lane & 106 & 265 & 633 & 97 & 52 & 165 \\
LT Vol & 4 & 8 & 24 & 0 & 52 & 0 \\
Through Vol & 86 & 253 & 609 & 0 & 0 & 147 \\
RT Vol & 16 & 4 & 0 & 97 & 0 & 18 \\
Lane Flow Rate & 118 & 294 & 703 & 108 & 58 & 183 \\
Geometry Grp & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\
Degree of Util (X) & 0.258 & 0.571 & 1.263 & 0.172 & 0.13 & 0.382 \\
Departure Headway (Hd) & 8.565 & 7.416 & 6.465 & 5.733 & 8.673 & 8.078 \\
Convergence, Y/N & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes \\
Cap & 422 & 489 & 563 & 623 & 416 & 449 \\
Service Time & 6.565 & 5.416 & 4.225 & 3.492 & 6.373 & 5.778 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.28 & 0.601 & 1.249 & 0.173 & 0.139 & 0.408 \\
HCM Control Delay & 14.5 & 19.8 & 153.3 & 9.7 & 12.7 & 15.7 \\
HCM Lane LOS & B & C & F & A & B & C \\
HCM 95th-tile Q & 1 & 3.5 & 27.6 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 1.8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
Intersection \\
\hline Intersection Delay, s/veh & 10.8 \\
Intersection LOS & B
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & 4 & & & \$ & & & 4 & & & 4 & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 18 & 306 & 13 & 4 & 130 & 21 & 5 & 92 & 10 & 27 & 50 & 11 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 18 & 306 & 13 & 4 & 130 & 21 & 5 & 92 & 10 & 27 & 50 & 11 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 20 & 340 & 14 & 4 & 144 & 23 & 6 & 102 & 11 & 30 & 56 & 12 \\
\hline Number of Lanes & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Approach & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & & \\
\hline Opposing Approach & WB & & & EB & & & SB & & & NB & & \\
\hline Opposing Lanes & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Left & SB & & & NB & & & EB & & & WB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Left & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Right & NB & & & SB & & & WB & & & EB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Right & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay & 12.2 & & & 9.5 & & & 9.6 & & & 9.4 & & \\
\hline HCM LOS & B & & & A & & & A & & & A & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Lane & NBLn1 & EBLn1 & WBLn1 & SBLn1 \\
\hline Vol Left, \% & \(5 \%\) & \(5 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(31 \%\) \\
Vol Thru, \% & \(86 \%\) & \(91 \%\) & \(84 \%\) & \(57 \%\) \\
Vol Right, \% & \(9 \%\) & \(4 \%\) & \(14 \%\) & \(12 \%\) \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
Traffic Vol by Lane & 107 & 337 & 155 & 88 \\
LT Vol & 5 & 18 & 4 & 27 \\
Through Vol & 92 & 306 & 130 & 50 \\
RT Vol & 10 & 13 & 21 & 11 \\
Lane Flow Rate & 119 & 374 & 172 & 98 \\
Geometry Grp & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
Degree of Util (X) & 0.175 & 0.489 & 0.233 & 0.146 \\
Departure Headway (Hd) & 5.312 & 4.702 & 4.878 & 5.379 \\
Convergence, Y/N & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes \\
Cap & 668 & 760 & 728 & 659 \\
Service Time & 3.407 & 2.766 & 2.957 & 3.477 \\
HCM Lane VIC Ratio & 0.178 & 0.492 & 0.236 & 0.149 \\
HCM Control Delay & 9.6 & 12.2 & 9.5 & 9.4 \\
HCM Lane LOS & A & B & A & A \\
HCM 95th-tile Q & 0.6 & 2.7 & 0.9 & 0.5
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Intersection \\
\hline Intersection Delay, s/veh 19.4 \\
Intersection LOS & C
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & ¢ & & & ¢ & & & \({ }_{4}\) & & & \({ }_{4}\) & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 20 & 436 & 14 & 4 & 339 & 23 & 6 & 102 & 11 & 30 & 55 & 12 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 20 & 436 & 14 & 4 & 339 & 23 & 6 & 102 & 11 & 30 & 55 & 12 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 22 & 484 & 16 & 4 & 377 & 26 & 7 & 113 & 12 & 33 & 61 & 13 \\
\hline Number of Lanes & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Approach & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & & \\
\hline Opposing Approach & WB & & & EB & & & SB & & & NB & & \\
\hline Opposing Lanes & 1 & & & & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Left & SB & & & NB & & & EB & & & WB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Left & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Right & NB & & & SB & & & WB & & & EB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Right & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay & 24.7 & & & 17.3 & & & 11.8 & & & 11.5 & & \\
\hline HCM LOS & C & & & C & & & B & & & B & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Lane & NBLn1 & EBLn1 & WBLn1 & SBLn1 \\
\hline Vol Left, \% & \(5 \%\) & \(4 \%\) & \(1 \%\) & \(31 \%\) \\
Vol Thru, \% & \(86 \%\) & \(93 \%\) & \(93 \%\) & \(57 \%\) \\
Vol Right, \% & \(9 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(6 \%\) & \(12 \%\) \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
Traffic Vol by Lane & 119 & 470 & 366 & 97 \\
LT Vol & 6 & 20 & 4 & 30 \\
Through Vol & 102 & 436 & 339 & 55 \\
RT Vol & 11 & 14 & 23 & 12 \\
Lane Flow Rate & 132 & 522 & 407 & 108 \\
Geometry Grp & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
Degree of Util (X) & 0.245 & 0.777 & 0.621 & 0.203 \\
Departure Headway (Hd) & 6.682 & 5.357 & 5.493 & 6.79 \\
Convergence, Y/N & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes \\
Cap & 541 & 668 & 651 & 531 \\
Service Time & 4.682 & 3.437 & 3.578 & 4.796 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.244 & 0.781 & 0.625 & 0.203 \\
HCM Control Delay & 11.8 & 24.7 & 17.3 & 11.5 \\
\hline HCM Lane LOS & B & C & C & B \\
HCM 95th-tile Q & 1 & 7.4 & 4.3 & 0.8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
Intersection \\
\hline Intersection Delay, s/veh & 39 \\
Intersection LOS & E
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & * & & & ¢ & & & * & & & \& & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 20 & 482 & 14 & 8 & 366 & 58 & 6 & 102 & 24 & 89 & 55 & 12 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 20 & 482 & 14 & 8 & 366 & 58 & 6 & 102 & 24 & 89 & 55 & 12 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 22 & 536 & 16 & 9 & 407 & 64 & 7 & 113 & 27 & 99 & 61 & 13 \\
\hline Number of Lanes & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Approach & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & & \\
\hline Opposing Approach & WB & & & EB & & & SB & & & NB & & \\
\hline Opposing Lanes & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Left & SB & & & NB & & & EB & & & WB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Left & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Right & NB & & & SB & & & WB & & & EB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Right & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay & 56.2 & & & 34.5 & & & 14.2 & & & 15.3 & & \\
\hline HCM LOS & F & & & D & & & B & & & C & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Lane & NBLn1 & EBLn1 & WBLn1 & SBLn1 \\
\hline Vol Left, \% & \(5 \%\) & \(4 \%\) & \(2 \%\) & \(57 \%\) \\
Vol Thru, \% & \(77 \%\) & \(93 \%\) & \(85 \%\) & \(35 \%\) \\
Vol Right, \% & \(18 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(13 \%\) & \(8 \%\) \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
Traffic Vol by Lane & 132 & 516 & 432 & 156 \\
LT Vol & 6 & 20 & 8 & 89 \\
Through Vol & 102 & 482 & 366 & 55 \\
RT Vol & 24 & 14 & 58 & 12 \\
Lane Flow Rate & 147 & 573 & 480 & 173 \\
Geometry Grp & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
Degree of Util (X) & 0.313 & 0.975 & 0.844 & 0.372 \\
Departure Headway (Hd) & 7.69 & 6.238 & 6.331 & 7.725 \\
Convergence, Y/N & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes \\
Cap & 468 & 585 & 576 & 466 \\
Service Time & 5.727 & 4.238 & 4.331 & 5.758 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.314 & 0.979 & 0.833 & 0.371 \\
HCM Control Delay & 14.2 & 56.2 & 34.5 & 15.3 \\
HCM Lane LOS & B & F & D & C \\
HCM 95th-tile Q & 1.3 & 13.7 & 9 & 1.7
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
Intersection \\
\hline Intersection Delay, s/veh & 49.6 \\
Intersection LOS & E
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & 4 & & & \(\uparrow\) & F & & \& & & \% & \(\dagger\) & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 20 & 482 & 14 & 8 & 366 & 58 & 6 & 102 & 24 & 89 & 55 & 12 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 20 & 482 & 14 & 8 & 366 & 58 & 6 & 102 & 24 & 89 & 55 & 12 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 & 0.90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 22 & 536 & 16 & 9 & 407 & 64 & 7 & 113 & 27 & 99 & 61 & 13 \\
\hline Number of Lanes & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Approach & EB & & & WB & & & NB & & & SB & & \\
\hline Opposing Approach & WB & & & EB & & & SB & & & NB & & \\
\hline Opposing Lanes & 2 & & & 1 & & & 2 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Left & SB & & & NB & & & EB & & & WB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Left & 2 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 2 & & \\
\hline Conflicting Approach Right & NB & & & SB & & & WB & & & EB & & \\
\hline Conflicting Lanes Right & 1 & & & 2 & & & 2 & & & 1 & & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay & 88.3 & & & 26.8 & & & 15.5 & & & 13.5 & & \\
\hline HCM LOS & F & & & D & & & C & & & B & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
Lane & NBLn1 & EBLn1 & WBLn1 & WBLn2 & SBLn1 & SBLn2 \\
\hline Vol Left, \% & \(5 \%\) & \(4 \%\) & \(2 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(100 \%\) & \(0 \%\) \\
Vol Thru, \% & \(77 \%\) & \(93 \%\) & \(98 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(82 \%\) \\
Vol Right, \% & \(18 \%\) & \(3 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(100 \%\) & \(0 \%\) & \(18 \%\) \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop & Stop \\
\hline Traffic Vol by Lane & 132 & 516 & 374 & 58 & 89 & 67 \\
LT Vol & 6 & 20 & 8 & 0 & 89 & 0 \\
Through Vol & 102 & 482 & 366 & 0 & 0 & 55 \\
RT Vol & 24 & 14 & 0 & 58 & 0 & 12 \\
Lane Flow Rate & 147 & 573 & 416 & 64 & 99 & 74 \\
Geometry Grp & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\
Degree of Util (X) & 0.327 & 1.081 & 0.773 & 0.107 & 0.232 & 0.162 \\
Departure Headway (Hd) & 8.437 & 6.788 & 6.965 & 6.236 & 8.839 & 8.191 \\
Convergence, Y/N & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes & Yes \\
Cap & 428 & 539 & 524 & 578 & 408 & 441 \\
Service Time & 6.437 & 4.788 & 4.665 & 3.936 & 6.539 & 5.891 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.343 & 1.063 & 0.794 & 0.111 & 0.243 & 0.168 \\
HCM Control Delay & 15.5 & 88.3 & 29.5 & 9.7 & 14.2 & 12.5 \\
HCM Lane LOS & C & F & D & A & B & B \\
HCM 95th-tile Q & 1.4 & 17.6 & 6.9 & 0.4 & 0.9 & 0.6
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX G}

\section*{CAPACITY ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS MITCHELL MILL ROAD \&}

SITE ACCESS 1
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 0.4 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBL & EBT & WBT & WBR & SBL & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \(\uparrow\) & \(\boldsymbol{f}\) & & & F \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 321 & 701 & 4 & 0 & 29 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 321 & 701 & 4 & 0 & 29 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & - & 0 \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 0 & 357 & 779 & 4 & 0 & 32
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 0.2 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBL & EBT & WBT & WBR & SBL & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & & \(\uparrow\) & \(\uparrow\) & & & F \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 0 & 595 & 412 & 4 & 0 & 20 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 0 & 595 & 412 & 4 & 0 & 20 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & - & 0 \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 0 & 661 & 458 & 4 & 0 & 22
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcccccc}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Major/Minor } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Major1 } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Major2 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & - & 0 & - & 0 & - & 460 \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Critical Hdwy & - & - & - & - & - & 6.22 \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Follow-up Hdwy & - & - & - & - & -3.318 \\
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & 0 & - & - & - & 0 & 601 \\
Stage 1 & 0 & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Stage 2 & 0 & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Platoon blocked, \% & & - & - & - & & \\
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & 601 \\
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Approach & EB & WB & SB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 0 & 11.2 \\
HCM LOS & & \(B\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcrrr} 
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & EBT & WBT & WBR SBLn1 \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & - & - & - & 601 \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & - & - & -0.037 \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & - & - & - & 11.2 \\
HCM Lane LOS & - & - & - & B \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & - & - & - & 0.1
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX H}

\section*{CAPACITY ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS MITCHELL MILL ROAD \&}

SITE ACCESS 2
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 1.7 & & & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & WBT & WBR & SBL & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & i & \(\uparrow\) & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & 1 & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 34 & 287 & 626 & 4 & 11 & 75 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 34 & 287 & 626 & 4 & 11 & 75 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & 150 & - & - & 100 & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 38 & 319 & 696 & 4 & 12 & 83
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 1.7 & & & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & WBT & WBR & SBL & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & i & \(\uparrow\) & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & 1 & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 34 & 287 & 626 & 4 & 11 & 75 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 34 & 287 & 626 & 4 & 11 & 75 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & 100 & - & - & 100 & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 38 & 319 & 696 & 4 & 12 & 83
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 1.8 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBL & EBT & WBT & WBR & SBL & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & 1 & 4 & 个 & \(\mathbf{r}\) & r & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 118 & 477 & 362 & 13 & 8 & 50 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 118 & 477 & 362 & 13 & 8 & 50 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & 150 & - & - & 100 & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \(\#\) & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
Mvmt Flow & 131 & 530 & 402 & 14 & 9 & 56
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Major/Minor & Major1 & & Major2 & & Minor2 & \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & 416 & 0 & - & 0 & 1194 & 402 \\
\hline Stage 1 & - & - & - - & - & 402 & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & - & - & - - & - & 792 & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy & 4.12 & - & - - & - & 6.42 & 6.22 \\
\hline Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & - & - & - - & - & 5.42 & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & - & - & - & - & 5.42 & - \\
\hline Follow-up Hdwy & 2.218 & - & - - & - & 3.518 & 3.318 \\
\hline Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & 1143 & - & - & - & 206 & 648 \\
\hline Stage 1 & - & - & - - & - & 676 & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & - & - & - - & - & 446 & - \\
\hline Platoon blocked, \% & & - & - - & - & & \\
\hline Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & 1143 & - & - - & - & 182 & 648 \\
\hline Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - - & - & 182 & - \\
\hline Stage 1 & - & - & - - & - & 598 & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & - & - & - - & - & 446 & - \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach & EB & & WB & & SB & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 1.7 & & 0 & & 13.7 & \\
\hline HCM LOS & & & & & B & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Minor Lane/Major Mvmt} & EBL & EBT & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{WBT WBR SBLn1} \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & & 1143 & - & - & - & 479 \\
\hline HCM Lane V/C Ratio & & 0.115 & - & - & - & 0.135 \\
\hline HCM Control Delay (s) & & 8.6 & - & - & - & 13.7 \\
\hline HCM Lane LOS & & A & A & - & - & B \\
\hline HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & & 0.4 & A & - & - & 0.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Intersection} \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 1.8 & & & & & \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & WBT & WBR & SBL & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \% & \(\uparrow\) & \(\uparrow\) & T & M & \\
\hline Traffic Vol, veh/h & 118 & 477 & 362 & 13 & 8 & 50 \\
\hline Future Vol, veh/h & 118 & 477 & 362 & 13 & 8 & 50 \\
\hline Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Sign Control F & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
\hline RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
\hline Storage Length & 100 & - & - & 100 & 0 & - \\
\hline Veh in Median Storage, \# & \# & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
\hline Grade, \% & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & - \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\
\hline Heavy Vehicles, \% & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Mvmt Flow & 131 & 530 & 402 & 14 & 9 & 56 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{APPENDIX I}

\section*{TURN LANE WARRANTS}


Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{2027 Build } \\
\hline Peak Hour & Approach & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Right Turn \\
Volume
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Approach \\
Volume
\end{tabular} & Warranted? \\
\hline AM & Westbound & 0 & 701 & No \\
\hline PM & Westbound & 0 & 412 & No \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



Mitchell Mill Road and Site Access 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{2027 Build } \\
\hline Peak Hour & Approach & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Right Turn \\
Volume
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Approach \\
Volume
\end{tabular} & Warranted? \\
\hline AM & Westbound & 4 & 630 & No \\
\hline PM & Westbound & 13 & 375 & No \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{RIGHT TURN LANE WARRANTS}


\section*{APPENDIX J}

\section*{MUTCD / ITRE} SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

\title{
Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
}

Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Project Name & Hills at Harris Creek \\
\hline Project/ File \# & \(20498-05\) \\
\hline Scenario & 2027 No-Build \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Intersection Iniormation } \\
\hline M ajor Street (E/W Road) & US 401 Bypass & Minor Street (N/S Road) & Jonesville Road / WB Left-Over \\
\hline Analyzed with & 2 or more approach lanes & Analyzed with & 1 Approach Lane \\
\hline Total Approach Volume & 2861 vehicles & Total Approach Volume & 424 vehicles \\
\hline Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings & Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings \\
\hline Right turn reduction of & 100 percent applied & Right turn reduction of & 0 percent applied \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

No high speed or isolated community reduction applied to the Volume Warrant thresholds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Warrant 1, agnt Four venicular volume } \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B & Condition A+B* \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2 hours & 2 hours & 2 (Cond. A) \& 2 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - M ajor Street (veh/hr) & 420 & 630 & 336 (Cond. A) \& 504 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - M inor Street (veh/hr) & 105 & 53 & 84 (Cond. A) \& 42 (Cond. B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Warrant 2, Four hour venicular volume} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Not Satisfied} \\
\hline Required values reached for & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{2 hours} \\
\hline Criteria & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{See Figure Below} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Warrant 5 , peak four venicular volume} \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 1941 total, 41 minor, 0 delay & 2 hours \\
\hline Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) & 800 & \multirow{3}{*}{See Figure Below} \\
\hline Criteria - M inor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) & 100 & \\
\hline Criteria - M inor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


\section*{US 401 Bypass \& Jonesville Road [Major-Street Left-Turn] [No-Build]}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ AM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{} \\
\cline { 1 - 2 } vph & g/c & a & b & c \\
\hline 900 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0097 & 0.4284 \\
\hline 961 & 0.7 & \(4.0 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.009192 & 0.460018 \\
\hline 1080 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0082 & 0.5217 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 961 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 961 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 95 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ PM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{} \\
\hline vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 1800 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0097 & 0.4284 \\
\hline 1900 & 0.7 & \(4.0 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.008867 & 0.480233 \\
\hline 1980 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0082 & 0.5217 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 1900 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 1900 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 41 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Distance to Upstream Signal & 8800 & ft \\
\hline Posted Speed Limit & 55 & mph \\
\hline Travel Time & 109.09 & s \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Left Turn - \(95 \%\) Queue Length


\section*{US 401 Bypass \& Jonesville Road [Minor-Street Right-Turn] [No-Build]}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ AM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{} \\
\cline { 1 - 2 } vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 720 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0108 & 0.2587 \\
\hline 873 & 0.7 & \(3.2 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.009525 & 0.34557 \\
\hline 900 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.0093 & 0.3609 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ PM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{c}{} \\
\hline vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 1800 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0108 & 0.2587 \\
\hline 1835 & 0.7 & \(3.8 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.010508 & 0.278572 \\
\hline 1980 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.0093 & 0.3609 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 873 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 873 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 150 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 1835 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 1835 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 138 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Distance to Upstream Signal & 8800 & ft \\
\hline Posted Speed Limit & 55 & mph \\
\hline Travel Time & 109.09 & s \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Right Turn-95\% Queue Length


\title{
Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
}

\section*{Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Project Name & Hills at Harris Creek \\
\hline Project/File \# & \(20498-05\) \\
\hline Scenario & 2027 Build \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Intersection } \\
\hline Major Street (E/W Road) & US 401 Bypass & Minor Street (N/S Road) & Jonesville Road / WB Left-Over \\
\hline Analyzed with & 2 or more approach lanes & Analyzed with & 1 Approach Lane \\
\hline Total Approach Volume & 2911 vehicles & Total Approach Volume & 537 vehicles \\
\hline Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings & Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings \\
\hline Right turn reduction of & 100 percent applied & Right turn reduction of & 0 percent applied \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

No high speed or isolated community reduction applied to the Volume Warrant thresholds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B & Condition A+B* \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2 hours & 2 hours & 2 (Cond. A) \& 2 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) & 420 & 630 & 336 (Cond. A) \& 504 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) & 105 & 53 & 84 (Cond. A) \& 42 (Cond. B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.
\begin{tabular}{|r|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2 hours \\
\hline Criteria & See Figure Below \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|r|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & Condition A & \multirow{2}{c|}{ Condition B } \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2000 total, 61 minor, 0 delay & 2 hours \\
\hline Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) & 800 & \multirow{2}{*}{ See Figure Below } \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) & 100 & \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) & 4 & \multirow{2}{*}{} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


\section*{US 401 Bypass \& Jonesville Road [Minor-Street Right-Turn] [Build]}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ AM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{} & \multicolumn{4}{c}{} \\
\cline { 1 - 2 } vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 720 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0108 & 0.2587 \\
\hline 873 & 0.7 & \(3.2 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.009525 & 0.34557 \\
\hline 900 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.0093 & 0.3609 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ PM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{c|}{} \\
\cline { 1 - 2 } vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 1800 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0108 & 0.2587 \\
\hline 1835 & 0.7 & \(3.8 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.010508 & 0.278572 \\
\hline 1980 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.0093 & 0.3609 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 873 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 873 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 200 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Distance to Upstream Signal & 8800 & ft \\
\hline Posted Speed Limit & 55 & mph \\
\hline Travel Time & 109.09 & s \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 1835 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 1835 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 165 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ AM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{} \\
\cline { 1 - 2 } vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 900 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0097 & 0.4284 \\
\hline 972 & 0.7 & \(4.0 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.0091 & 0.46572 \\
\hline 1080 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0082 & 0.5217 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ PM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{} & \multicolumn{4}{c|}{b} \\
\hline vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 1800 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0097 & 0.4284 \\
\hline 1939 & 0.7 & \(4.0 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.008542 & 0.500448 \\
\hline 1980 & 0.7 & 0.00004 & 0.0082 & 0.5217 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 972 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 972 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 101 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Distance to Upstream Signal & 8800 & ft \\
\hline Posted Speed Limit & 55 & mph \\
\hline Travel Time & 109.09 & s \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 1939 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 1939 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 61 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis}

\section*{Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Project Name & Hills at Harris Creek \\
\hline Project/File \# & \(20498-05\) \\
\hline Scenario & 2027 No-Build \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ Intersection } & nformation \\
\hline Major Street (E/W Road) & US 401 Bypass & Minor Street (N/S Road) & Eastern U-Turn Location \\
\hline Analyzed with & 2 or more approach lanes & Analyzed with & 1 Approach Lane \\
\hline Total Approach Volume & 2886 vehicles & Total Approach Volume & 173 vehicles \\
\hline Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings & Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings \\
\hline Right turn reduction of & 0 percent applied & Right turn reduction of & 0 percent applied \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

No high speed or isolated community reduction applied to the Volume Warrant thresholds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B & Condition A+B* \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 0 hours & 2 hours & 1 (Cond. A) \& 2 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) & 420 & 630 & 336 (Cond. A) \& 504 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) & 105 & 53 & 84 (Cond. A) \& 42 (Cond. B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume} \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Not Satisfied} \\
\hline Required values reached for & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{2 hours} \\
\hline Criteria & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{See Figure Below} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular volume} \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2094 total, 100 minor, 0 delay & 1 hour \\
\hline Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) & 650 & \multirow{3}{*}{See Figure Below} \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) & 100 & \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


\section*{US 401 Bypass \& Eastern U-Turn Location [Major-Street U-Turn] [No-Build]}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ AM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{l}{} \\
\hline vph & g/c & a & b & c \\
\hline 1800 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.0072 & 0.5106 \\
\hline 1994 & 0.7 & \(3.0 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.006984 & 0.539484 \\
\hline 1980 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.007 & 0.5374 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ PM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{l|}{} \\
\cline { 1 - 2 } vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 720 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.0072 & 0.5106 \\
\hline 892 & 0.7 & \(3.0 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.007009 & 0.536209 \\
\hline 900 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.007 & 0.5374 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 1994 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 1994 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\leftarrow 1994 / 892\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 892 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 892 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 73 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Distance to Upstream Signal & 10000 & ft \\
\hline Posted Speed Limit & 55 & mph \\
\hline Travel Time & 123.97 & s \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis}

\section*{Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Project Name & Hills at Harris Creek \\
\hline Project/File \# & \(20498-05\) \\
\hline Scenario & 2027 Build \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Intersection } \\
\hline Major Street (E/W Road) & US 401 Bypass & Minor Street (N/S Road) & Eastern U-Turn Location \\
\hline Analyzed with & 2 or more approach lanes & Analyzed with & 1 Approach Lane \\
\hline Total Approach Volume & 2912 vehicles & Total Approach Volume & 231 vehicles \\
\hline Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings & Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings \\
\hline Right turn reduction of & 0 percent applied & Right turn reduction of & 0 percent applied \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

No high speed or isolated community reduction applied to the Volume Warrant thresholds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{ Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B & Condition A+B* \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 1 hour & 2 hours & 2 (Cond. A) \& 2 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) & 420 & 630 & 336 (Cond. A) \& 504 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) & 105 & 53 & 84 (Cond. A) \& 42 (Cond. B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.
\begin{tabular}{|r|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2 hours \\
\hline Criteria & See Figure Below \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Condition A & Condition B \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2135 total, 135 minor, 0 delay & 1 hour \\
\hline Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) & 650 & \multirow{3}{*}{See Figure Below} \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) & 100 & \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


\section*{US 401 Bypass \& Eastern U-Turn Location [Major-Street U-Turn] [Build]}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ AM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{} & \multicolumn{4}{c|}{b} \\
\cline { 1 - 2 } vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 1980 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.007 & 0.5374 \\
\hline 2000 & 0.7 & \(3.0 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.006978 & 0.542078 \\
\hline 2160 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.0068 & 0.5795 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ PM Peak Hour } & \multicolumn{4}{c|}{} \\
\cline { 1 - 2 } vph & \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{c}\) & a & b & c \\
\hline 900 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.007 & 0.5374 \\
\hline 912 & 0.7 & \(3.0 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.006987 & 0.540207 \\
\hline 1080 & 0.7 & 0.00003 & 0.0068 & 0.5795 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 2000 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 2000 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 135 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline CVAF & 1 \\
\hline Conflicting Volume (vph) & 912 \\
\hline Adjusted Conflicting (vph) & 912 \\
\hline Turning Volume (vph) & 96 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Distance to Upstream Signal & 10000 & ft \\
\hline Posted Speed Limit & 55 & mph \\
\hline Travel Time & 123.97 & s \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

U Turn - \(95 \%\) Queue Length


\section*{Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis}

Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Project Name & Hills at Harris Creek \\
\hline Project/File \# & \(20498-05\) \\
\hline Scenario & 2027 No-Build \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|l|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Intersection } \\
\hline Major Street (E/W Road) & Mitchell Mill Road & Minor Street (N/S Road) & Jonesville Road \\
\hline Analyzed with & 1 approach lane & Analyzed with & 1 Approach Lane \\
\hline Total Approach Volume & 1708 vehicles & Total Approach Volume & 518 vehicles \\
\hline Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings & Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings \\
\hline Right turn reduction of & 100 percent applied & Right turn reduction of & 100 percent applied \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

No high speed or isolated community reduction applied to the Volume Warrant thresholds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B & Condition A+B* \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2 hours & 2 hours & 2 (Cond. A) \& 2 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) & 350 & 525 & 280 (Cond. A) \& 420 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) & 105 & 53 & 84 (Cond. A) \& 42 (Cond. B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume} \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Not Satisfied} \\
\hline Required values reached for & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{2 hours} \\
\hline Criteria & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{See Figure Below} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Warrant 3, Peak Hour Vehicular volume} \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 1178 total, 200 minor, 0 delay & 1 hour \\
\hline Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) & 800 & \multirow{3}{*}{See Figure Below} \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) & 100 & \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


\section*{Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis}

\section*{Warrants 1-3 (Volume Warrants)}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Project Name & Hills at Harris Creek \\
\hline Project/File \# & \(20498-05\) \\
\hline Scenario & 2027 Build \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Intersection Information } \\
\hline Major Street (E/W Road) & Mitchell Mill Road & Minor Street (N/S Road) & Jonesville Road \\
\hline Analyzed with & 1 approach lane & Analyzed with & 1 Approach Lane \\
\hline Total Approach Volume & 1941 vehicles & Total Approach Volume & 611 vehicles \\
\hline Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings & Total Ped/Bike Volume & 0 crossings \\
\hline Right turn reduction of & 100 percent applied & Right turn reduction of & 100 percent applied \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

No high speed or isolated community reduction applied to the Volume Warrant thresholds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{ Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & Condition A & Condition B & Condition A+B* \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2 hours & 2 hours & 2 (Cond. A) \& 2 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Major Street (veh/hr) & 350 & 525 & 280 (Cond. A) \& 420 (Cond. B) \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street (veh/hr) & 105 & 53 & 84 (Cond. A) \& 42 (Cond. B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Should be applied only after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems.
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume } \\
\hline & \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 2 hours \\
\hline Criteria & See Figure Below \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Condition A & Condition B \\
\hline Condition Satisfied? & Not Satisfied & Satisfied \\
\hline Required values reached for & 1316 total, 217 minor, 0 delay & 2 hours \\
\hline Criteria - Total Approach Volume (veh in one hour) & 800 & \multirow{3}{*}{See Figure Below} \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Volume (veh in one hour) & 100 & \\
\hline Criteria - Minor Street High Side Delay (veh-hrs) & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4C-2 (Warrant 2-70\% Factor) \& Figure 4C-4 (Warrant 3-70\% Factor)


\section*{Attachment 9}

\section*{TOWN OF ROLESVILLE PETITION FOR ANNEXATION}

The items below are required in order to complete your application and shall be submitted when the application if filed.
1. A complete copy of the last deed of record for proof of ownership
2. An annexation boundary plat/map for recordation at the Wake County Register of Deeds Office (mylar plat) prepared by a professional land surveyor showing the boundaries of the area or property for annexation into the Town of Rolesville.
3. A complete copy of the written metes and bounds description based on the amexation boundary plat/map.

\section*{SECTION 1 - LOCATION}

Is the area contiguous with the existing primary corporate limits? Satellite corporate limits is not primary. \(\square\) Yes or \(\boxtimes\) No Note: If the land is contiguous to any existing carporate limits, the proposed annexation boundary will include all intervening right-of-ways for streets, easements, and other areas as stated in North Carolina General Statute §160-131(1).

\section*{SECTION 2 - VESTED RIGHTS}

NC General Statues require petitioners of both contiguous and non-contiguous annexations to file a signed statement declaring whether vested rights have been established in accordance with G.S. 160A-385.1 or 153A-344.1 for properties subject to the petition. Do you declare vested rights for the property subject to this petition? \(\square\) Yes or \(\boxtimes\) No

\section*{SECTION 3 - PROPERTY DETAILS}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline PIN Number & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Real Estate ID \\
Number
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Deed Book \\
Number
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Page \\
Number
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Acreage To Be \\
Annexed
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Wake County \\
Assessed Value
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1757761273 & 0443802 & DB 016701 & PG 00363 & 10.48 & 8196,750 \\
\hline & & DB & PG & & S \\
\hline & DB & PG & & S \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SECTION 4 - SIGNATURES AND VERIFICATION}

We, the undersigned owners of the real properties contained in the metes and bounds description and plat/map attached hereto, respectyully request that the area described abeve be annexed and made part of the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina, By signing below, we acknowledge that all information is correct.
- If property owned by INDIVIDUALS (NOTE: All legal owners mast sign including both husband and wife)

- If property owned by a COMPANY OR CORPORATION (NOTE: The company or corporation must be legally registered with the State of North Carolina - Office of the Secretary of State)

Name of Corporation

Printed Name of Registered Agent
Signature of Registered Agent

Address, State, Zip of Registered Office:


\title{
TOWN OF ROLESVILLE PETITION FOR ANNEXATION
}

The items below are required in order to complete your application and shall be submitted when the application if filed.
1. A complete copy of the last deed of record for proof of ownership
2. An annexation boundary plat/map for recordation at the Wake County Register of Deeds Office (mylar plat) prepared by a professional land surveyor showing the boundaries of the area or property for annexation into the Town of Rolesville.
3. A complete copy of the written metes and bounds description based on the annexation boundary plat/map.

\section*{SECTION 1 - LOCATION}

Is the area contiguous with the existing primary corporate limits? Satellite corporate limits is not primary.Yes or \(\boxed{\square}\) Note: If the land is contiguous to any existing corporate limits, the proposed annexation boundary will include all intervening right-of-ways for streets, easements, and other areas as stated in North Carolina General Statute §160-131(1).

\section*{SECTION 2 - VESTED RIGHTS}

NC General Statues require petitioners of both contiguous and non-contiguous annexations to file a signed statement declaring whether vested rights have been established in accordance with G.S. 160A-385.1 or 153A-344. I for properties subject to the petition. Do you declare vested rights for the property subject to this petition? \(\square\) Yes or \(\boxtimes\) No

\section*{SECTION 3 - PROPERTY DETAILS}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ PIN Number } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Real Estate ID \\
Number
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Deed Book \\
Number
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Page \\
Number
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Acreage To Be \\
Annexed
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Wake County \\
Assessed Value
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1757778982 & 0443803 & DB20-E & PG 114 & 97.41 & \(\$ 1,954,590\) \\
\hline 1757750520 & 0074789 & DB 20-E & PG 114 & 0.69 & \(\$ 179,871\) \\
\hline & & DB & PG & & \(S\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SECTION 4 - SIGNATURES AND VERIFICATION}

We, the undersigned owners of the real properties contained in the metes and bounds description and plat/map attached hereto, respectfully request that the area described above be annexed and mate part of the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina. By signing below, we acknowledge that all information is correct
- If property owned by INDIVIDUALS (NOTE: All legal owners must sign including both husband and wife)


Signature of Owner \#2
- If property owned by a COMPANY OR CORPORATION (NOTE: The company or corporation must be legally registered with the State of North Carolina - Office of the Secretary of State)

Name of Corporation

\section*{Printed Name of Registered Agent}

Signature of Registered Agent

Address, State, Zip of Registered Office:
varcurnem wake came

\title{
TOWN OF ROLESVILLE PETITION FOR ANNEXATION
}

The items below are required in order to complete your application and shall be submitted when the application if filed.
1. A complete copy of the last deed of record for proof of ownership
2. An annexation boundary plat/map for recordation at the Wake County Register of Deeds Office (mylar plat) prepared by a professional land surveyor showing the boundaries of the area or property for annexation into the Town of Rolesville.
3. A complete copy of the written metes and bounds description based on the annexation boundary plat/ map.

\section*{SECTION 1-LOCATION}

Is the area contiguous with the existing primary corporate limits? Satellite corporate limits is not primary. \(\square\) Yes or \(\triangle\) No Note: If the land is contiguous to any existing corporate limits, the proposed annexation boundary will include all intervening right-of-ways for streets, easements, and other areas as stated in North Carolina General Statute §160-131(1).

\section*{SECTION 2 -VESTED RIGHTS}

NC General Statues require petitioners of both contiguous and non-contiguous annexations to file a signed statement declaring whether rested rights have been established in accordance with G.S. 160A-385.1 or 153A-344. I for properties subject to the petition. Do you decare vested rights for the property subject to this petition? \(\square\) Yes or \(\boxtimes\) No

\section*{SECTION 3 - PROPERTY DETAILS}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ PIN Number } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Real Estate ID \\
Number
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Deed Book \\
Number
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Page \\
Number
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Acreage To Be \\
Annexed
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Wake County \\
Assessed Value
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 1757778982 & 0443803 & DB20-E & PG 114 & 97.41 & \(81,954,590\) \\
\hline 1757750520 & 0074789 & DB20-E & PG 114 & 0.69 & 8179,871 \\
\hline & & DB & PG & & S \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SECTION 4 -SIGNATURES AND VERIFICATION}
W. the undersignaf amos of the real properties contained in the motes and bounds description and plat map attached hereto, respectfully rapist that the area described above he annexed and made part of the Town of Relesville, North Carolina. By signing below, we acknowledge that all information is correct.
- If property owned by INDIVIDUALS (NOTE: All legal owners must sign including both husband and wife)


Signature of Owner \#1
i


3609 Rock Farm Rd, Wake Forest, NC 27587-6872

- If property owned by a COMPANY OR CORPORATION (NOTE: The company or corporation must be legally registered with the State of North Carolina - Office of the Secretary of State)

Name of Corporation

Printed Name of Registered Agent
Signature of Registered Agent
 PETITION FOR ANNEXATION

The items below are required in order to complete your application and shall be submitted when the application if filed.
1. A complete copy of the last deed of record for proof of ownership
2. An annexation boundary plat/map for recordation at the Wake (county Register of 1)eeds Office (anylar plat) prepared by a professional land surveyor showing the boundaries of the area or property for annexation into the Town of Rolesville.
3. A complete copy of the written metes and bounds description based on the amexation boundary plat/map.

SECTION 1 - LOCATION
Is the area contiguous with the existing primary corporate limits? Satellite corporate limits is not primary. Yes or No Note: If the land is contiguous to any casting corporate hints, the proposed annexation boundary will elude all metervening right of ways for streets. easements, and other areas as stated in North (arcing (General Statute 160 131/1).

SECTION 2 - VESTED RIGHTS
NC General Statues require petitioners of both contiguous and noncontiguous annexations to file a signed statement declaring whether vested rights have been established in accordance with G.S. \(160 \mathrm{~A}-385.1\) or \(153 \mathrm{~A}-344.1\) for properties subject to the petition. Do you declare vested rights for the property subject to this petition? \(\square\) Yes or No

SECTION 3 - PROPERTY DETAILS
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline PIN Number & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Real Estate ID \\
Number
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Deed Book \\
Number
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Page \\
Number
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Acreage To Be \\
Annexed
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Wake County \\
Assessed Value
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 1757758529 & 0493307 & DB 018421 & PG 00370 & 24.08 & \(\$\) \\
\hline & & DB & PG & & \(\$\) \\
\hline & DB & PG & & \(\$\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SECTION 4 - SIGNATURES AND VERIFICATION

We, the undersigned owners of the real properties contained in the meres and bounds description and plat/map attached hereto, respectfully request that the area described above be annexed and made part of the Town of Rolesville. North Caroling. By signing below. we acknowledge that all information is correct.
- If property owned by INDIY1DUALS (NOTE: All legal owners must sign including both husband and wife)


Signature of Owner \#2
Date Signed
- If property owned by a COMPANY OR CORPORATION (NOTE: The company or corporation must be legally registered with the State of North Carolina - Office of the Secretary of State)


Address, State, Lip of Registered Office:


THIS DEED, made this 21th day of Marci:, 1859 , by Romie \(C\). hatkirs and wife, Irma Kirkland Vatkirs; Claikorre Hatk!ns and wife, E: : zabeth Satkin5; Iore dyscue and husband, Srvin R. Ayscue; It Iton Ontkins and w:fe, Excell Natkins; Jane :latkins Puffir and husband, Aarlie Ruffir; Louis Tatkins an' wife, Nevgssewtatkins; David M. Mat\%irs, sirole, all of :iake County, North Carolina, and Lois :fatkins :Iary and iusbars, Leo Nard, of Jurkam County, North Carolina, of the first part, to Jonnell Iatkins and wife, Daisy Natkins, of Jake County, Nor th Cayol:na, of the second yart;

VITNESSETH:
That said parties of the first part, in consideration of One Fundred ( \(\$ 100.00\) ) Dollars, and other valuable considerations to them paid by said parties of the second part, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, have hargained and sold, and by these presents do grant, bargain, sell, and convey to said parties of the second part, their heirs and assigns, a certain tract or parcel of land in Fake County, State of North Carolina, adjoining the lands of J. M. Jones, Mrs. A. P. Upchurch, and others, and bounded as follows:

BEGINNING at stake on the North side of the Traboro Road, corner of Lot No. 4; thence along the lines of Lot No. 4, N. \(22^{8} 30^{\circ}\) E. 658 feet to a stake; thence N. \(47^{\circ} 30^{\circ}\) E. 1223 feet to a stake; thence
N. 3100 feet to a stake and pointers on the south side of powell \({ }^{\prime}\) s

Greek; thence up with the various courses of sald Greek to a stake
corner of Int No. 2; thence South along the line of Lot No. 2, 4600
feet to a =take on the Kelly Branch 5C feet Nest of J. M. Jones'
corner; thersa jown with the various courses of said branch to a
stake cormer inf J. M. Jones; thence along Jones line S. \(23^{\circ}\) : onf
Feet to a stake on the North side of the Traboro ? icad; thence aloriq
said road N. \(81^{\circ} \%, 600\) feet to the BEGINNING, containing \(111-3 / \%\) acres and being lot No. ? of the division of the lands of the late acres and being Lot No. ? of the division of the lands of the tate John \(\mu\). Nathins, an of Jecds off: on for Wake County; and being the same property cenveyed by lead racorded in Bock 752 , page 599 , \#ake Comnty Ren: s'ry.
There is excepted from the above described tract of land a one (1) acre tract, more or less, and more particulariy tescribed as follows:

All that certain tract or parcel of lard located in Nake Forest
Cownshir, Na:e Forest, North Carolina, and being on the North s:de

\section*{soun 1318 mine-334}
of the Tarboro Road, and containing 1 acre, more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the senter of the Tarboro Road, corner with the land of J. M. Jones; runrina thence along the center of said Tarboro Road N. \(19^{\circ} \% .210\) feet to a point, corner with the land of R. O. Natkins; runnin thence alonq the R. O. Natkins' line N. \(23^{\circ}\) E. 210 feet to a poirt, another corner with the lands of ?. O. Watkins; running therce along ?. O. Natkins" line S. \(18^{\circ}\) E. 210 feet to a point in the 1 ine of J. M. Jones; running thence with the line of J. M. Jones S. \(22^{\circ} \%\). 210 feet to the point and nlace of BEGINNING, and being a part of Lot No, 3 of the J. M. Statxins' farm, accoruing to a may, and survey made by Fittman Stell, rins farm, accoruirg to a ma
Surveyor, dated April, 1925 .

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the aforesaid tract or parcel of land, and
all privileges and appurtenances thereto belonging, to the said parties of the second part, their heirs and assigns, to their only use and behoof in fee simple absolute forever.

And the said parties of the first part, for themselves and their heirs, executors, and administrators, covenant with said parties of the second part, their heirs and assigns, that they are seized of said premises in fee and have right to convey in fee simple; that the same are free and clear from all encumbrances, and that they do hereby forever warrant and will forever defend the said title to the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever.

IN TESTIMONY : H HEREOF, the said parties of the first part have
hereunto set their hards and seals, the day and year first above written.


 certify that charlie hefir personally appeared before me this day ar l acknowle lated the 1 execution of the foreqoiro instrument.
SACCO
SAACHOM

ATTNESS my land and Notarial seal, this the 26 day of March,

mbinecisc-1-196
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA:
Raze
The forgoing Certificates) of
Welles Yernciqu. A Notary Public of Wake Counts. Site of North Caroline


are adjudged to be in due form and correct. Let the instrument, with the certificate be registered.


4etuntglerk Superior Court, Wake County, N. C.
Filed for registration at. \(1 \sqrt{0} 07 \mathrm{c}\). and registered in the office of the Register of Deeds for-
 in Book 1318 . Pays 333 \(\qquad\)


\section*{STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

\section*{WAKE COUNTY

\section*{WAKE COUNTY \\ }
```

From 1 OM i O. Contra
To Ora laikcans latins HAku Dom an

```


```

0 Na


``` the receipt of why sh is hereby acknowledged, ha \& bargained and sold, and by these presents do. \& s grant, bargain, sell and convey to said
```



``` parcel of land in _O- Wake Bepfining at stake on the Noside of the Arbor Road, corner of lot No. 4 ; thence along the Ines of lot Nos, N. 22 deg. \(30^{\prime}\) E. \(658^{\prime}\) to a stake; thence N. 47 deg. \(30^{\prime}\) E. li. \({ }^{\prime}\) to a stake, thence N. 3100 ft . to a stake and pointers on the south side of powell's Creak; thence up with the various courses or said Creek to a stoke corner of lot NG. \({ }^{2}\); thence south along the line of lout No.2, \(4600^{\prime}\) to a stake on the Kelly Branch \(50^{\prime}\) West of J. M. Jones corner, thence down with the various courses of said Branch to a stake corner of T. M. Jonas; thence along tones line s. 23 deg. W. \(886^{\prime}\) to a stake on the north side of the larboro Road, thence alone said road N. 81. deg. W. \(600^{\prime}\) to the beginning, containing one hundred and fourteen and three-fourths acres (114 \(\frac{3}{4}\) ) and being lot No. 3 or the Division or the lands of the late John M. Watkins, a map of which is recorded in the book of maps in the Register of Deeds office for Wake County .
```

TO HAVE ND TO HOLD the aforesaid tract or parcel of land, and all privileges and appurtenances thereto belonging, to the said.

 heirs and assigns, that_ 18 _-_seized of said premises in fee and ha 0 right to convey in fee simple, that the same are free and clear from all encum-



STATE OF NQRTH garoliva,........................................................
$1,-\ldots$,
before me this dah and acknowledged the (u execution of the annexed Deed of Conveyance; andtheaidnernise, personally appeared

 cate, bervegitatered.

Witness my hand and Private seal, this_ / et
My-commission-axpires NORTH CAROLINA-WAKE Counter
The foregoing gertificate of (Seal) county, State of 1 ant Carolina or is adjudged to be correct. Let the instrument, with the certificates, be registered.

 Deeds for Wake County, N. C., this....ft.day of $M 1$



# NORTH CAROLINA SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED 

Excise Tax: $\$ 1445.00$
Wake County REID Out of 0046970
Mail/Box to: Grantee
This instrument was prepared by: Kenneth L. Eagle, 105 Weston Estates Way, Cary, NC 27513
Brief description for the Index: 25.125 Acres, north side of Mitchell Mill Road (NCSR 2224)
THIS DEED made this $\square$ day of February 2022, by and between

GRANTOR
Mitchell Mill Road Investors LLC, a North Carolina limited liability company

Address: $\quad 105$ Weston Estates Way Cary, NC 27513

## GRANTEE

Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC, a North Carolina limited liability company

Address: $\quad 6801$ Falls of Neuse Road, Suite 108 Raleigh, NC 27615

Enter in appropriate block for each Grantor and Grantee: name, mailing address, and, if appropriate, character of entity, e.g. corporation or partnership.

The designation Grantor and Grantee as used herein shall include said parties, their heirs, successors, and assigns, and shall include singular, plural, masculine, feminine or neuter as required by context.
WITNESSETH, that the Grantor, for a valuable consideration paid by the Grantee, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, has and by these presents does grant, bargain, sell and convey unto the Grantee in fee simple, all that certain lot or parcel of land situated in Wake Forest Township, Wake County, North Carolina and more particularly described as follows (the "Property"):

## SEE EXHIBIT A ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE.

The Property was acquired by Grantor by instrument recorded in Book 11505, Page 2324.
None of the Property herein conveyed includes the primary residence of Grantor.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the Property and all privileges and appurtenances thereto belonging to the Grantee in fee simple.
And the Grantor covenants with the Grantee, that Grantor has done nothing to impair such title as Grantor received, and Granter will warrant and defend the title against the lawful claims of all persons claiming by, under or through Granter, other than the following exceptions:

1. Ad valorem property taxes for 2022 and subsequent years.
2. Right of way of Mitchell Mill Road (North Carolina Secondary Road 2224).
3. All rights of way, easements, restrictions, agreements, and other matters of record affecting the Property recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds for Wake County, North Carolina, including, without limitation, the following:
a. Easement to Carolina Power and Light Company recorded in Book 2830, Page 24.
b. Rights of others entitled thereto in and to the continued uninterrupted flow of any portion of Kelly Branch on or adjoining the Property.
c. Riparian or littoral rights incident to any branches, creeks, streams, or other waters on or adjoining the Property.
d. Rights of adjoining property owners in and to any ditches on or adjoining the Property.
e. Matters that would be shown by a current survey of the Property.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Grantor has caused this Deed to be executed in its company name by its duly authorized official, as of the day and year first above written.

Mitchell Mill Road Investors LLC,
a North Carolina limited liability company


Name: Inmornty R Smith
Title: Vice President

## Wake County, North Carolina

I certify that the following person personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged to me that he executed the foregoing Deed:
 -.

Date: February 15,2022
(affix seal or stamp here)


Women Notary Public eland, Printed/Typed Name: My Commission Expires:


## EXHIBIT A <br> PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

LYING AND BEING in Wake Forest Township, Wake County, North Carolina, adjoining the right of way of Mitchell Mill Road (North Carolina Secondary Road 2224), and being more particularly described as follows (all recording references are to the office of the Register of Deeds for Wake County, North Carolina):

BEGINNING at an iron pipe found in the north right-of-way line of Mitchell Mill Road, said point being a common corner with the southeast corner of property owned now or formerly by Donnell Watkens (see Deed Book 1318, Page 333); then running along the following lines:

With the eastern boundary line of Watkens, North 18 degrees 12 minutes 00 seconds East 893.03 feet to an iron pipe set;

Continuing with the eastern boundary line of Watkens, North 18 degrees 12 minutes 00 seconds East 50.00 feet to a point in the Kelly Branch;

The along center line of Kelly Branch:
North 39 degrees 14 minutes 44 seconds East 7.31 feet; South 56 degrees 54 minutes 35 seconds East 48.61 feet; South 70 degrees 54 minutes 35 seconds East 24.61 feet; North 70 degrees 48 minutes 45 seconds East 25.45 feet; South 40 degrees 27 minutes 21 seconds East 28.19 feet; South 89 degrees 23 minutes 35 seconds East 32.88 feet; South 36 degrees 29 minutes 25 seconds East 17.15 feet; North 87 degrees 07 minutes 56 seconds East 15.72 feet; South 51 degrees 42 minutes 06 seconds East 21.14 feet; South 89 degrees 24 minutes 42 seconds East 33.05 feet; South 02 degrees 01 minutes 35 seconds East 17.48 feet; South 81 degrees 55 minutes 17 seconds East 15.31 feet; North 69 degrees 56 minutes 02 seconds East 14.47 feet; South 47 degrees 41 minutes 48 seconds East 9.88 feet; South 10 degrees 48 minutes 42 seconds West 17.64 feet; South 60 degrees 44 minutes 48 seconds East 46.31 feet; South 37 degrees 39 minutes 08 seconds East 49.81 feet; South 12 degrees 22 minutes 12 seconds East 24.12 feet; South 29 degrees 48 minutes 24 seconds East 30.28 feet; South 82 degrees 27 minutes 46 seconds East 31.99 feet; South 59 degrees 14 minutes 13 seconds East 17.52 feet; South 84 degrees 17 minutes 27 seconds East 25.35 feet; South 34 degrees 56 minutes 33 seconds East 75.35 feet; South 76 degrees 29 minutes 04 seconds East 27.94 feet; South 53 degrees 56 minutes 59 seconds East 23.48 feet; North 62 degrees 42 minutes 03 seconds East 27.98 feet; South 12 degrees 06 minutes 52 seconds East 39.01 feet; South 19 degrees 20 minutes 23 seconds East 50.44 feet;

South 77 degrees 09 minutes 16 seconds East 19.10 feet; South 07 degrees 23 minutes 00 seconds East 8.05 feet; South 27 degrees 33 minutes 46 seconds West 17.80 feet; South 02 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds East 17.25 feet; South 56 degrees 32 minutes 15 seconds East 19.64 feet; South 12 degrees 52 minutes 10 seconds East 26.30 feet; South 77 degrees 21 minutes 17 seconds East 19.17 feet; South 22 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East 19.39 feet; South 65 degrees 53 minutes 52 seconds East 24.78 feet; South 19 degrees 31 minutes 10 seconds West 13.15 feet; South 71 degrees 14 minutes 44 seconds East 11.65 feet; North 78 degrees 16 minutes 05 seconds East 30.35 feet; North 66 degrees 40 minutes 56 seconds East 29.69 feet; North 52 degrees 43 minutes 45 seconds East 52.03 feet; South 84 degrees 05 minutes 40 seconds East 20.63 feet; North 75 degrees 05 minutes 35 seconds East 16.99 feet; South 68 degrees 27 minutes 23 seconds East 16.87 feet; North 81 degrees 44 minutes 06 seconds East 14.34 feet; South 74 degrees 50 minutes 19 seconds East 19.97 feet; North 49 degrees 38 minutes 31 seconds East 44.60 feet; South 62 degrees 45 minutes 51 seconds East 22.37 feet; North 57 degrees 04 minutes 06 seconds East 21.98 feet; South 85 degrees 24 minutes 11 seconds East 37.57 feet; North 62 degrees 13 minutes 03 seconds East 23.16 feet; South 54 degrees 59 minutes 08 seconds East 19.65 feet; South 15 degrees 17 minutes 54 seconds East 38.18 feet; South 05 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 33.15 feet; South 15 degrees 59 minutes 03 seconds West 8.22 feet; South 53 degrees 28 minutes 36 seconds West 20.78 feet; South 27 degrees 04 minutes 40 seconds East 79.74 feet; North 82 degrees 47 minutes 20 seconds East 21.90 feet; South 66 degrees 58 minutes 30 seconds East 28.16 feet; South 81 degrees 40 minutes 19 seconds East 27.96 feet; South 51 degrees 33 minutes 15 seconds East 16.75 feet; South 06 degrees 37 minutes 45 seconds West 10.90 feet; South 19 degrees 04 minutes 40 seconds West 14.50 feet; South 48 degrees 42 minutes 21 seconds East 17.71 feet; South 61 degrees 22 minutes 03 seconds East 39.43 feet; and South 36 degrees 38 minutes 48 seconds East 39.44 feet to a point in the center line of Kelly Branch, in the western boundary line of property owned now or formerly by Joseph H. Wagner (see deeds recorded in Book 5816, Page 277 and Book 2619, Page 775 and maps recorded in Book of Maps 1985, Page 2212 and Book of Maps 1993, Page 446);
then leaving the center line of Kelly Creek and running with the western boundary line of said property of Wagner along the following two (2) lines:

South 01 degrees 27 minutes 33 seconds East 19.71 feet; and
South 00 degrees 27 minutes 33 seconds East 10.00 feet to a point in the eastern boundary line of the Property herein described and a common corner with the southwest corner of said property of Wagner and with the northwest corner of property owned now or formerly by Charles Spencer Jones (see deed recorded in Book 10112, Page 1534);
then with the western boundary line of said property of Jones, along the following two (2) lines:
South 00 degrees 27 minutes 33 seconds East 10.00 feet; and
South 00 degrees 27 minutes 33 seconds East 552.55 feet to a spike set in the centerline of Mitchell Mill Road;
then along the centerline of Mitchell Mill Road the following lines:
North 71 degrees 32 minutes 39 seconds West 24.07 feet;
North 74 degrees 13 minutes 18 seconds West 51.42 feet;
North 75 degrees 48 minutes 52 seconds West 259.87 feet;
North 75 degrees 14 minutes 33 seconds West 152.68 feet;
North 74 degrees 15 minutes 20 seconds West 51.96 feet;
North 74 degrees 59 minutes 06 seconds West 50.07 feet;
North 74 degrees 05 minutes 27 seconds West 50.77 feet;
North 73 degrees 34 minutes 20 seconds West 99.95 feet;
North 72 degrees 45 minutes 42 seconds West 52.88 feet;
North 73 degrees 37 minutes 20 seconds West 98.57 feet;
North 74 degrees 31 minutes 11 seconds West 100.00 feet;
North 75 degrees 15 minutes 51 seconds West 96.96 feet;
North 75 degrees 39 minutes 32 seconds West 154.21 feet;
North 76 degrees 20 minutes 42 seconds West 100.91 feet;
North 77 degrees 07 minutes 54 seconds West 104.34 feet; and
North 77 degrees 21 minutes 31 seconds West 70.97 feet to a spike set in the centerline of Mitchell Mill Road, a common corner with the southeast corner of the aforesaid property owned now or formerly by Watkens;
then with the eastern boundary line of said property of Watkens, North 18 degrees 12 minutes 00 seconds East 30.14 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING,
and being Tract 1 , containing a total of 25.125 acres (1.043acres in the right of way of Mitchell Mill Road and 24.082 outside of the right of way of Mitchell Mill Road), as shown on a survey entitled "Boundary Survey of Hampton and Cole Property", prepared by Kenneth Close, Inc., dated July 10, 2003.

Prepared By: Gwynn \& Edwards, P.A. (without title exam or tax advice)
Mail After Recording to: GRANTEE

## NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL WARRANTY DEED

This Deed made this 15 day of February, 2017, by and between DAISY WATKINS, GRANTOR, to RANDALL WATKINS and wife, LAURA WATKINS, GRANTEES, whose mailing address is 3278 Landing Falls Lane, Raleigh, NC 27616.

The designation Grantor and Grantee as used herein shall include said parties, their heirs, successors, and assigns, and shall include singular, plural, masculine, feminine or neuter as required by context.

WITNESSETH, that the Grantor, for valuable consideration paid by the Grantee, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, has and by these presents does grant, bargain, sell and convey unto the Grantee in fee simple, all that certain lot or parcel of land situated in Wake County, North Carolina and more particularly described as follows:

BEING ALL OF TRACT 1 containing 10.524 gross acres as shown on map entitled "Exempt Subdivision for Randall Watkins, Wake Forest Township, Wake County, North Carolina" by Williams-Pearce and Assoc., Professional Land Surveyors, P.A., dated 12/08/2016 and recorded in Book of Maps 2017, Page 218, Wake County Registry.

This deed was prepared without a title search and drafting attorney makes no representation as to title or estate.

This is not the primary residence of the Grantor.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the aforesaid lot or parcel of land and all privileges and appurtenances thereto belonging to the Grantee in fee simple.

And the Grantor covenants with the Grantee, that Grantor is seized of the premises in fee simple, has the right to convey the same in fee simple, that title is marketable and free and clear of all encumbrances, and that Grantor will warrant and defend the title against the lawful claims of all persons
in compliance with North carolina statutes governing recordable documents
and the terms of the submitter agreement with the wake County Register of Deeds.
whomsoever except for the exceptions hereinafter stated. Title to the property hereinabove described is subject to the following exceptions:

1. 2017 ad valorem taxes; and
2. Any rights of way and easements of record, if any.

The property hereinabove described was conveyed to Grantor by instrument recorded in Book 1318, Page 333, Wake County Registry.

A map of the above-described property is recorded in Book of Maps 2017, Page 218, Wake County Registry.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, the Grantor has hereunto set her hand and seal the day and year first above written.


STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

## CD eic COUNTY Franki-

I, a Notary Public of the County and State aforesaid, certify that DAISY WATKINS personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged the voluntary execution of the foregoing instrument. Witness my hand and official stamp or seal, this $/ 5$ day of FEBRUARY, 2017.



## LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS

5333 Mitchell Mill Road, Wake Forest, NC 27587 (PIN: 1757750520; REID: 0074789)
BEING all of Lot 1 as shown on that plat titled "Minor Subdivision for Daisy Watkins" by Williams-Pearce \& Assoc., P.A., recorded in Book of Maps 2005, Page 2287, Wake County Registry.

## 3645 Rock Farm Road, Wake Forest, NC 27587 (PIN: 1757761273; REID: 0443802)

BEING all of Tract 1 containing 10.524 gross acres as shown on map entitled "Exempt Subdivision for Randall Watkins, Wake Forest Township, Wake County, North Carolina" by Williams-Pearce and Assoc., Professional Land Surveyors, P.A., dated 12/08/2016 and recorded in Book of Maps 2017, Page 218, Wake County Registry.

0 Mitchell Mill Road, Wake Forest, NC 27587 (PIN: 1757778982; REID: 0443803)
BEGINNING at stake on the North side of the Traboro Road corner of Lot No. 4; thence along the lines of Lot No. 4, N. $22^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ E. 658 feet to a stake; thence N. $47^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ E. 1223 feet to a stake; thence N. 3100 feet to a stake and pointers on the south side of Powell's Creek; thence up with the various courses of said Creek to a stake corner of Lot No. 2; thence South along the line of Lot No. 2, 4600 feet to a stake on the Kelly Branch 50 feet West of J.M. Jones' corner; thence down with the various courses of said branch to a stake corner of J.M. Jones; thence along Jones line S. $23^{\circ} \mathrm{W} .886$ feet to a stake on the North side of the Traboro Road; thence along said road N. $81^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. 600 feet to the BEGINNING, containing 114-3/4 acres and being Lot No. 3 of the division of the lands of the late John M. Watkins, a map of which is recorded in Book of Maps in the Register of Deeds office for Wake County; and being the same property conveyed by deed recorded in Book 752, Page 599, Wake County Registry.

There is excepted from the above described tract of land a one (1) acre tract, more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

All that certain tract or parcel of land located in Wake Forest Township, Wake Forest, North Carolina, and being on the North side of the Tarboro Road, and containing 1 acre, more or less, and more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the center of the Tarboro Road, corner with the land of J.M. Jones; running thence along the center of said Tarboro Road N. $18^{\circ} \mathrm{W} .210$ feet to a point, corner with the land of R.O. Watkins; running thence along the R.O. Watkins' line N. $23^{\circ}$ E. 210 feet to a point, another corner with the lands of R.O. Watkins; running thence along R.O. Watkins' line S. $18^{\circ}$ E. 210 feet to a point in the line of J.M. Jones; running thence with the line of J.M. Jones S. $23^{\circ}$ W. 210 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING, and being a part of Lot No. 3 of the J.M. Watkins' farm, according to a map and survey made by Pittman Stell, Surveyor, dated April, 1925.

## 5326 Mitchell Mill Road, Wake Forest, NC 27587 (PIN: 1757738648; REID: 0046970)

LYING AND BEING in Wake Forest Township, Wake County, North Carolina, adjoining the right of way of Mitchell Mill Road (North Carolina State Road 2224), and being more particularly described as follows:

## TRACT ONE:

BEGINNING at an iron pipe found in the north right-of-way line of Mitchell Mill Road, said point being the common corner of Lois Jones Merriman Heirs' property and the southeast corner of Donnell Watkens (Deed Book 1318, Page 333, Wake County Registry); thence North 18 degrees 12 minutes 00 seconds East 893.03 feet to an iron pipe set; thence North 18 degrees 12 minutes 00 seconds East 50.00 feet to a point in the Kelly Branch the following courses and distances: North 39 degrees 14 minutes 44 seconds East 7.31 feet; South 56 degrees 54 minutes 35 seconds East 48.61 feet; South 70 degrees 54 minutes 35 seconds East 24.61 feet; North 70 degrees 48 minutes 45 seconds East 25.45 feet; South 40 degrees 27 minutes 21 seconds East 28.19 feet; South 89 degrees 23 minutes 35 seconds East 32.88 feet; South 36 degrees 29 minutes 25 seconds East 17.15 feet; North 87 degrees 07 minutes 56 seconds East 15.72 feet; South 51 degrees 42 minutes 06 seconds East 21.14 feet; South 89 degrees 24 minutes 42 seconds East 33.05 feet; South 02 degrees 01 minutes 35 seconds East 17.48 feet; South 81 degrees 55 minutes 17 seconds East 15.31 feet; North 69 degrees 56 minutes 02 seconds East 14.47 feet; South 47 degrees 41 minutes 48 seconds East 9.88 feet; South 10 degrees 48 minutes 42 seconds West 17.64 feet; South 60 degrees 44 minutes 48 seconds East 46.31 feet; South 37 degrees 39 minutes 08 seconds East 49.81 feet; South 12 degrees 22 minutes 12 seconds East 24.12 feet; South 29 degrees 48 minutes 24 seconds East 30.28 feet; South 82 degrees 27 minutes 46 seconds East 31.99 feet; South 59 degrees 14 minutes 13 seconds East 17.52 feet; South 84 degrees 17 minutes 27 seconds East 25.35 feet; South 34 degrees 56 minutes 33 seconds East 75.35 feet; South 76 degrees 29 minutes 04 seconds East 27.94 feet; South 53 degrees 56 minutes 59 seconds East 23.48 feet; North 62 degrees 42 minutes 03 seconds East 27.98 feet; South 12 degrees 06 minutes 52 seconds East 39.01 feet; South 19 degrees 20 minutes 23 seconds East 50.44 feet; South 77 degrees 09 minutes 16 seconds East 19.10 feet; South 07 degrees 23 minutes 00 seconds East 8.05 feet; South 27 degrees 33 minutes 46 seconds West 17.80 feet; South 02 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds East 17.25 feet; South 56 degrees 32 minutes 15 seconds East 19.64 feet; South 12 degrees 52 minutes 10 seconds East 26.30 feet; South 77 degrees 21 minutes 17 seconds East 19.17 feet; South 22 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East 19.39 feet; South 65 degrees 53 minutes 52 seconds East 24.78 feet; South 19 degrees 31 minutes 10 seconds West 13.15 feet; South 71 degrees 14 minutes 44 seconds East 11.65 feet; North 78 degrees 16 minutes 05 seconds East 30.35 feet; North 66 degrees 40 minutes 56 seconds East 29.69 feet; North 52 degrees 43 minutes 45 seconds East 52.03 feet; South 84 degrees 05 minutes 40 seconds East 20.63 feet; North 75 degrees 05 minutes 35 seconds East 16.99 feet; South 68 degrees 27 minutes 23 seconds East 16.87 feet; North 81 degrees 44 minutes 06 seconds East 14.34 feet; South 74 degrees 50 minutes 19 seconds East 19.97 feet; North 49 degrees 38 minutes 31 seconds East 44.60 feet; South 62 degrees 45 minutes 51 seconds East 22.37 feet; North 57 degrees 04 minutes 06 seconds East 21.98 feet; South 85 degrees 24 minutes 11 seconds East 37.57 feet; North 62 degrees 13 minutes 03 seconds East 23.16 feet; South 54 degrees 59 minutes 08 seconds East 19.65 feet; South 15 degrees 17 minutes 54 seconds East 38.18 feet; South 05 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 33.15 feet; South 15 degrees 59 minutes 03 seconds West 8.22 feet; South 53 degrees 28 minutes 36 seconds West 20.78 feet; South 27 degrees 04 minutes 40 seconds East 79.74 feet; North 82 degrees 47 minutes 20 seconds East 21.90 feet; South 66 degrees 58 minutes 30 seconds East 28.16 feet; South 81 degrees 40 minutes 19 seconds East 27.96 feet; South 51 degrees 33 minutes 15 seconds East 16.75 feet; South 06 degrees 37 minutes 45 seconds West 10.90 feet; South 19 degrees 04 minutes 40 seconds West 14.50 feet; South 48 degrees 42 minutes 21 seconds East 17.71 feet; South 61 degrees 22 minutes 03 seconds East 39.43 feet; South 36 degrees 38 minutes 48 seconds East 39.44 feet; South 00 degrees 27 minutes 33 seconds East 19.71 feet; South 00 degrees 27 minutes 33 seconds East 10.00 feet; South 00 degrees 27 minutes 33 seconds East 10.00 feet; then South 00 degrees 27 minutes 33 seconds East 552.55 feet to a spike set in the centerline of Mitchell Mill Road; thence along the centerline of Mitchell Mill Road the following courses and distances: North 71 degrees 32 minutes 39 seconds West 24.07 feet; North 74 degrees 13 minutes 18 seconds West 51.42 feet; North 75 degrees 48 minutes 52 seconds West 259.87 feet; North 75 degrees 14 minutes 33 seconds West 152.68 feet; North 74 degrees 15 minutes 20 seconds West 51.96 feet; North 74 degrees 59 minutes 06 seconds West 50.07 feet; North 74 degrees 05 minutes 27 seconds West 50.77 feet; North 73 degrees 34 minutes 20 seconds West 99.95 feet; North 72 degrees 45 minutes 42 seconds West 52.88 feet; North 73 degrees 37 minutes 20 seconds West 98.57 feet; North 74 degrees 31 minutes 11 seconds West 100.00 feet; North 75 degrees 15 minutes 51 seconds West 96.96 feet; North 75 degrees 39 minutes 32 seconds West 154.21 feet; North 76 degrees 20 minutes 42 seconds West 100.91 feet; North 77 degrees 07 minutes 54 seconds West 104.34 feet; North 77 degrees 21 minutes 31 seconds West 70.97 feet to a spike set in the centerline of Mitchell Mill Road; thence North 18 degrees 12 minutes 00 seconds East 30.14 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING and being Tract 1 containing a total of 25.125 acres ( 1.043 acres in the right-of-way of Mitchell Road and 24.082 outside of the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road), as shown on a survey entitled "Boundary Survey of Hampton and Cole Property", prepared by Kenneth Close, Inc., dated July 10, 2003.


# CERTIFICATE OF SUFFICIENCY 

## ANX24-01 - Hills at Harris Creek

To the Board of Commissioners of the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina:

I, Robin E. Peyton. Town Clerk, do hereby certify that I have investigated the attached petition and hereby make the following findings:
a. The petition contains an adequate property description of the areas) proposed for annexation.
b. The area described in the petition is contiguous to the Town of Rolesville primary corporate limits as required by G.S. 160A-31.
c. The petition is signed by all owners of real property lying in the area described therein.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Town of Rolesville, this 9th day of April 2024


Robin C, Peyton
Robin E. P Cyton
Town Clerk


Metes and Bounds City of Rolesville
All those tracts of land located in the City of Rolesville, Wake County, North Carolina being owned by Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1), Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2), Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract 3), and Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4) being more particularly described as follows:

Tract 1:
Beginning at an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the intersection of the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road and Rock Farm Road a 60 Foot Private Road and having North Carolina State Plane Coordinates of N: 775,443.03 E: 2,156,848.70 NAD_83 (2011):

Thence along said Rock Farm Road and the adjoining property lines of the Preddy, Genadius Mac Preddy, Mattie F property, Watkins, Alan Dwain property, and the Newell, Ronald W Newell, Marie J property the following four courses:

1. N $19^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ 190.11 Feet to an Existing 1 1/2Inch Iron Pipe:
2. N $18^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 227.11$ Feet to an Existing Iron Pipe:
3. N $19^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ E 230.79 Feet to an Existing 1 1/2Inch Iron Pipe:
4. N $83^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 35$ " W 376.14 Feet to an Existing 1 1/2Inch Iron Pipe along the property line of Villanueva, Philip Shelley Villanueva, Nadia Sulta property:

Thence along said property line N $43^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ E 404.87 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the Northeastern property corner of the aforementioned property and the Southwestern property corner of the Gro Peg Properties LLC property:

Thence along said property line N $43^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ E 438.17 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the Northeastern property corner of the aforementioned property, the Southern property corner of the Ferlito, Christopher J Morris, Sarah L Lot 1, and the Southwestern property corner of the Ferlito, Christopher J Morris, Sarah L Lot 2 property:

Thence along said property line N $43^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ E 347.97 Feet to an Existing Iron Pipe along the property line of the Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4) property:

Thence along said property line $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 14$ " E 718.36 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of the Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC:

Thence along said property line the following nine courses:

1. S $87^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 5.86$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. N $36^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W 16.94 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. N $89^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 35$ " W 32.88 Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. N $40^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 28.19$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. S $70^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ W 25.45 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. N $70^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 35$ " W 24.61 Feet to a Calculated Point:
7. N $56^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 35$ " W 48.61 Feet to a Calculated Point:
8. S $39^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 7.31$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
9. S $18^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 752.73$ Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe found at the Northeastern corner of the Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2) property:

Thence along said property line the following three courses:

1. N $75^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ W 157.91 Feet to an Existing $11 / 2$ Inch Iron Pipe:
2. S $19^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ W 17.00 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $19^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 09$ " W 182.35 Feet to an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe along the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road:

Thence along said right-of-way N $78^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ W 60.00 Feet to the point of beginning containing 456,273 square feet or 10.47 acres, more or less, being portion of the Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1) property as described in Deed Book 16701, Page 363 and Book of Maps 2017, Page 218 of the Wake County Register of Deeds.

## Tract 2:

Commencing at an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the intersection of the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road and Rock Farm Road a 60 Foot Private Road and having North Carolina State Plane Coordinates of N: 775,443.03 E: 2,156,848.70 NAD_83 (2011):

Thence along said right-of-way S 78³3'23" E 60.00 Feet to the point of beginning at the Southeastern corner of the Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1) property and the aforementioned right-of-way:

Thence leaving said right-of-way and along said property line the following three courses:

1. N $19^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 09$ " E 182.35 Feet to a calculated point:
2. N $19^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.00 Feet to an Existing $11 / 2$ Inch Iron Pipe:
3. S $75^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 157.91 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe along the property line of the Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract 3) property:

Thence along said property line S $18^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ W 190.74 Feet to a Calculated Point along the right-ofway of Mitchell Mill Road:

Thence along said right-of-way the following two courses:

1. N $77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13$ " $W 76.88$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. A curve to the left said curve having a radius of $1,254.28$ Feet, a length of 86.92 Feet, and a bearing and distance of $\mathrm{N} 79^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 86.90$ Feet to the point of beginning containing 31,014 square feet or 0.71 acres, more or less, being a portion of the Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2) property as described in Book of Maps 2005, Page 2287 of the Wake County Register of Deeds

Tract 3:

Commencing at an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the intersection of the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road and Rock Farm Road a 60 Foot Private Road and having North Carolina State Plane Coordinates of N:775,443.03 E: 2,156,848.84 NAD_83 (2011):

Thence along said right of way the following three courses:

1. $\mathrm{S} 78^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 60.00$ Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe:
2. A curve to the right said curve having a radius of $1,254.28$ Feet, a length of 86.92 Feet, and a bearing and distance of $\mathrm{S} 79^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 86.90$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. $S 77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ E 76.88 Feet to the point of beginning at along the aforementioned right-of-way and the Southeastern corner of the Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2) property:

Thence along said property line N $18^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ E 190.74 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe along the property line of the Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1):

Thence along said property line the following nine courses

1. N $18^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 752.73$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. N $39^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ E 7.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $56^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ E 48.61 Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. S $70^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ E 24.61 Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. N $70^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ E 25.45 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. S $40^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ E 28.19 Feet to a Calculated Point:
7. S $89^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ E 32.88 Feet to a Calculated Point:
8. S $36^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ E 16.94 Feet to a Calculated Point:
9. N $87^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ E 5.86 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4):

Thence along said property line the following fifty-nine courses:

1. N $87^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 9.59$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. S $51^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ E 21.14 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $89^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ E 33.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. S $02^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.48 Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. S $81^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 58$ " E 15.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. N $69^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ E 14.47 Feet to a Calculated Point:
7. S $47^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ E 9.88 Feet to a Calculated Point:
8. S $10^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 01$ " W 17.64 Feet to a Calculated Point:
9. S $60^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ E 46.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
10. S $37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ E 49.81 Feet to a Calculated Point:
11. S $12^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ E 24.12 Feet to a Calculated Point:
12. S $29^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ E 30.28 Feet to a Calculated Point:
13. S $82^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ E 31.99 Feet to a Calculated Point:
14. S $59^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 54$ " E 17.52 Feet to a Calculated Point:
15. S $84^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ E 25.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
16. S $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$ E 75.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
17. S $76^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ E 27.94 Feet to a Calculated Point:
18. S $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ E 23.48 Feet to a Calculated Point:
19. N 62 ${ }^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ E 27.98 Feet to a Calculated Point:
20. S 12¹0’33" E 39.01 Feet to a Calculated Point:
21. S $19^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ E 50.44 Feet to a Calculated Point:
22. S $77^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.10 Feet to a Calculated Point:
23. S $07^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ E 8.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
24. S $27^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ W 17.80 Feet to a Calculated Point:
25. S $02^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.25 Feet to a Calculated Point:
26. S $56^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.64 Feet to a Calculated Point:
27. S $12^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ E 26.30 Feet to a Calculated Point:
28. S $77^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.17 Feet to a Calculated Point:
29. S $22^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.39 Feet to a Calculated Point:
30. S $65^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ E 24.78 Feet to a Calculated Point:
31. S $19^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ W 13.15 Feet to a Calculated Point:
32. S $71^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ E 11.65 Feet to a Calculated Point:
33. N $78^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ E 30.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
34. N 66³7'15" E 29.69 Feet to a Calculated Point:
35. N $52^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 04 "$ E 52.03 Feet to a Calculated Point:
36. S $84^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ E 20.63 Feet to a Calculated Point:
37. N $75^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 54$ " E 16.99 Feet to a Calculated Point:
38. S $68^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ E 16.87 Feet to a Calculated Point:
39. N $81^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ E 14.34 Feet to a Calculated Point:
40. S $74^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.97 Feet to a Calculated Point:
41. N $49^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 50$ " E 44.60 Feet to a Calculated Point:
42. S $62^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ E 22.37 Feet to a Calculated Point:
43. N $57^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ E 21.98 Feet to a Calculated Point:
44. S $85^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ E 37.57 Feet to a Calculated Point:
45. N 6200 ${ }^{\circ}$ '22" E 23.16 Feet to a Calculated Point:
46. S $55^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.65 Feet to a Calculated Point:
47. S $15^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ E 38.18 Feet to a Calculated Point:
48. S $05^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ E 33.15 Feet to a Calculated Point:
49. S $15^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W 8.22 Feet to a Calculated Point:
50. S 53 ${ }^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ W 20.78 Feet to a Calculated Point:
51. S $27^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ E 79.74 Feet to a Calculated Point:
52. N $82^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ E 21.90 Feet to a Calculated Point:
53. S $67^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ E 28.16 Feet to a Calculated Point:
54. S $81^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E 27.96 Feet to a Calculated Point:
55. S $51^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 16.75 Feet to a Calculated Point:
56. S $06^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 04$ " W 10.90 Feet to a Calculated Point:
57. S $19^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ W 14.50 Feet to a Calculated Point:
58. S $48^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.71 Feet to a Calculated Point:
59. S $61^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ E 33.69 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of the Jones Properties LLC property:

Thence along said property line S $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 05$ " E 71.51 Feet to a Calculated Point at the Northwestern corner of the Jones, Charles Spencer Jones, Sharon property:

Thence along said property line $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 530.78 Feet to a Calculated Point along the right-of-way of Mitchel Mill Road:

Thence along said right-of-way the following six courses:

1. N $71^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ W 6.89 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. A curve to the left having a radius of 730.00 Feet, a length of 54.41 Feet, and a bearing and distance of $\mathrm{N} 73^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ W 54.39 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. N $75^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 213.47$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. A curve to the right having a radius of $10,970.00$ Feet, a length of 454.08 Feet, and a bearing and distance of $\mathrm{N} 74^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ W 454.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. A curve to the left having a radius of $11,030.00$ Feet, a length of 753.92 Feet, and a bearing and distance of $\mathrm{N} 75^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ W 753.77 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. N $77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13$ " W 26.66 Feet to the point of beginning containing $1,049,657$ square feet or 24.09 acres, more or less, being a portion of the Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract 3) as described in Deed Book 18921, Page 370 of the Wake County Register of Deeds.

Tract 4:
Commencing at an Existing Capped 2 Inch Iron Pipe at the Northeastern property corner of the Jones, Charles E property and having North Carolina State Plane Coordinates of N:780,278.25 E:2,157,644.83 NAD_83 (2011):

Thence along said property line $\mathrm{S} 01^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 281.33$ Feet to the point of beginning at the Southwestern property corner of the Forrester, Jennifer N Forrester, Hayes G property:

Thence along said property line N $65^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 07$ " E 185.98 Feet to a Calculated Point at the Southwestern property corner of the Davis, William C Davis, Karen M property:

Thence along said property line the following three courses:

1. N $65^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 164.12$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. S $40^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 133.25 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $80^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 11$ " E 62.70 Feet to a Calculated Point at the Northwestern property corner of the Jones Properties LLC property:

Thence along said property line and the adjoining properties of RGA Consulting LLC, Jones, Charles Spencer property, Adams, Benjamin Adams, Whitney property, Lefrancois, Stephen D property, Beattie, Lenora M property, Lefrancois, Michael L Lefrancois, Tonia property, Lefrancois Construction CO INC, Gold, Sharie property, Hernadez, Juanita property, Anderson, Bobby G property S $04^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 37$ " E passing at 170.12 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 444.52 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 451.98 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 179.93 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 547.15 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 403.94 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 238.67 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 455.88 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 231.03 Feet an Existing Capped

Iron Pipe, 233.37 Feet an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe, 476.55 Feet an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe, 174.41 Feet an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe, 107.69 Feet an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe, 310.98 Feet to an Existing 1 " Iron pipe along the property of the Jones Properties LLC property for a total of 4,426.22 Feet:

Thence along said property line S $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ E 12.39 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract 3) property:

Thence along said property line the following fifty-nine courses:

1. N $61^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ W 33.69 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. N $48^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 02$ " W 17.71 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. N $19^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ E 14.50 Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. N $06^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 10.90$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. N $51^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ W 16.75 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. N $81^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00$ " W 27.96 Feet to a Calculated Point:
7. N $67^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 11$ " W 28.16 Feet to a Calculated Point:
8. S $82^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ W 21.90 Feet to a Calculated Point:
9. $N 27^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 79.74$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
10. N $53^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ E 20.78 Feet to a Calculated Point:
11. N15 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ E 8.22 Feet to a Calculated Point:
12. N $05^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17$ " W 33.15 Feet to a Calculated Point:
13. N $15^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 35$ " W 38.18 Feet to a Calculated Point:
14. N $55^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 49$ " W 19.65 Feet to a Calculated Point:
15. S $62^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W 23.16 Feet to a Calculated Point:
16. N $85^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ W 37.57 Feet to a Calculated Point:
17. S $57^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W 21.98 Feet to a Calculated Point:
18. N $62^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ W 22.37 Feet to a Calculated Point:
19. S $49^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ W 44.60 Feet to a Calculated Point:
20. N $74^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00$ " W 19.97 Feet to a Calculated Point:
21. S $81^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W 14.34 Feet to a Calculated Point:
22. N $68^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 04$ " $W 16.87$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
23. S $75^{\circ} 01$ ' 54 " W 16.99 Feet to a Calculated Point:
24. N $84^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 21$ " W 20.63 Feet to a Calculated Point:
25. S $52^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ W 52.03 Feet to a Calculated Point:
26. S $66^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ W 29.69 Feet to a Calculated Point:
27. S $78^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ W 30.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
28. N $71^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W 11.65 Feet to a Calculated Point:
29. N $19^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ E 13.15 Feet to a Calculated Point:
30. N $65^{\circ} 57$ ' 33 " W 24.78 Feet to a Calculated Point:
31. N $22^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.39 Feet to a Calculated Point:
32. N $77^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.17 Feet to a Calculated Point:
33. N $12^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 51$ " W 26.30 Feet to a Calculated Point:
34. N $56^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 56$ " W 19.64 Feet to a Calculated Point:
35. N 02 56 '26" W 17.25 Feet to a Calculated Point:
36. N $27^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.80 Feet to a Calculated Point:
37. N $07^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ W 8.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
38. N $77^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.10 Feet to a Calculated Point:
39. N $19^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 04$ " W 50.44 Feet to a Calculated Point:
40. N $12^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ W 39.01 Feet to a Calculated Point:
41. S 62³8'22" W 27.98 Feet to a Calculated Point:
42. N $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ W 23.48 Feet to a Calculated Point:
43. N $76^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ W 27.94 Feet to a Calculated Point:
44. N $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 14$ " W 75.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
45. N $84^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ W 25.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
46. N 59 $17 ’ 54 "$ W 17.52 Feet to a Calculated Point:
47. N $82^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ W 31.99 Feet to a Calculated Point:
48. N $29^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ W 30.28 Feet to a Calculated Point:
49. N $12^{\circ} \mathbf{2 5}^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ W 24.12 Feet to a Calculated Point:
50. N $37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 49 "$ W 49.81 Feet to a Calculated Point:
51. N $60^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ W 46.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
52. N $10^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.64 Feet to a Calculated Point:
53. N $47^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 29 "$ W 9.88 Feet to a Calculated Point:
54. S $69^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ W 14.47 Feet to a Calculated Point:
55. N $81^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ W 15.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
56. N $02^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 16 "$ W 17.48 Feet to a Calculated Point:
57. N $89^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ W 33.05Feet to a Calculated Point:
58. N $51^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 47$ " W 21.14 Feet to a Calculated Point:
59. S $87^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ W 9.59 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1) property:

Thence along said property line N $00^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 14$ " W 718.36 to an Existing Iron Pipe along the property line of Ferlito, Christopher J Morris, Sarah L property:

Thence along said property line and the adjoining property lines of GRO PEG Properties LLC, and the Carle, Scott Carle, Theresa properties N $03^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ passing at 943.22 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 1,229.07 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 174.63 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 60 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 321.51 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 235.15 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of Jones, Charles E property for a total of 2,963.58 Feet:

Thence along said property line the following two courses

1. S $88^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ E 0.54 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. $N 75^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 340.47$ Feet to the point of beginning containing $3,492,568$ square feet or 80.17 acres, more or less, being a portion of the Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4) as described in Deed book 1318 Page 333 of the Wake County_ Register of Deeds.
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## Proposed Rezoning

## Current Status

- Rural residential land
- Acreage: 115.94 acres
- Current Zoning: Wake County R-30 (allows approximately 75 lots)


## Proposed Changes

- Zoning Change to Residential Medium Density Conditional Zoning (Cluster allows up to 5 units/acre)
- Proposed construction of 225 single family homes with minimum 8000 sf lots(Under 2 units/acre)


## Site Plan



## Updates Since Prior Case

- Reduced units from 267 total homes to 225 homes
- Removed townhomes and commercial parcel
- Maintains all prior traffic improvements to minimize impacts and improve traffic flows
- Greenway trail expanded and integrated into amenity center
- Reduced density and increased minimum lot size


## Comprehensive Plan 2017

## ELLIS



## Greenway Plan



Greenway Trails


## ELLIS

## Recreational Amenities Plan



## ELLIS

## Amenities

Community Pool and Cabana


Amenities

Dog Park


Amenities

Pollinator/Wildflower Garden


Amenities

Landscaped Boulevard Streetscapes


## Benefits of Proposed Rezoning

- Preserves and maximizes open space (40\%)
- Multiple parks; pollinator garden
- Variety of housing types and price points
- Improved connectivity and traffic flow
- Increased tax base for town


## Site Plan



# AN ORDINANCE TO EXTEND THE CORPORATE LIMITS <br> OF THE TOWN OF ROLESVILLE UNDER THE AUTHORITY GRANTED BY PART 1, ARTICLE 4A CHAPTER 160A OF THE GENERAL STATUTES OF NORTH CAROLINA 

ORDINANCE 2024-O-06
CASE ANX-24-01

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Board of Commissioners for the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina has adopted a resolution under G.S. 160A-31 stating its intent to annex the area described below; and

WHEREAS, the petition has been certified by the Town Clerk as to its sufficiency of meeting G.S. 160A-31; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing on the question of this annexation was held in the Town Board Room at Rolesville Town Hall located at 502 Southtown Circle, Rolesville, NC 27571 at 7:00 pm or thereafter on $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2024, after due notice; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Board of Commissioners finds that the proposed annexation meets the requirements of G.S. 160A-31;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Mayor and Board of Commissioners of the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina that:

Section 1. By the authority granted by G.S. 160A-31, the following described contiguous properties owned by Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1), Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2), Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract 3), and Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4) is hereby annexed and made part of the Town of Rolesville effective as of the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2024:

All that certain real property situated in the Town of Rolesville, Wake Forest Township, Wake County, North Carolina, described as follows:

## Tract 1:

Beginning at an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the intersection of the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road and Rock Farm Road a 60 Foot Private Road and having North Carolina State Plane Coordinates of N: 775,443.03 E: 2,156,848.70 NAD_83 (2011):

Thence along said Rock Farm Road and the adjoining property lines of the Preddy, Genadius Mac Preddy, Mattie F property, Watkins, Alan Dwain property, and the Newell, Ronald W Newell, Marie J property the following four courses:

1. N $19^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ E 190.11 Feet to an Existing 1 1/2Inch Iron Pipe:
2. N $18^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ E 227.11 Feet to an Existing Iron Pipe:
3. N $19^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ E 230.79 Feet to an Existing 1 1/2Inch Iron Pipe:
4. N $83^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ W 376.14 Feet to an Existing 1 1/2Inch Iron Pipe along the property line of Villanueva, Philip Shelley Villanueva, Nadia Sulta property:

Thence along said property line N $43^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ E 404.87 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the Northeastern property corner of the aforementioned property and the Southwestern property corner of the Gro Peg Properties LLC property:

Thence along said property line N $43^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ E 438.17 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the Northeastern property corner of the aforementioned property, the Southern property corner of the Ferlito, Christopher J Morris, Sarah L Lot 1, and the Southwestern property corner of the Ferlito, Christopher J Morris, Sarah L Lot 2 property:

Thence along said property line N $43^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ E 347.97 Feet to an Existing Iron Pipe along the property line of the Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4) property:

Thence along said property line $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 718.36$ Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of the Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC:

Thence along said property line the following nine courses:

1. S $87^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ W 5.86 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. N $36^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W 16.94 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. N $89^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 32.88$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. N $40^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ W 28.19 Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. S $70^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ W 25.45 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. N $70^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ W 24.61 Feet to a Calculated Point:
7. N $56^{\circ} 54$ '35" W 48.61 Feet to a Calculated Point:
8. S $39^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ W 7.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
9. S $18^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ W 752.73 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe found at the Northeastern corner of the Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2) property:

Thence along said property line the following three courses:

1. N $75^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ W 157.91 Feet to an Existing $11 / 2$ Inch Iron Pipe:
2. S $19^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ W 17.00 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $19^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ W 182.35 Feet to an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe along the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road:

Thence along said right-of-way $\mathrm{N} 78^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 60.00$ Feet to the point of beginning containing 456,273 square feet or 10.47 acres, more or less, being portion of the Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1) property as described in Deed Book 16701, Page 363 and Book of Maps 2017, Page 218 of the Wake County Register of Deeds.

## Tract 2:

Commencing at an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the intersection of the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road and Rock Farm Road a 60 Foot Private Road and having North Carolina State Plane Coordinates of N: 775,443.03 E: 2,156,848.70 NAD_83 (2011):

Thence along said right-of-way S $78^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 60.00$ Feet to the point of beginning at the Southeastern corner of the Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1) property and the aforementioned right-of-way:

Thence leaving said right-of-way and along said property line the following three courses:

1. N $19^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 182.35$ Feet to a calculated point:
2. N $19^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.00 Feet to an Existing $11 / 2$ Inch Iron Pipe:
3. S $75^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 157.91 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe along the property line of the Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract 3) property:

Thence along said property line S $18^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ W 190.74 Feet to a Calculated Point along the right-ofway of Mitchell Mill Road:

Thence along said right-of-way the following two courses:

1. N $77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ W 76.88 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. A curve to the left said curve having a radius of $1,254.28$ Feet, a length of 86.92 Feet, and a bearing and distance of $\mathrm{N} 79^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 86.90$ Feet to the point of beginning containing 31,014 square feet or 0.71 acres, more or less, being a portion of the Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2) property as described in Book of Maps 2005, Page 2287 of the Wake County Register of Deeds

## Tract 3:

Commencing at an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe at the intersection of the right-of-way of Mitchell Mill Road and Rock Farm Road a 60 Foot Private Road and having North Carolina State Plane Coordinates of N:775,443.03 E: 2,156,848.84 NAD_83 (2011):

Thence along said right of way the following three courses:

1. S $78^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ E 60.00 Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe:
2. A curve to the right said curve having a radius of $1,254.28$ Feet, a length of 86.92 Feet, and a bearing and distance of $\mathrm{S} 79^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ E 86.90 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ E 76.88 Feet to the point of beginning at along the aforementioned right-of-way and the Southeastern corner of the Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2) property:

Thence along said property line N $18^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 190.74$ Feet to an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe along the property line of the Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1):

Thence along said property line the following nine courses

1. N $18^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ E 752.73 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. N $39^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ E 7.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $56^{\circ} 54$ '35" E 48.61 Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. S $70^{\circ} 54{ }^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ E 24.61 Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. N $70^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ E 25.45 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. S $40^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ E 28.19 Feet to a Calculated Point:
7. S $89^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ E E 32.88 Feet to a Calculated Point:
8. S $36^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$ '25"' E 16.94 Feet to a Calculated Point:
9. N $87^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 5.86$ Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4):

Thence along said property line the following fifty-nine courses:

1. N $87^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 9.59$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. S $51^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ E 21.14 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $89^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ E 33.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. S $02^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.48 Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. S $81^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ E 15.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. N $69^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ E 14.47 Feet to a Calculated Point:
7. S $47^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ E 9.88 Feet to a Calculated Point:
8. S $10^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ W 17.64 Feet to a Calculated Point:
9. S $60^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ E 46.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
10. S $37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ E 49.81 Feet to a Calculated Point:
11. S $12^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ E 24.12 Feet to a Calculated Point:
12. S $29^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ E 30.28 Feet to a Calculated Point:
13. S $82^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ E 31.99 Feet to a Calculated Point:
14. S $59^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.52 Feet to a Calculated Point:
15. S $84^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ E 25.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
16. S $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$ E 75.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
17. S $76^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ E 27.94 Feet to a Calculated Point:
18. S $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ E 23.48 Feet to a Calculated Point:
19. N $62^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ E 27.98 Feet to a Calculated Point:
20. S $12^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ E 39.01 Feet to a Calculated Point:
21. S $19^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ E 50.44 Feet to a Calculated Point:
22. S $77^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.10 Feet to a Calculated Point:
23. S $07^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ E 8.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
24. S $27^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ W 17.80 Feet to a Calculated Point:
25. S $02^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.25 Feet to a Calculated Point:
26. S $56^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.64 Feet to a Calculated Point:
27. S $12^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ E 26.30 Feet to a Calculated Point:
28. S $77^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.17 Feet to a Calculated Point:
29. S $22^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.39 Feet to a Calculated Point:
30. S $65^{\circ} 57$ '33" E 24.78 Feet to a Calculated Point:
31. S $19^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ W 13.15 Feet to a Calculated Point:
32. S $71^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ E 11.65 Feet to a Calculated Point:
33. N $78^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ E 30.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
34. N $66^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ E 29.69 Feet to a Calculated Point:
35. N $52^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ E 52.03 Feet to a Calculated Point:
36. S $84^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 21$ " E 20.63 Feet to a Calculated Point:
37. N $75^{\circ} 01$ ' 54 " E 16.99 Feet to a Calculated Point:
38. S $68^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ E 16.87 Feet to a Calculated Point:
39. N $81^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ E 14.34 Feet to a Calculated Point:
40. S $74^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E 19.97 Feet to a Calculated Point:
41. N $49^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ E 44.60 Feet to a Calculated Point:
42. S $62^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ E 22.37 Feet to a Calculated Point:
43. N $57^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ E 21.98 Feet to a Calculated Point:
44. S $85^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ E 37.57 Feet to a Calculated Point:
45. N $62^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ E 23.16 Feet to a Calculated Point:
46. S $55^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 49$ " E 19.65 Feet to a Calculated Point:
47. S $15^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ E 38.18 Feet to a Calculated Point:
48. S $05^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ E 33.15 Feet to a Calculated Point:
49. S $15^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W 8.22 Feet to a Calculated Point:
50. S $53^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ W 20.78 Feet to a Calculated Point:
51. S $27^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ E 79.74 Feet to a Calculated Point:
52. N $82^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ E 21.90 Feet to a Calculated Point:
53. S $67^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ E 28.16 Feet to a Calculated Point:
54. S $81^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E 27.96 Feet to a Calculated Point:
55. S $51^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 16.75 Feet to a Calculated Point:
56. S $06^{\circ} 34$ '04" W 10.90 Feet to a Calculated Point:
57. S $19^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ W 14.50 Feet to a Calculated Point:
58. S $48^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.71 Feet to a Calculated Point:
59. S $61^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ E 33.69 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of the Jones Properties LLC property:
Thence along said property line S $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 71.51$ Feet to a Calculated Point at the Northwestern corner of the Jones, Charles Spencer Jones, Sharon property:

Thence along said property line $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 530.78 Feet to a Calculated Point along the rightofway of Mitchel Mill Road:

Thence along said right-of-way the following six courses:

1. N $71^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ W 6.89 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. A curve to the left having a radius of 730.00 Feet, a length of 54.41 Feet, and a bearing and distance of N $73^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ W 54.39 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. N $75^{\circ} 50$ ' $32^{\prime \prime}$ W 213.47 Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. A curve to the right having a radius of $10,970.00$ Feet, a length of 454.08 Feet, and a bearing and distance of N $74^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ W 454.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. A curve to the left having a radius of $11,030.00$ Feet, a length of 753.92 Feet, and a bearing and distance of N $75^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ W 753.77 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. N $77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 26.66$ Feet to the point of beginning containing $1,049,657$ square feet or 24.09 acres, more or less, being a portion of the Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract
3) as described in Deed Book 18921, Page 370 of the Wake County Register of Deeds.

## Tract 4:

Commencing at an Existing Capped 2 Inch Iron Pipe at the Northeastern property corner of the Jones, Charles E property and having North Carolina State Plane Coordinates of N:780,278.25 E:2,157,644.83 NAD_83 (2011):

Thence along said property line $\mathrm{S} 01^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 281.33$ Feet to the point of beginning at the Southwestern property corner of the Forrester, Jennifer N Forrester, Hayes G property:

Thence along said property line N $65^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ E 185.98 Feet to a Calculated Point at the Southwestern property corner of the Davis, William C Davis, Karen M property:

Thence along said property line the following three courses:

1. N $65^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ E 164.12 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. S $40^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ E 133.25 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. S $80^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ E 62.70 Feet to a Calculated Point at the Northwestern property corner of the Jones Properties LLC property:

Thence along said property line and the adjoining properties of RGA Consulting LLC, Jones, Charles Spencer property, Adams, Benjamin Adams, Whitney property, Lefrancois, Stephen D property, Beattie, Lenora M property, Lefrancois, Michael L Lefrancois, Tonia property, Lefrancois Construction CO INC, Gold, Sharie property, Hernadez, Juanita property, Anderson, Bobby G property S $04^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ E passing at 170.12 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 444.52 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 451.98 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 179.93 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 547.15 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 403.94 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 238.67 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 455.88 Feet an Existing 1 Inch Iron Pipe, 231.03 Feet an Existing Capped Iron Pipe, 233.37 Feet an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe, 476.55 Feet an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe, 174.41 Feet an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe, 107.69 Feet an Existing 1 1/2 Inch Iron Pipe, 310.98 Feet to an Existing 1" Iron pipe along the property of the Jones Properties LLC property for a total of 4,426.22 Feet:

Thence along said property line $\mathrm{S} 24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ E 12.39 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract 3) property:
Thence along said property line the following fifty-nine courses:

1. N $61^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ W 33.69 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. N $48^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ W 17.71 Feet to a Calculated Point: 3. N $19^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ E 14.50 Feet to a Calculated Point:
3. N $06^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ E 10.90 Feet to a Calculated Point:
4. N $51^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ W 16.75 Feet to a Calculated Point:
5. N $81^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W 27.96 Feet to a Calculated Point:
6. N $67^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 28.16$ Feet to a Calculated Point:
7. S $82^{\circ} 43$ ' $39^{\prime \prime}$ W 21.90 Feet to a Calculated Point:
8. N $27^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ W 79.74 Feet to a Calculated Point:
9. N $53^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ E 20.78 Feet to a Calculated Point:
10. N15 $5^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ E 8.22 Feet to a Calculated Point:
11. N $05^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ W 33.15 Feet to a Calculated Point:
12. N $15^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ W 38.18 Feet to a Calculated Point:
13. N $55^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.65 Feet to a Calculated Point:
14. S $62^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W 23.16 Feet to a Calculated Point:
15. N $85^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ W 37.57 Feet to a Calculated Point:
16. S $57^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W 21.98 Feet to a Calculated Point:
17. N $62^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ W 22.37 Feet to a Calculated Point:
18. S $49^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ W 44.60 Feet to a Calculated Point:
19. N $74^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.97 Feet to a Calculated Point:
20. S $81^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W 14.34 Feet to a Calculated Point:
21. N $68^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 04{ }^{\prime \prime}$ W 16.87 Feet to a Calculated Point:
22. S $75^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ W 16.99 Feet to a Calculated Point:
23. N $84^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ W 20.63 Feet to a Calculated Point:
24. S $52^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ W 52.03 Feet to a Calculated Point:
25. S $66^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ W 29.69 Feet to a Calculated Point:
26. S $78^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ W 30.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
27. N $71^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W 11.65 Feet to a Calculated Point:
28. N $19^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ E 13.15 Feet to a Calculated Point:
29. N $65^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ W 24.78 Feet to a Calculated Point:
30. N $22^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.39 Feet to a Calculated Point:
31. N $77^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.17 Feet to a Calculated Point:
32. N $12^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ W 26.30 Feet to a Calculated Point:
33. N $56^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.64 Feet to a Calculated Point:
34. N $02^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ W 17.25 Feet to a Calculated Point:
35. N $27^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.80 Feet to a Calculated Point:
36. N $07^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ W 8.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
37. N $77^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ W 19.10 Feet to a Calculated Point:
38. N $19^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ W 50.44 Feet to a Calculated Point:
39. N $12^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ W 39.01 Feet to a Calculated Point:
40. S $62^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W 27.98 Feet to a Calculated Point:
41. N $54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ W 23.48 Feet to a Calculated Point:
42. N $76^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ W 27.94 Feet to a Calculated Point:
43. N $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$ W 75.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
44. N $84^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ W 25.35 Feet to a Calculated Point:
45. N $59^{\circ} 17$ ' 54 " W 17.52 Feet to a Calculated Point:
46. N $82^{\circ} 31$ '27" W 31.99 Feet to a Calculated Point:
47. N $29^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ W 30.28 Feet to a Calculated Point:
48. N $12^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ W 24.12 Feet to a Calculated Point:
49. N $37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ W 49.81 Feet to a Calculated Point:
50. N $60^{\circ} 48^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$ 'W 46.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
51. N $10^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ E 17.64 Feet to a Calculated Point:
52. N $47^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ W 9.88 Feet to a Calculated Point:
53. S $69^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ W 14.47 Feet to a Calculated Point:
54. N $81^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ W 15.31 Feet to a Calculated Point:
55. N $02^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ W 17.48 Feet to a Calculated Point:
56. N $89^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ W 33.05 Feet to a Calculated Point:
57. N $51^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ W 21.14 Feet to a Calculated Point:
58. S $87^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ W 9.59 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1) property:

Thence along said property line $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 718.36$ to an Existing Iron Pipe along the property line of Ferlito, Christopher J Morris, Sarah L property:

Thence along said property line and the adjoining property lines of GRO PEG Properties LLC, and the Carle, Scott Carle, Theresa properties N $03^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ W passing at 943.22 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 1,229.07 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 174.63 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 60 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 321.51 Feet an Existing Iron Pipe, 235.15 Feet to a Calculated Point along the property line of Jones, Charles E property for a total of 2,963.58 Feet:

Thence along said property line the following two courses 1. S $88^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ E 0.54 Feet to a Calculated Point:
2. N $75^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ E 340.47 Feet to the point of beginning containing 3,492,568 square feet or 80.17 acres, more or less, being a portion of the Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4) as described in Deed book 1318 Page 333 of the Wake County Register of Deeds.

Section 2. That the Mayor and Board of Commissioners directs a duly certified copy of this ordinance and annexation boundary map be submitted for filing to the Office of the Register of Deeds of Wake County and the Office of the Secretary of the State of North Carolina.

Adopted this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2024.

Ronnie I. Currin<br>Town of Rolesville Mayor

## CERTIFICATION

I, Robin E. Peyton, Town Clerk for the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of an ordinance duly adopted at the meeting of the Town Board of Commissioners held on this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2024.

[^1]
# ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE TOWN OF ROLESVILLE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING DISTRICT MAP OF THE TOWN OF ROLESVILLE TO CHANGE THE ZONING OF APPROXIMATELY 116 ACRES LOCATED AT 0 MITCHELL MILL ROAD, 5333 MITCHELL MILL ROAD, 3645 ROCK FARM ROAD, and 0 MITCHELL MILL ROAD , BEING WAKE COUNTY TAX PINS 1757758529, 1757750520, 1757761273, AND 1757778982 FROM THE WAKE COUNTY R-30 DISTRICT TO A RESIDENTIAL MEDIUM DENSITY CONDITIONAL ZONING DISTRICT (RM-CZ) 

REZ-24-02, Hills at Harris Creek

WHEREAS, the application submitted by Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC on behalf of property owners Watkins, Randall Watkins, Laura (Tract 1), Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 2), Ellis Land Investment Company, LLC (Tract 3), and Watkins, Alan Watkins, Randy (Tract 4) for the rezoning of land hereinafter described was duly filed with the Planning Department; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board was presented the application for Recommendation on March 25, 2024 and the Board of Commissioners held a Legislative hearing on May 7, 2024; and

WHEREAS, mailed notices and property sign postings were carried out in advance of the Legislative hearing pursuant to G.S. § 160D-602 and the Land Development Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board submitted its recommendation to the Board of Commissioners recommending Approval of said application that was generally consistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the lands hereinafter described, all in accordance with the requirements of the Town of Rolesville Land Development Ordinance and the provisions of Chapter 160D, Article 6, of the North Carolina General Statutes;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Commissioners of the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina:

Section 1: The lands that are the subject of the Ordinance are those certain lands described in Exhibit 1 - Legal Description, which is incorporated herein by reference, and said lands are hereafter referred to as the "Rezoned Lands."

Section 2: The parcels identified by the Wake County Tax Parcel Identification Numbers 1757758529, 1757750520, 1757761273, AND 1757778982, and described in Exhibit 1, are currently located within Wake County, but will be within the Town's Corporate Limits upon adoption of Ordinance 2024-O-07 for ANX-24-01.

Section 3: The Town of Rolesville Land Development Ordinance, including the Town of Rolesville North Carolina Official Zoning District Map which is a part of said Ordinance, is hereby amended by changing the zoning classification of the "Rezoned Lands" from WAKE COUNTY R-30 DISTRICT TO A RESIDENTIAL MEDIUM DENSITY CONDITIONAL ZONING DISTRICT (RM-CZ), subject to the conditions stated herein.

Section 4: The "Rezoned Lands" are subject to all of the standards and conditions in Exhibit 2 - Conditions of Approval dated April 30, 2024, which are voluntarily imposed as part of this rezoning.

Section 5: The Administrator is hereby authorized and directed to cause the said Official Zoning District Map for the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina, to be physically revised and amended to reflect the zoning changes ordained by this Ordinance.

Section 6: After reviewing all the information presented at the Legislative hearing and the Town of Rolesville plans, policies and ordinances, the Rolesville Board of Commissioners find the Rezoning map amendment request reasonable and consistent with the 2017 Comprehensive Plan and is in the interest of the public and adopted a Plan Consistency and Reasonableness Statement.

Section 7: The "Rezoned Lands" shall be perpetually bound to the Conditions imposed including the uses authorized, unless subsequently changed or amended as provided for in the Land Development Ordinance.

Adopted and effective this the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2024.

Ronnie Currin
Mayor

ATTEST:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Robin Peyton
Town Clerk

David J. Neill
Town Attorney

## Metes and Bounds report for Hills at Harris Creek Parcel

Beginning at an iron pipe, with North Carolina Grid Coordinates using NAD83 (2011) of Northing 775,443.03' and an Easting 2,156,848.70', the true point of beginning, thence, N1937'29"E for a distance of 190.11' to a point, thence N18 $40^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ E for a distance of $227.11^{\prime}$ to a point, thence

N1931'15"E for a distance of 230.79' to a point, thence N83 ${ }^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ W for a distance of $376.14^{\prime}$ to a point, thence N43 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ E for a distance of $1,191.02$ ' to a point, thence N03 ${ }^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ W for a distance of $2,728.43$ ' to a point, thence

N03 ${ }^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ W for a distance of 235.15 ' to a point, thence
N75 ${ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 40$ " E for a distance of 340.47 ' to a point, thence
N65 ${ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ 07" E for a distance of $350.10^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S40 ${ }^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56$ " E for a distance of 133.25 ' to a point, thence
S80 ${ }^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 11$ " E for a distance of $62.70^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S04 46 ' 37 " E for a distance of $4,426.22^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S24 ${ }^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 12$ " E for a distance of $83.90^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
S00 25 ' 56 " E for a distance of $530.78^{\prime}$ to a point, thence
N71³4'19"W for a distance of 6.89' to a point, thence
Around a curve to the left with a radius of 730.00 ', a length of 54.41 ', a chord bearing of $\mathrm{N} 73^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 26$ " W and chord distance of $54.39^{\prime}$ to a point, thence

Around a curve to the right with a radius of 12,981.80', a length of 667.56', a chord bearing of $N 75^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 09$ "W, and a chord distance of $667.49^{\prime}$ to a point, thence

Around a curve to the left with a radius of $11,030.00$ ', a length of 753.92 ', a chord bearing of N75 ${ }^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44$ "W, and a chord distance of 753.77 ' to a point, thence
$\mathrm{N} 77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13$ "W for a distance of 103.54 ' to a point, thence
Around a curve to the left with a radius of 1,229.93', a length of 86.32 ', a chord bearing of N79²3'51"W, and a chord distance of 86.30' to a point, thence

N78 ${ }^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ W for a distance of 60.60' to the point and place of beginning. For a total of $5,029,708.11$ square feet or 115.466 acres.

# Exhibit Three 

## REZ-24-02/Hills at Harris Creek

Conditions of Approval
Date: April 30, 2024

1. The subject property shall be developed generally in accordance with the Concept Sketch Plan attached hereto as Exhibit One and incorporated herein as if fully set out. Locations shown for committed elements including, but not limited to Greenways, streets, and open space areas shown on the Concept Sketch Plan, are conceptual and provided for illustration and context only. Final locations of elements shall be determined at subsequent stages through the Town's development review approval processes.
2. Density: The property may be developed with up to a maximum of 225 single family detached dwelling units.
3. Affordable Housing: Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, Twenty Thousand Dollars and No Cents ( $\$ 20,000.00\}$ shall be donated to Homes for Heroes (or another non-profit organization with a substantially similar mission statement). A signed and notarized affidavit from the benefitted charity shall be provided as evidence of performance of this commitment.
4. Pollinator Plantings: At least four acres of the landscaping planted within the Duke Energy power line easement on the subject property shall utilize plant materials that are listed as Native Pollinator Plants on North Carolina Wildlife Federation ("NCWF") or other resources for native plants recommended by the NCWF. This landscape element shall be identified as a "feature" in the appropriate proposed Lot within the Preliminary Subdivision Plat drawings, and then again identified and fully detailed on landscape plan drawings included in the Construction Infrastructure Drawings, and this shall be considered infrastructure that is inspected for (installation) compliance by/at the time of subdivision close-out. Applicant may provide this feature earlier in the development process by evidence of photo documentation and inspection report by the Town infrastructure inspector or other staff.
5. Recreational Amenities: The following recreational amenities shall be provided generally as shown on the Recreational Amenities Plan attached hereto as Exhibit Two as a part of the development of the subject property and shall be dedicated to the subdivision's homeowner's association (HOA). These amenities shall be identified as a "feature" in the appropriate proposed Lot within the Preliminary Subdivision Plat drawings, and then again identified and detailed in the Construction Infrastructure Drawings, and this shall be considered infrastructure that is inspected for (installation) compliance by/at the time of subdivision close-out. Applicant may provide this feature earlier in the development process by evidence of photo documentation and inspection report by the Town infrastructure inspector or other staff.
i. A swimming pool and cabana, including changing rooms and restrooms shall be constructed prior to the issuance of the $150^{\text {th }}$ residential dwelling unit building permit;
ii. At least one fenced playground shall be constructed prior to the issuance of the $150^{\text {th }}$ residential dwelling unit building permit;
iii. At least one fenced dog park shall be constructed prior to the issuance of
the $150^{\text {th }}$ residential dwelling unit building permit;
iv. At least one (1) garden park shall be provided prior to the issuance of the $200^{\text {th }}$ residential dwelling unit building permit.
6. Foundations: All homes shall include either crawl space foundations or stem wall foundation (as they are generally defined in the home building industry). Any stem wall foundations shall have an average of at least eighteen inches (18") in height of reveal above the finished ground surface across the front facade of the home. There shall be no exposed concrete on any portion of the stem wall foundation on any side of the home facing and directly parallel to a public street. Compliance with this condition shall be demonstrated by noting the following on the plans submitted with the residential building permit application: i) the average stem wall height for the front façade of the stem wall foundation, and ii) the building materials to be used (stone veneer or brick) on the stem wall foundation façade on any side of the home facing a public street.
7. Minimum Dwelling Size: Each single family detached dwelling unit shall contain a minimum gross building square footage of 2,000 square feet.
8. Driveway Access to Neighboring Properties: Two private driveways (shown as Driveway A and Driveway B on the attached Exhibit One) shall be constructed to connect the property to the two adjacent properties (identified as Wake County PIN's 1757657746 \& 1757658917) that currently access Mitchell Mill Road via the private road known as Rock Farm Road (the "Rock Farm Road Properties"). Rock Farm Road will be abandoned and removed in connection with the development of the property. Access easements shall be provided to the Rock Farm Road Properties to provide ingress/egress to the Rock Farm Road Properties through Driveway A and Driveway $B$ prior to the abandonment and removal of Rock Farm Road.
9. Greenway and Shared Use Path: A 10' wide public shared use path (labeled as "Shared Use Path" on the attached Exhibit One) shall be constructed and dedicated to the Town to connect to the 10 wide public greenway (labeled as the Public Greenway on the attached Exhibit One).
10. Future Greenway Expansion: The 50' wide "Greenway Easement" as shown on Exhibit One, shall be dedicated to the Town as a future public greenway.

## EXHIBIT ONE

## CONCEPT SKETCH PLAN



Hills at Harris Creek
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# EXHIBIT TWO <br> recreational amenities plan 
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## Memo

| To: | Town of Rolesville Mayor Currin and Board of Commissioners |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Michael Elabarger, Assistant Planning Director |
| Date: | May 7, 2024 |
| Re: | $111-113-115$ W. Young Street |
|  | Map Amendment Rezoning - REZ-23-07 |

## Background

The Town of Rolesville Planning Department received an initial Rezoning application (Attachment 4) in November 2023 for 1.57 acres consisting of three (3) lots on the southern side of W. Young Street just west of Main Street. The properties are within the Town's corporate limits. The request is to change the zoning from the existing Residential Low (RL) zoning district to a General Commercial Conditional Zoning District (GC-CZ). The submittal includes a set of proposed Conditions of Approval (Attachment 9) which specify the intent for a project to include a maximum of seven (7) upper-story residential units and between 5,000 and 30,000 square feet of nonresidential floorspace, and information regarding an existing building located at 113 W . Young Street.

## Applicant Justification

The Applicant included a justification statement (Attachment 5) with the initial application for the rezoning request. It notes the proposed Conditions of Approval will limit the types of commercial uses allowed as well as the number of upper-story dwelling units.

## Neighborhood Meetings

The Applicant conducted a neighborhood meeting on February 27, 2023, and the neighborhood meeting package is attached (Attachment 6). There were no attendees at the meeting.

## Policy Plans

Comprehensive Plan/Future Land Use
The 2017 Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map designates the subject property as appropriate for Medium Density Residential development. Per the Plan, this is defined as:

Predominantly single-family residential uses with portion of duplex, townhouse, or multifamily residential. These are lots or tracts at a density range of three to five (3-5) dwelling units per gross acre including preserved open space areas along with limited non-residential uses under planned unit development or form base code provisions.

## Community Transportation Plan

The Town of Rolesville's Community Transportation Plan (CTP, adopted 2021) includes recommendations for Thoroughfares, Collectors, and intersections.

## Thoroughfare Recommendations

- W. Young Street is proposed to be a two (2) lane with Two-Way Left Turn Lane, Curb \& Gutter, Bike Lanes, and Sidewalk.


## Intersection Recommendations

- The Young and Main Streets intersection was recommended for improvements and access management, which is being completed per the LAPP Main Street project currently under construction.


## Greenway and Bike Plans

As per the 2022 Greenway and Bike Plans, proposed pedestrian and bicycle routes are shown in the following locations:

- A Sidepath is proposed along Young Street.
- Bike lanes are proposed along Young Street.


## Traffic / Traffic Impact Analysis

The Applicant provided a Trip Generation letter performed by Kimley-Horn (Attachment 7). This analysis utilized the proposed scope of development contained within the proposed Conditions of Approval. See clip below detailing trip generation.

| Table 1 <br> ITE Trip Generation (Vehicles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Size | Daily |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| Multifamily Housing, Low-Rise (LUC 220) | 7 d.u. | 60 | 60 | 6 | 19 | 15 | 9 |
| General Office (LUC 710) | 30,000 SF | 204 | 204 | 52 | 7 | 10 | 51 |
| Total Net New External Trips |  | 264 | 264 | 57 | 27 | 25 | 60 |

- The analysis is based on the maximum non-residential square footage (i.e. worst case), rather than the minimum or some amount in between.
- The analysis took into account NO internal capture of trips - example, trips for the nonresidential made by the 7 residential units (i.e. internal and thus no actual new trips generated), or visitors to the property visiting more than 1 use on the property (there are no controls on how the non-residential square footage is developed, it could be one tenant/enduser or it could be a multi-tenant development with complimentary uses.
- Even with the above very liberal measures, the thresholds for Daily and Peak Hour trips were just over the minimums.

Due to the above points, the Land Development Administrator (LDA) waived the requirement for a TIA at this point of rezoning entitlement, and proposed Condition \#4 commits to the project/development performing a TIA if 20,000 SF or more are proposed via Site Development Plan application(s) at a later date.

## Development Review

The Technical Review Committee (TRC) reviewed two (2) versions of the Rezoning application, with all comments pertinent to the consideration of the proposed District and Conditions of Approval being addressed. Planning staff and Town Attorney continued to review revisions to the proposed Conditions of Approval beyond the Planning Board meeting, and are represented in the attached version (Attachment 9).

## Planning Board Recommendation

The Planning Board met on March 25, 2024, to review and provide a recommendation on the Rezoning application. Following presentations by Staff and the Applicant, Board members asked about employees, the existing well (113 W. Young), connection(s) to the Cobblestone project, Traffic impact analysis (TIA), proposed or expected tenant uses such as banks and the residential units, Operation Art, and parking plans on the site. Two members of the public in attendance were permitted to make comment to the Board. The Planning Board then made a recommendation of Approval (to the Town Board of Commissioners) with a 3-2 vote (3 ayes / 2 nayes / 2 absent being Board Members Lawrence and Schwartz).

## Staff Analysis \& Recommendation

Staff concurs with the Planning Boards' recommendation that the Town Board of Commissioners approve Map Amendment (Rezoning) request REZ-23-07 due to the consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, appropriate proposed land uses for this assemblage of properties, and the synergy with nearby new development (Cobblestone) as well as the community as a whole.

## Consistency and Reasonableness

## Consistency

The Applicant's rezoning request is consistent with the Town of Rolesville's Comprehensive Plan for the following reasons:

- The proposed nonresidential floorspace and upper-story residential units, while not the predominant use in Medium Density Residential, are still appropriate in the Medium Density Residential Future Land Use designation area.
- The Main Street Vision Plan and the proposed development's downtown location, adjacent to the Cobblestone mixed use development, must also be considered. The proposed uses for 111,113 , and 115 W . Young Street will create a transition between downtown mixed use at Cobblestone to residential and lower intensity commercial uses nearby.


## Proposed Motion

1. Motion to (approve or deny) Rezoning Map Amendment request REZ-23-07 - 111-113115 W. Young Street, with the included Conditions of Approval.
2. (Following approval) Motion to adopt a Plan Consistency Statement and Statement of Reasonableness for REZ-23-07.

Or
3. Motion to continue the Legislative hearing and/or further consideration for REZ-23-07 to a date certain future Town Board meeting.

## Attachments

| 1 | Vicinity Map |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Existing Zoning Map |
| 3 | Future Land Use Map |
| 4 | Map Amendment Application |
| 5 | Applicant Statement of Justification |
| 6 | Neighborhood Meeting Package - Meeting held February 27, 2024 |
| 7 | Trip Generation Letter dated February 12, 2024 |
| 8 | Statement regarding House at 113 W. Young Street |
| 9 | Proposed Conditions of Approval dated 04-29-2024 / Signed |
|  | Ordinance 2024-O-08 and Exhibits 1 and 2 |

ATTACHMENT 1

## Rolesville

Est. 1837

Case: REZ-23-07
Address: 111,113,115 W. YOUNG
PIN: 1769014751, 1769014840, 1769014849
Date: 2024.03.18


## Cobblestone





## ATTACHMENT 2

Est. 1837
Case: REZ-23-07
Address: 111,113,115 W YOUNG
PIN: 1769014751, 1769014840, 1769014849
Date: 2024.03.18


Rolesville
Est. 1837

Case: REZ-23-07
Address: 111,113,115 W YOUNG
PIN: 1769014751, 1769014840,1769014849
Date: 2024.03.18

## Future Land Use Map

## Legend

RolesFULU03-13-20 ROLU_CLASS

Low Density Residential

## Medium Density

Residential
High Density Residential
Town Center
Mixed Use
Neighborhood
Commercial
Business Park
Industrial
School
Preserved Open Space
Water Sewer Services


Est. 1837

## Map Amendment Application

## Contact Information

Property Owner Wesley and Roxey Wilkins
Address 115 W . Young St City/State/Zip Rolesville, NC 27571

Phone $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$

Developer The Joel Fund
Contact Name Brooke Dickart
Address 822 S. White Street City/State/Zip Wake Forest, NC 27587
Phone (919) 247-9333 Email brooke@thejoelfund.org

## Property Information

Address 111, 113, and 115 W. Young Street
Wake County PIN(s) 1769-01-4751, 1769-01-4840, and 1769-01-4849
Current Zoning District RL Requested Zoning District GC-CZ
Total Acreage 1.57

## Owner Signature

I hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and completed. I understand that if any item is found to be otherwise after evidentiary hearing before the Town Board of Commissioners, that the action of the Board may be invalidated.


## STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF Wal le
1, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that Wester Willing Roxy takilkins personally appeared before me this day and acknowledoelchthe dup execution of the foregoing instrument. This the


My commission expires


Signature


Town of Rolesville Planning

Map Amendment Application
Genuine Community • Capital Connection Est. 1837

## Metes and Boundls Description of Property

111 W. Young Street: Lot 3 on that plat recorded in Book of Maps 1964, Page 148, Wake County Registry.

113 W Young_Street:Lot 2 on that Becombination Survey recorded in Book of Maps_2009, Page 928, Wake County Registry.

115 W. Young Street: Lot 1 on that Recombination Survey recorded in Book of Maps 2009, Page 928, Wake County Registry.

## Map Amendment Application

Genuine Community • Capiral Connection
Est. 1837

## Rezoning Justification

See attached.

Map Amendment Application

## Property Owner Information

| Wake County PIN | Property Owner | Mailing Address | Zip Code |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| See attached |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 111, 113, and 115 W . Young Street Justification Statement

1. Is the application consistent with the Comprehensive Plan / other applicable adopted town plans?

Response. The Town's Future Land Use Map designates these three properties as Medium Density Residential. Medium Density Residential recommends residential density between three and five units per acre. The proposed conditional use rezoning request proposes a maximum of seven units total, which equates to approximately 4.5 units per acre across the assemblage. Additionally, this land use designation calls for limited non-residential uses. The proposed rezoning permits a limited range of non-residential, and at a scale that respects adjacent residential uses. Thus, the proposed conditional use rezoning application is consistent with the Rolesville Future Land Use Plan.
2. Is it in conflict with any provision of the LDO or the Town Code of Ordinances?

Response. The Project intends to comply with all parts of the LDO and Town Code of Ordinances.
3. Does the application correct any errors in the existing zoning present at the time it was adopted?

Response. The application does not correct any errors in the existing zoning.
4. Does it allow uses that are compatible with existing and allowed uses on surrounding land?

Response. The proposed conditional use rezoning would allow for seven total dwelling units and limited non-residential uses. The assemblage of property is located near the Young Street and Main Street intersection, which is the heart of the Rolesville town center and where one would expect to see a variety of nonresidential uses and dense residential development. Additionally, the properties immediately west of the assemblage are zoned Town Center (TC), which is appropriate for the core of Rolesville and permit both residential and nonresidential uses. Thus, the proposed rezoning to GCCZ is compatible with existing and allowed land uses in the nearby area.
5. Would it ensure efficient development within the town, including the capacity and safety of the street network, public facilities, and other similar considerations?

Response. The proposed rezoning and development would require street improvements and streetscape along W. Young Street, which is classified as a " 2 Lane with Two Way Left Turn Lane". Enhanced vehicular and pedestrian infrastructure along this development is important for the build out of the Rolesville town center.
6. Would it result in a logical and orderly development pattern?

Response. Yes, the rezoning and redevelopment of these three properties for a mix of uses is consistent with nearby development near the Rolesville town center.
7. Would it result in adverse impacts on water, air, noise, storm water management, wildlife, vegetation, wetlands, and the natural functioning of the environment?

Response. No, there are no environmentally sensitive areas on the assemblage. The properties were previously developed and cleared for residential uses, and there are few existing trees. The proposed uses would not have adverse noise impacts to adjacent properties.
8. Does the conditional rezoning addresses the impacts reasonably expected to be generated by the development or use of the site, can reasonably be implemented and enforced for the subject property, and if it will mitigate specific issues that would likely result if the subject property were zoned to accommodate all the uses and the minimum standards of the corresponding general zoning district.

Response. The zoning conditions limit the types of commercial uses permitted and the number of dwelling units, which will help mitigate impacts from a GC base zoning district.

# REZONING OF PROPERTY CONSISTING OF +/- 1.57 ACRES, LOCATED NORTHWEST OF THE S. MAIN STREET AND W. YOUNG STREET INTERSECTION, IN THE TOWN OF ROLESVILLE 

## REPORT OF MEETING WITH ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS AND TENANTS ON FEBRUARY 27, 2024

Pursuant to applicable provisions of the Land Development Ordinance, a meeting was held with respect to a potential rezoning with adjacent neighbors on Tuesday, February 27, 2024 at 6:00 p.m. The property considered for this potential rezoning totals approximately 1.57 acres, and is located northwest of the S. Main Street and W. Young Street intersection, in the Town of Rolesville, having Wake County Parcel Identification Numbers 1769-01-4751, 1769-01-4840, and 1769-01-4849. This meeting was held at the Rolesville Community Center, located at 502 Southtown Circle, Rolesville, NC 27571. All owners of property within 500 feet of the subject property were invited to attend the meeting. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a copy of the neighborhood meeting notice. A copy of the required mailing list for the meeting invitations is attached hereto as Exhibit B. A summary of the items discussed at the meeting is attached hereto as Exhibit C. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a list of individuals who attended the meeting.

# EXHIBIT A - NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING NOTICE 



| To: | Neighboring Property Owner |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Worth Mills |
| Date: | February 16, 2024 |
| Re: | Neighborhood Meeting for Rezoning of 111, 113, and 115 W. Young Street (REZ-23-07 / W. |
|  | Young Street) |

You are invited to attend an informational meeting to discuss the proposed rezoning of 111,113 and 115 W . Young Street (with Property Identification Numbers (PINs) 1769-01-4751, 1769-01-4840, and 1769-01-4849). The meeting will be held on Tuesdav, February 27, 2024, from 6:00 PM until 7:00 PM, at the following location:

## Rolesville Community Center <br> 514 Southtown Circle <br> Rolesville, NC 27571

The property totals approximately 1.57 acres in size and is located northwest of the W. Young Street and S. Main Street intersection. The property is currently zoned Residential Low Density (RL). The proposed zoning is General Commercial, Conditional Zoning (TC-CZ). The purpose of the rezoning is to facilitate a veteran housing project with ground-floor meeting space.

The Town of Rolesville requires a neighborhood meeting involving the owners and tenants of property within 500 feet of the property prior to the rezoning being heard by the Planning Board. After the meeting, we will prepare a report for the Planning Department regarding the items discussed at the meeting.

Please do not hesitate to contact me directly if you have any questions or wish to discuss any issues. 1 can be reached at 919-645-4313 and wmills@longleaflp.com. Also, for more information about the rezoning, you may visit https://www.rolesvillenc.gov/project/scarboro-apartments or contact the Town of Rolesville Planning Department at 919.554.6517.

Attached to this invitation are the following materials:
1.Subject Property Current Aerial Exhibit
2. Subject Property Current Zoning Exhibit

## CURRENT PROPERTY MAP



CURRENT ZONING MAP


## EXHIBIT B - NOTICE LIST

| WOODLIEF, DONNIE LEE WOODLIEF, | WOOD, MATTHEW DAVID WOOD, | ROLESVILLE TOWN OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PATSY EDDINS | MISTY LEE | 1769017654 |
| 1769021117 | 1769111881 | PO BOX 250 |
| 6609 FOWLER RD | 112 N MAIN ST | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0250 |
| ZEBULON NC 27597-8303 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-9643 |  |
| EDDINS FAMILY LLC | ROLESVILLE TOWN OF | ROLESVILLE TOWN OF |
| 1769015274 | 1769017516 | 1759922076 |
| 6105 HOPE FARM LN | PO BOX 250 | PO BOX 250 |
| WAKE FOREST NC 27587-8426 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0250 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0250 |
| WOODLIEF, DONNIE LEE WOODLIEF, | KET REAL ESTATE LLC | MITCHELL, ROBERT L JR LILES, |
| PATSY EDDINS | 1769015048 | MARGARET SUE |
| 1769024116 | 321 SPRINGMOOR DR | 1769111411 |
| 6609 FOWLER RD | RALEIGH NC 27615-7740 | 4313 BIRMINGHAM WAY |
| ZEBULON NC 27597-8303 |  | RALEIGH NC 27604-4858 |
| LITTLE HOUSE LLC | WILLIAMS, SUSIE H | TELECOM TOWERS LLC |
| 1769120094 | 1769016663 | 1759918780 |
| PO BOX 239 | 7904 AUBUBON DR | PO BOX 723597 |
| ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0239 | RALEIGH NC 27615 | ATLANTA GA 31139-0597 |
| WILKINS, WESLEY C WILKINS, ROXEY M | JONES, CHARLES S JONES, SHARON K | EDDINS FAMILY LLC |
| 1769014849 | 1769027000 | 1769016246 |
| 115 W YOUNG ST | 3905 MANLY FARM RD | 6105 HOPE FARM LN |
| ROLESVILLE NC 27571-9516 | WAKE FOREST NC 27587-8494 | WAKE FOREST NC 27587-8426 |
| BANNER, WENDY LEIGH | PRIVETTE PROPERTIES LLC | DRCW INVESTMENTS LLC |
| 1769018744 | 1769015401 | 1769018892 |
| 2601 TRICKLE CT | PO BOX 116 | PO BOX 1736 |
| RALEIGH NC 27615-3874 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0116 | WAKE FOREST NC 27588-1736 |
| SELF, LINDA ESTELLE MERRITT WILKINS, | WILKINS, ROXEY MANGUM WILKINS, | EDDINS FAMILY LLC |
| ROXEY M | WESLEY C SR | 1769018387 |
| 1769014751 | 1769014840 | 6105 HOPE FARM LN |
| 115 W YOUNG ST | 115 W YOUNG ST | WAKE FOREST NC 27587-8426 |
| ROLESVILLE NC 27571-9516 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-9516 |  |
| EDDINS FAMILY LLC | WARD, ROBERT FJR | HAMILTON, ELIZABETH WILIAMS |
| 1769019527 | 1769110685 | WILLIAMS, FRED J III |
| 6105 HOPE FARM LN | 108 N MAIN ST | 1769017801 |
| WAKE FOREST NC 27587-8426 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-9643 | 7904 AUDUBON DR |
|  |  | RALEIGH NC 27615-3407 |
| EDDINS FAMILY LLC | WOODLIFE INVESTMENTS II LLC | MOBLEY, TERESA P TRUSTEE PRIVETTE, |
| 1769110620 | 1769018978 | RODNEY MCCOY JR TRUSTEE |
| 6105 HOPE FARM LN | PO BOX 1085 | 1769024499 |
| WAKE FOREST NC 27587-8426 | WAKE FOREST NC 27588-1085 | PO BOX 263 |
|  |  | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0263 |
| MOBLEY, TERESA P TRUSTEE PRIVETTE, | BERNARD, BLANCA C | DRAGONFLY INVESTMENTS NC LLC |
| RODNEY MCCOY JR TRUSTEE | 1769111730 | 1769015157 |
| 1769022455 | 110 N MAIN ST | 15405 NEW LIGHT RD |
| PO BOX 263 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-9643 | WAKE FOREST NC 27587-8633 |
| ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0263 |  |  |


| COLUMBIA PARK EAST MHP-KB LLC | HESS PROPERTY GROUP LLC | HENDERSON, LIONEL R HENDERSON, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1769029362 | 1769024347 | CYNTHIA A |
| 8480 HONEYCUTT RD STE 200 | 6624 RIDGE SPRING RD | 1769029063 |
| RALEIGH NC 27615-2261 | ZEBULON NC 27597-7525 | 7909 RIVER RIDGE RD |
|  |  | WAKE FOREST NC 27587-9355 |
| PEARCE, LINDA WILLIAMS PRYOR, | PEOPLES, DAVID S III MACKO, STEVEN J | MITCHELL, ROBERT LEE |
| CHRISTY LYNN | 1769027139 | 1769110317 |
| 1769023248 | 10534 ARNOLD PALMER DR | PO BOX 81 |
| 1100 SILENT BROOK RD | RALEIGH NC 27617-7775 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0081 |
| WAKE FOREST NC 27587-7138 |  |  |
| MOBLEY, TERESA P TRUSTEE PRIVETTE, | ROLESVILLE RURAL FIRE DEPT INC | COBBLESTONE CROSSING SPE LLC |
| RODNEY MCCOY JR TRUSTEE | 1769017022 | 1769011435 |
| 1769020472 | PO BOX 249 | 8480 HONEYCUTT RD STE 200 |
| PO BOX 263 | ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0249 | RALEIGH NC 27615-2261 |
| ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0263 |  |  |
| MAY, WILLIAM A |  |  |
| 1769023002 |  |  |
| PO BOX 248 |  |  |
| ROLESVILLE NC 27571-0248 |  |  |

## EXHIBIT C - ITEMS DISCUSSED

No topics were discussed because no neighbors attended the meeting.

## EXHIBIT D - MEETING ATTENDEES

1. Worth Mills (Longleaf Law Partners)
2. Brooke Dickhart (Applicant)
3. Rick Bowers (Applicant)

## Kimley»"Horn

February 12, 2024
Meredith Gruber, PLA, AICP
Planning Director
Town of Rolesville
502 Southdown Circle
Rolesville, NC 27571


RE: W. Young Street Rezoning, Rolesville, NC - Trip Generation Analysis
Kimley-Horn has prepared an analysis of the trip generation potential of the proposed rezoning of the properties located at 111-115 W. Young Street in Rolesville, NC. It is our understanding that the proposed rezoning would allow up to 30,000 square feet (SF) of non-residential space and up to 7 upper-floor dwelling units.

The trip generation potential of the proposed rezoning was determined using data from the $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual. Trips for the non-residential space were generated as general office space (LUC 710), while the top-floor dwellings were generated as low-rise multifamily (LUC 220) as that category was identified as the closest land use provided in ITE. The trip generation potential of the land uses allowed under the proposed rezoning is summarized in Table 1 below, and trip generation calculations are attached. To be conservative, no internal capture was applied between uses even though interaction between the uses is expected.


Table 1 shows that the proposed zoning maximums would generate approximately 528 new trips on a typical weekday, with 84 new trips in the AM peak hour and 85 new trips in the PM peak hour. While these calculated trips are above the Town's thresholds of 500 daily or 50 peak hour trips, the conservative nature of the calculations (no internal capture, potential for double-counted trips between the uses, etc.) is expected to provide conservative results.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at kevin.dean@.kimley-horn.com.
Sincerely,
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.


Kevin Dean, P.E.
Project Manager


## REZ-23-07 <br> W. Young Street <br> Statement on Latney Rogers House

Introduction
The Joel Fund, a local nonprofit organization dedicated to helping Wake County veterans, is requested to rezone three properties $(111,113$ and 115 W . Young Street) from RL to GC-CZ. The rezoning is requested to facilitate a new community for veterans. This community would include living spaces and activity/art spaces for the veterans, plus new office space for The Joel Fund. One of Rolesville's oldest homes is located at 113 W . Young Street, and this report provides a brief history of the home, the steps that The Joel Fund has taken to preserve the home, and the offered zoning conditions to lessen the chances of the home's demolition.

## History of Latney Rogers House at 113 W. Young Street

Some reports list the home as being constructed in 1789, while physical evidence suggests it was built later in 1839. It is often referred to as the "Latney Rogers House". At the time of construction, the Latney Rogers House (the "House") consisted of two rooms and an attic. In the 1960s, the House was almost completely remodeled and enlarged, yet retains its Federal style proportions and some interior building materials.

According to Gary Roth at Capital Area Preservation, Inc., the additions and changes done in the 1960s impact the House's historical integrity. However, he still thinks the House is worthy of preservation and potentially a historic landmark designation if the 1960s work can be reversed.

## Steps Taken to Preserve Structure

Restoring the House will require significant time and costs. To that end, Brooke Dickhart (The Joel Fund's Founder and Executive Director) has met with Jeremy Bradham with Capital Area Preservation, Inc. and Sarah Woodard with North Carolina State Preservation Office. The Joel Fund has submitted a Study List application to the State Historic Preservation Office. This list is a preliminary step in the review of potential nominations to the National Register. Also, if approved for this list, this would allow The Joel Fund the opportunity to get a Rehab Code for the property. The Code makes it easier and less expensive to rehabilitate existing buildings. In addition, it encourages the upgrade of buildings and supports affordable housing efforts. Furthermore, the code gives more freedom in rehabbing historic buildings. Brooke has also met with multiple construction companies with experience bringing historical buildings up to Commercial Code. Based on conversations with the aforementioned parties, The Joel Fund intends to preserve the House and incorporate it into the veteran-oriented development.

## Zoning Conditions Offered to Help Preserve Structure

The Joel Fund has offered two zoning conditions to increase the likelihood that the House is preserved. The first condition prohibits the property owner from filing a demolition permit for the

House within the first twelve months following the Board of Commissioners' approval of the rezoning request. The second zoning condition would apply if The Joel Fund determines that it cannot preserve the House; prior to demolishing the House, The Joel Fund must advertise and make the House available for third-party relocation to another lot. This relocation would be done at the sole cost of the third-party responsible for relocation. Given the uncertainty of the work necessary to properly renovate and restore the House, along with The Joel Fund's non-profit status and limited discretionary funds, offering a zoning condition to affirmatively preserve the House is untenable. That said, The Joel Fund has taken concrete steps to preserve the House for the benefit of both the Town of Rolesville and the future veterans living and interacting here.

## REZ-23-07, W. Young Street <br> Conditions of Approval <br> April 29, 2024

1. The proposed General Commercial Conditional Zoning (GC-CZ) District shall allow Principal Uses per Exhibit A (Uses Permitted, Uses by Special Use Permit, Uses Prohibited).
2. No more than seven (7) upper-story dwelling units shall be permitted on the Property.
3. Nonresidential uses shall not be less than 5,000 square feet of gross floor area, and shall not exceed 30,000 square feet of gross floor area.
4. A traffic impact analysis shall be required for a Site Development Plan that includes at least 20,000 square feet of gross floor area of Office and Medical Uses as defined in LDO Section 5.1.1.
5. No demolition permit for the existing home at 113 W . Young Street (PIN 1769-01-4840) (Deed Book 16593, Page 1043, Wake County Registry) shall be filed within 365 days following approval of REZ-23-07.
6. Prior to the submittal of a demolition permit for the removal of the single-family home at 113 W. Young Street (PIN 1769-01-4840), the Development shall document the existing structure through photographs and detailed exterior elevation drawings. The Development shall send the documentation to the Town of Rolesville Planning Department and Board of Commissioners. Prior to the demolition, the Development will allow any non-profit entity, individual, or for-profit entity to relocate any of the existing single-family home at no cost to the Development and without payment to the Development, so long as the party relocating the single-family home is solely responsible for the relocation, including, without limitation, securing all permits and approvals required by law. The Development will provide general public notice in the News \& Observer of the offer for relocation. Public notice shall occur at least 180 days prior to the scheduled demolition of the single-family home. Prior to demolition of the single-family home that has not been relocated within 30 days prior to demolition, and after the Development has removed any items or building materials for its reuse, the Development will allow the Town of Rolesville or any local organization at least 15 days to remove items of historic significance and building materials for reuse.


Wesley C. Wilkins
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## 111, 113 and 115 W. Young Street REZ-23-07 The Joel Fund

Rolesville Board of Commissioners

$$
\text { May 7, } 2024
$$

## Overview of REZ-23-07

- 111, 113 and 115 W. Young Street total 1.57 acres
- Current zoning: Residential Low Density (RL)
- Proposed zoning: General Commercial Conditional Zoning (GC-CZ)
- Purpose of the rezoning is to create a small community for veterans
- Meeting and activity space for veterans on ground floor
- Office space for The Joel Fund

- Residential units above the meeting and activity space


## MISSION

## To Reconnect Veterans to Life at Home

We use the power of community to engage, educate and encourage veterans and their families.


Operation ART


Operation Connect


Operation Furnish


## IMPACT'



## \$1.1M

## Existing Conditions



## 111 W. Young Street



## 113 W. Young Street



## 115 W. Young Street



## Current Zoning




## Future Land Use Map

- Recommends a range of housing types (single-family, duplexes, townhouses and multifamily)
- Density: 3-5 units per acre
- Also recommends limited nonresidential uses
- REZ-23-07, which limits development to 7 dwelling units and 30,000 square feet of nonresidential space, is consistent with the Medium Density Residential FLUM designation

1. No more than seven (7) dwelling units
2. Nonresidential uses not to exceed $30,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$

## 3. TIA required if a Site Development Plan includes at least $20,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of Office Uses

| PERMITTED -_\#_ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENTIAL | CIVIC |  | COMMERCIAL |  |  | OFFICE/MEDICAL | INDUSTRIAL | INFRASTRUCTURE |
| Dwelling, UpperStory Unit | Assembly / Church | Public Safety Facility |  | Event Center | Retail Sales \& Service, Neighborhood |  | Artisanal Manufacturing | Minor Utility |
|  | Cultural Facility | Parks / Public Recreation |  | Funeral Home | Retail Sales \& Service Community | Dental Facility |  | Major Utility |
|  |  | Preserved Open Space |  |  |  | Medical Facility |  | Minor Transportation Installation |
|  | Govt. Office | Public Facilities |  |  |  | Professional Office |  | Water Storage Tank |
|  | Lodge or Private clubs | Public Safety Facility |  | Recreation, Indoor |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Eating Establish. | Recreation, Outdoor |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted by Special Use Permit -_\#_ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESIDENTIAL | CIVIC |  | COMMERCIAL |  |  | OFFICE/MEDICAL | INDUSTRIAL | INFRASTRUCTURE |
|  |  | Social Services | Lodging |  |  |  |  | Major Transportation Installation |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Telecom. Tower |
| PROHIBITED -_\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESIDENTIAL | CIVIC |  | COMMERCIAL |  |  | OFFICE/MEDICAL | INDUSTRIAL | INFRASTRUCTURE |
| Dwelling, Single <br> Family, Detached | Cemetery | Schools K-12 | Adult Business | Commercial Parking | Golf Course | Animal Care | Flex |  |
| Dwelling, Single <br> Family, Attached | College/University |  | Bank | Carwash | Retail Sales \& Service Shopping Center | Hospital | Fulfillment Center |  |
| Dwelling, Double Family | Correctional Facility |  | Bars and Nightclubs | Electronic Gaming Operations | Tattoo Establishment | Urgent Care | Industrial, Light |  |
| Dwelling, Multiple Family | Day Care |  | Breweries and Distilleries | Gas Station | Vape \& Tobacco Store |  | Industrial, Heavy |  |

## Latney Rogers House



Background

- Built in 1839, making it one of the oldest (if not the oldest) existing homes in Rolesville
- Significant remodeling and enlargement done in the 1960s, impacting the historical integrity
- If 1960s work can be reversed, it would be worthy of preservation and potentially a historic landmark


## Steps Toward Preservation

- Brooke has met with multiple construction companies with experience bring historical budlings up to Commercial Code
- Met with Capital Area Preservation, Inc. and the North Carolina State Preservation Office
- Brooke has submitted a Study List application to the State Historic Preservation Office
- Preliminary step in nominations to the National Register
- If approved, lowers the standards and costs necessary for rehab and preservation
- The Joel Fund intends to incorporate the Latney Rogers House into the overall development


## Lane House in Cary



## Zoning Conditions to Increase Chances of Preservation

1. No demolition permit for the existing home at 113 W. Young Street (PIN 1769-01-4840) (Deed Book 16593, Page 1043, Wake County Registry) shall be filed within 365 days following approval of REZ-23-07.
2. Requirement to document the structure through photographs and detailed elevation drawings if the House cannot be saved
3. 180 days prior to demolition, The Joel Fund must advertise the opportunity for a third party to relocate the House. Third party is responsible for cost of relocation
4. If no one has relocated the House within 30 days of scheduled demolition, The Joel Fund will allow the Town of Rolesville or other local organization at least 15 days to remove any items or building materials of historical significance for reuse

## Potential Renovations to 115 W. Young Street Home



## Summary of REZ-23-07

- Proposed GC-CZ zoning district and development intensity conditions are consistent with the Medium Density Residential FLUM designation
- Approval will facilitate a veteran community and development center within the heart of Rolesville
- The Joel Fund is actively taking steps with building firms, and local and State preservation organizations to preserve the Latney Rogers House
- The Joel Fund has offered conditions to delay and mitigate the possibility of the House's removal
- The request is both reasonable and in the public interest


# ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE TOWN OF ROLESVILLE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING DISTRICT MAP OF THE TOWN OF ROLESVILLE TO CHANGE THE ZONING OF APPROXIMATELY 1.57 ACRES LOCATED AT 111, 113, and 115 W. YOUNG STREET , BEING WAKE COUNTY TAX PINS 1769014751, 1769014840, AND 1769014849 FROM THE RESIDENTIAL LOW DENSITY DISTRICT (RL) TO A GENERAL COMMERCIAL CONDITIONAL ZONING DISTRICT (GC-CZ) 

## REZ-23-07, 111-113-115 W. YOUNG STREET

WHEREAS, the application submitted by The Joel Fund on behalf of property owners Wesley and Roxey Wilkins for the rezoning of land hereinafter described was duly filed with the Planning Department; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board was presented the application for Recommendation on March 25, 2024 and the Board of Commissioners held a Legislative hearing on May 7, 2024; and

WHEREAS, mailed notices and property sign postings were carried out in advance of the Legislative hearing pursuant to G.S. § 160D-602 and the Land Development Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board submitted its recommendation to the Board of Commissioners recommending Approval of said application that was generally. consistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the lands hereinafter described, all in accordance with the requirements of the Town of Rolesville Land Development Ordinance and the provisions of Chapter 160D, Article 6, of the North Carolina General Statutes;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Commissioners of the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina:

Section 1: The lands that are the subject of the Ordinance are those certain lands described in Exhibit 1 - Legal Description, which is incorporated herein by reference, and said lands are hereafter referred to as the "Rezoned Lands."

Section 2: The parcels identified by the Wake County Tax Parcel Identification Numbers 1769014751, 1769014840, AND 1769014849, and described in Exhibit 1, are located within the Town's Corporate Limits.

Section 3: The Town of Rolesville Land Development Ordinance, including the Town of Rolesville North Carolina Official Zoning District Map which is a part of said Ordinance, is hereby amended by changing the zoning classification of the "Rezoned Lands" from RESIDENTIAL LOW DENSITY DISTRICT (RL) to GENERAL COMMERCIAL CONDITIONAL ZONING DISTRICT (GC-CZ), subject to the conditions stated herein.

Section 4: The "Rezoned Lands" are subject to all of the standards and conditions in Exhibit 2 - Conditions of Approval dated April 29, 2024, which are voluntarily imposed as part of this rezoning.

Section 5: The Administrator is hereby authorized and directed to cause the said Official Zoning District Map for the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina, to be physically revised and amended to reflect the zoning changes ordained by this Ordinance.

Section 6: After reviewing all the information presented at the Legislative hearing and the Town of Rolesville plans, policies and ordinances, the Rolesville Board of Commissioners find the Rezoning map amendment request reasonable and consistent with the 2017 Comprehensive Plan and is in the interest of the public and adopted a Plan Consistency and Reasonableness Statement.

Section 7: The "Rezoned Lands" shall be perpetually bound to the Conditions imposed including the uses authorized, unless subsequently changed or amended as provided for in the Land Development Ordinance.

Adopted and effective this the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2024.

> Ronnie Currin

Mayor

ATTEST:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Robin Peyton
David J. Neill
Town Clerk
Town Attorney

ORDINANCE 2024-O-08 for REZ-23-07
Legal Descriptions - Book of Maps BM1964/Pg 148 and BM2009/Pg928



REZ-23-07, W. Young Street<br>Conditions of Approval<br>April 29, 2024

1. The proposed General Commercial Conditional Zoning (GC-CZ) District shall allow Principal Uses per Exhibit A (Uses Permitted, Uses by Special Use Permit, Uses Prohibited).
2. No more than seven (7) upper-story dwelling units shall be permitted on the Property.
3. Nonresidential uses shall not be less than 5,000 square feet of gross floor area, and shall not exceed 30,000 square feet of gross floor area.
4. A traffic impact analysis shall be required for a Site Development Plan that includes at least 20,000 square feet of gross floor area of Office and Medical Uses as defimed in LDO Section 5.1.1.
5. No demolition permit for the existing home at 113 W . Young Street (PIN 1769-01-4840) (Deed Book 16593, Page 1043, Wake County Registry) shall be filed within 365 days following approval of REZ-23-07.
6. Prior to the submittal of a demolition permit for the removal of the single-family home at 113 W. Young Street (PIN 1769-01-4840), the Development shall document the existing structure through photographs and detailed exterior elevation drawings. The Development shall send the documentation to the Town of Rolesville Planning Department and Board of Commissioners. Prior to the demolition, the Development will allow any non-profit entity, individual, or for-profit entity to relocate any of the existing single-family home at no cost to the Development and without payment to the Development, so long as the party relocating the single-family home is solely responsible for the relocation, including, without limitation, securing all permits and approvals required by law. The Development will provide general public notice in the News \& Observer of the offer for relocation. Public notice shall occur at least 180 days prior to the scheduled demolition of the single-family home. Prior to demolition of the single-family home that has not been relocated within 30 days prior to demolition, and after the Development has removed any items or building materials for its reuse, the Development will allow the Town of Rolesville or any local organization at least 15 days to remove items of historic significance and building materials for reuse.
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## Memorandum

To: Mayor and Town Board
From: Eric Marsh, Interim Town Manager \& Amy Stevens, Finance Director
Date: May 2, 2024
Re: Yard Waste Transition and Budget Amendment

## Background

The Departments of Administration, Finance, and Public Works conducted an operational and financial feasibility analysis of the Yard Waste program as part of the FY2024-25 budget process. The analysis concluded that it is operationally achievable and fiscally responsible to transition the Yard Waste (YW) services and program internally in FY202425. The Public Works department will be the owner of the service.

A requirement of transitioning the program is the acquisition of a Leaf Truck and a Knuckleboom Truck. The Public Works department found vendors that can deliver the needed trucks this year. To reserve the trucks, the Town must provide letters of intent to the vendor(s) prior to the adoption of the FY2024-25 budget. While the vendors will accept letters of intent, to clearly satisfy statutory requirements staff recommend that the purchases have an approved budget.

## How will it be paid for?

As the fiscal year comes to a close, staff are better able to make final projections for revenues. Due to interest rates remaining higher than expected, investment income for FY23-24 is projected to exceed the budgeted amount. In addition, the final property tax valuation for real estate, personal property, and utility values exceeded the Wake Tax Office's estimates so the Town has already collected funds in excess of the projected amount.

Given the long-term savings from transitioning this service, staff recommends using these excess revenues to make the capital equipment purchases needed for the yard waste program. While this action will reduce the amount of funds that would have otherwise been added to the Town's fund balance at the end of FY23-24, the Town is expected to maintain adequate reserves.

|  | CURRENT <br> BUDGET | CURRENT <br> REVENUES | PROJECTED <br> REVENUES | EXCESS <br> REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Investment Earnings | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 457,108$ | $\$ 609,477$ | $\$ 409,477$ |
| Property Tax | $\$ 6,220,000$ | $\$ 6,664,362$ | $\$ 6,664,362$ | $\$ 444,362$ |

## Recommended Action

Make a motion to authorize the Town Manager to execute letters if intent to purchase Yard Waste trucks and adopt the budget ordinance amendment.

Attachments:

- Budget ordinance amendment


## AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE 2023-2024 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET ORDINANCE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Commissioners of the Town of Rolesville, North Carolina that the following amendment be made to the annual budget ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024:

1. To appropriate funds for the purchase of yard waste equipment.

|  |  | Change |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Revenue |  | $\$ 280,000$ |
| $100-000-4010-0000$ | Ad Valorem Taxes | $\$ 280,000$ |
| $100-000-4610-0000$ | Investment Earnings |  |
|  |  | $\$ 560,000$ |

This will result in a net increase of $\$ 560,000$ in both revenues and expenditures of the General Fund.

Adopted this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2024

Ronnie I. Currin<br>Town of Rolesville Mayor

Attest:
Robin E. Peyton
Town Clerk

## FUTURETOWNBOARD MEETINGS (Please note this schedule is subject to change)

May 21, 2024 Town Board Work Session - 6:00 p.m.

- Present FY24/25 Budget.
- Planning Space Lease and Budget Amendment.

June 4, 2024, Town Board Regular Meeting - 7:00 p.m. (Staff Reporting: PD)

- Proclamation: Juneteenth
- Consent: Minutes of May $7^{\text {th }}$ and May $21^{\text {st }}$.
- Consent: Powell Bill Resolution Adoption.
- Consent: Chamber MOU.
- Budget Public Hearing
- Budget Amendment
- Continued Land Use

June 18, 2024 Town Board Work Session - 6:00 p.m.

- Affordable Housing Update.
- Comprehensive Plan Update.
- Main Street Project Update.

July 9, 2024 Town Board Regular Meeting - 7:00 p.m. (Staff Reporting: FI/HR)

- Proclamation: Parks \& Recreation Month
- Consent: Minutes of June $4^{\text {th }}$ and June $18^{\text {th }}$.
$\bullet$
July 16, 2024 Town Board Work Session - 6:00 p.m.
Planning Items to be Scheduled by Planning Director:
- REZ23-01 - Averette and Jones Dairy Mixed Use - Legislative Hearing.
- REZ-23-05/ANX23-04-Scarboro Apartments/201 S. Main Street-Legislative Hearing
- REZ-24-01 / ANX-24-02 - Merritt Property Rezoning \& Annexation
- TA-24-01 - Residential Urban District Text Amendment
- LDO Text Amendments - Next Round TBD


[^0]:    Future Meetings Calendar

[^1]:    Robin E. Peyton
    Town Clerk

