FSP-24-03 – Chandler's Ridge Ph 1/2 Recombination – V1 Submittal review cycle

START DATE: MARCH 03-04-24 | DUE DATE: _04-08-24_ | TRC/STAFF Comments issued on: __04/06/2024__

Review Group / Staff		Comments	Cleared Comments
Planning & Zoning — Planning Staff	 Add that Please tract is at purp Scop a Recover with This but s finds Distr whice and 7. This Cove 9. Gene expla Own 	de a written response to ALL the comments received; mark-up to mark-up is fine. devision dates, keeping all original dates, on all materials. FSP-24-03 to the Cover Sheet, and then in a standard spot on every other sheet (like vertical side banner) so every sheet if ever detached will be recognizable as this Town application. de clarify, what is the purpose of this plat - Is this a pre-cursor move for future development of (these /lots)? Current Planning staff is unaware of the future intent here, now that the Chandler's Ridge subdivision lose-out stage. Application provides no such information/detail. Please add an explanatory note as to the ose/intent of this plat to the Notes section on sheet 1. de of this Plat – see Engineering Mark-up; this plat appears to take 2 lots and creates 3 out of them – that is not ombination, it is a Minor Subdivision. See comment #4 – what is the purpose of this plat? Did applicant confer flown Staff about the purpose and intent of this plat? ubdivision is vested to the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO), which is no longer in effect at the Town, ill governs the subdivision (unless Applicant opts into the LDO, in writing, as Gen Statute 160D allows). Staff no references to the UDO across the plat, the only indicator would be the references to the 'R-40W' Zoning ct, which existed under the UDO but does not in the LDO. There are references to an "RL" Zoning District, a exists in the LDO, but did NOT exist in the UDO; please clarify the governing Town development Ordinance, ne appropriate Zoning district terminology, confer with Staff as necessary. lat has a Wake County Certification signature block — Why? Please explain. Sheet has RL and R-40W Setback Data — these properties are zoned R-40W; remove this non-applicable data. rall Note #11 — what is the intent of this? The Plat details Building Setbacks, why this note? Provide nation as to why this was created/included, or remove.	
Engineering - Brian Laux / Jacque Thompson		See PDF Of mark-ups on the Plat – there looks to be 1 comment.	
City of Raleigh Utilities – Tim Beasley		No comments.	✓