## Response to V1 Comments REZ-25-03

## **Planning and Zoning Staff Comments**

- 1. Provided
- 2. Added
- 3. Added
- 4. No other Access is practical we will follow up with Fire Marshall
- 5. Agreed
- 6. We would prefer specificity as general compliance seems too broad of a term
- 7. We are not aware of any architectural requirements and do not plan to commit to any at this point. Please share any requirements and we can add them to the conditions.
- 8. Removed
- 9. Understood
- 10. This has been modified thank you
- 11. Trip letter and design have been updated to not require a TIA
- 12. Revise to include vicinity and updated grayscale
- 13. Property will be out of the watershed through proposed grading
- 14. Added note to cover open space area
- 15. As discussed we will not label this at this time.

## **Parks and Recreation Comments**

- 1. Will add this after zoning so as not to confuse the plan given limited frontage
- 2. Will add this after zoning so as not to confuse the plan given limited frontage
- 3. Will not add at this time
- 4. This has been added

## **Engineering Comments**

1. Comments given were for future consideration after rezoning and have not been incorporated into this response.