



TIMMONS GROUP

YOUR VISION ACHIEVED THROUGH OURS.

Project: Jones Dairy Storage Date: 11.01.23
Project Number: SDP-23-03
Contact: Garrett Frank, PE, PLA
Phone: (919) 866-4503
Email: Garrett.Frank@timmons.com

Comments have been addressed below. Our responses are in red.

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Sheet C2.0:

1. Please review the symbols used and the key notes legend to ensure that everything matches per sheet.

a. This comment applies to all sheets.

Response: All symbols and key note legends have been reviewed and updated accordingly.

Sheet C3.0:

2. Structure D1 and the adjacent pipe do not appear to be connected on the plan sheet. Please adjust.

Response: Structure D1 is now shown connected to the proposed network pipe.

Sheet C3.1:

3. Please label minimum cover requirements and separation requirements on all profiles. This was previously included but has been removed since the last review.

a. This comment applies to all profiles.

Response: Minimum cover requirements now provided on all profiles.

Sheet C4.1:

4. When viewports are cut off, please label the match sheet so it is easy to follow the plans with all the information being provided, and the plans being split into different areas.

Response: Match sheet now labeled on plans.

Sheet C7.0:

5. We recognize that you have separate key notes for different viewports due to the amount of information being displayed, but it is slightly confusing having the same symbols mean different things on the same sheet. Please consider presenting the information differently.

Response: Keynotes have been renamed according to their respective viewports and demolition key notes have been changes to hexagons versus circles.

6. As discussed in previous meetings, the intent of the frontage improvements are to occur to the property line so when adjacent properties are developed they do not have to encroach and there is not a gap left between construction. A temporary construction easement may be needed to complete the work, and coordination with the utility companies to discuss the overhead utilities should occur.

Response: Per virtual meeting on September 5th with Town of Rolesville and their consultants, due to lack of response from eastern neighbor and required encumbrances on both sides, the town understands the limitation of the widening required and would like the developer to provide as much widening along the frontage as practical at this time. Therefore, we are providing the most amount of widening and moving a conflicting electric pole 32-ft that conflicts with NCDOT clear zone requirements.

7. Please clarify what the dimension between the back of curb and the multi-use path is.

Response: Please see CTP COMPLIANCE DIAGRAM on sheet C2.0 for R/W improvement dimensions. This dimension is 5-ft.

8. Please clarify what the full width being provided for future lanes is.

Response: Please see CTP COMPLIANCE DIAGRAM on sheet C2.0 for R/W improvement dimensions. Dimensions have been show for the future right-of-way configuration.

9. The dimensions on the right side of the Road Widening Plan viewport are cut off. Please adjust the viewport accordingly.

Response: Viewport on sheets C7.0 and C7.1 have been updated accordingly.

Sheet C7.1:

10. Please clarify if the intent is to place riprap at both the upstream and downstream ends of the driveway culverts. The labels appear to make it so, but there is only one side showing riprap.

Response: Keynotes have been updated to reflect 2 flared end sections and riprap only on the downstream side of the culvert.

11. Please clarify the intent of the driveway culverts. It appears they are to be culverts with pipe but the key notes indicate trenches.

Response: stormwater trenching keynote has been removed as they are culverts and do not require trenching.